



## Genetic Variability Studies for Yield and Related Attributes in Finger Millet (*Eleusine coracana*) Genotypes

Rakesh Kumar <sup>a\*</sup>, Shweta <sup>a</sup>, Mallika Jaiswal <sup>a</sup>, Aman Mishra <sup>a</sup>, Devesh Yadav <sup>a</sup>, Pramod Kumar Prajapati <sup>b</sup> and Upendra Kumar Mishra <sup>a</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Department of Genetics and Plant Breeding, Chandra Shekhar Azad University of Agriculture and Technology, Kanpur, India.

<sup>b</sup> Department of Genetics and Plant Breeding, Jawaharlal Nehru Krishi Vishwavidyalaya, Jabalpur, Madhya Pradesh, India.

### Authors' contributions

This work was carried out in collaboration among all authors. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

### Article Information

DOI: 10.9734/IJPSS/2022/v34i232602

### Open Peer Review History:

This journal follows the Advanced Open Peer Review policy. Identity of the Reviewers, Editor(s) and additional Reviewers, peer review comments, different versions of the manuscript, comments of the editors, etc are available here: <https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/93683>

Original Research Article

Received 18 September 2022  
Accepted 22 November 2022  
Published 13 December 2022

### ABSTRACT

The fifty-finger millet (*Eleusine coracana*) genotypes used in the current experiment were examined in four different environments: E1 and E2 at the Student Research Farm at the C.S.A.U.A.&T. Kanpur, and E3 and E4 seeded at the Research Farm in Daleep Nagar, Kanpur. The genotypes were assessed using a randomised block design with three replications to determine genetic variability for the following traits: days to 50% flowering, days to maturity, plant height (cm), number of productive tillers per plant, number of fingers per ear, length of finger (cm), finger width (cm), ear head width (cm), ear head length (cm), ear head weight (g), ear head weight (g), straw yield per plant (g), harvest index (%), 1000 grain weight (g), protein content (%) and grain yield per plant (g). This experiment revealed low genotypic coefficient of variation (GCV) and phenotypic coefficient of variation (PCV) for days to 50% flowering, days to maturity, ear head width across all conditions, and protein content across all environments with the exception of E2. High levels of GCV and PCV were found in the ear head weight, straw yield per plant, 1000 grain weight, and grain yield per plant. In every context, the magnitude of GCV was often lower than the corresponding PCV. Plant

\*Corresponding author: E-mail: rakeshkumar2710706@gmail.com;

height, finger width, ear head weight, straw yield per plant, harvest index, 1000 grain weight, and grain yield per plant all showed strong heritability along with high genetic progress. As a result of the cumulative impact of its component features, the yield has a complicated and highly variable character. It is the outcome of the combined influence of its constituent features, therefore straight selection for yield might not be very effective.

*Keywords: Genetic variability; yield attributes; finger millet; plant breeding.*

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Because finger millet is a valuable food grain and has a wide range of adaptability to different geographical regions in India, it is mostly farmed as a rainfed crop [1-3]. It is recognised for having more nutrients than many cereals, including calcium (344 mg/100 g), protein (7–10%), iron, and other minerals. In India, finger millet is grown on a total of 10.04 lakh hectares, with a productivity of 1747 kg/ha and a yield of roughly 17.55 lakh tonnes (India stat, 2019-2020). The United States National Academies consider finger millet to be one of the most nutrient-dense primary cereals, making it a possible "super cereal" [4-7]. An annual plant called millet is cultivated extensively as a significant grain crop, mostly in arid and semi-arid regions of Africa and Asia. Other common names for it are mandua, nagli, kapai, and thaidalu [8-12]. According to legend, finger millet originated in Africa, with India serving as a secondary origin region [13]. Epidemiological research has shown that regular consumption of whole grains and their products can reduce the risk of heart disease, type II diabetes, obesity, gastrointestinal cancer, anti-tumor and atherosclerogenic effects, antioxidant and antimicrobial properties, and a variety of other diseases [14]. In addition to its nutritional benefits, finger is highly environmentally sustainable. It has a relatively short growing season, little soil fertility, and can easily endure extreme climatic conditions [15,16]. Soil salinity is one of the abiotic factors limiting the growth of finger millet. This destructive environmental stressor has a significant detrimental effect on crop quality and growth [17]. Given its benefits, this crop requires significant attention in terms of crop improvement programmes to increase yield. Finding and developing superior genotypes with broader environmental adaptability with knowledge of variability, inheritance, direction, and magnitude of association between various variables is one way to increase its production and productivity [18-23]. The degree and kind of genetic variability of every crop is genetically controlled, environmentally influenced, and determines the success in plant breeding for yield and yield-contributing traits [24,25].

## 2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

The current study was carried out in the College of Agriculture's Student Research Farm at Chandra Shekhar Azad University of Agriculture and Technology in Kanpur, as well as the Research Farm in Daleep Nagar Kanpur (Table 1). In order to raise a superior crop, appropriate crop management techniques were used. Evaluation of fifty distinct finger millet genotypes that were sown in Kharif 2021 using a Randomized Block Design with three replications and four different surroundings, with seven rows and a spacing of 30 cm by 10 cm for each plot. The following biometric measurements were made on five randomly selected plants from each genotype in three replications: days to 50% flowering, days to maturity, plant height, number of fingers/ear, finger length, finger width, ear head, weight, thousand seed weight, yield of grains and straw per plant, harvest index and protein content. To raise a successful crop, all the suggested set of procedures were performed, along with the mandatory preventative plant protection measures. Data was statistically analysed once observations were gathered. The variability was estimated in accordance with the procedure for analysis of variance recommended by Panse and Sukhame [26], PCV and GCV were calculated by the formula by Burton [27], heritability in broad sense ( $h^2$ ) by Burton and De Vane [28] and genetic advance i.e., the expected genetic gain were calculated by using the procedure given by Johnson et al. [29].

## 3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Analysis of variance imparted for fifteen characters studied was significant mean sum of square as shown in Table 2, these indicates there is a sufficient variability present in the genotypes taken for the study. This indicated that, the choice of the germplasm line was appropriate they were quite distinct in relation to the characters studied and hence, suitable for genetical studies. The highest mean value was recorded in  $E_1$  and lowest found in the  $E_4$  for all the characters except for harvest index. In harvest index, the lowest mean value was

recorded for E<sub>2</sub>. All the genotypes showed early flowering and early maturity in E<sub>2</sub> and E<sub>4</sub> environment as compared to pooled mean. The highest grain yield per plant as per mean values was recorded in E<sub>1</sub> followed by E<sub>2</sub>, E<sub>3</sub> and E<sub>4</sub> as shown in Table 3. For days to 50% flowering, days to maturity, ear head diameter for all environments, and protein content for all environments with the exception of E<sub>2</sub>, the genotypic and phenotypic coefficients of variation were low. The characteristics, including finger length, finger width, and ear head length, displayed moderate GCV and PCV values for all settings, harvest index for all environments with the exception of E<sub>4</sub>, and plant height for environments E<sub>1</sub>, E<sub>3</sub>, and pooled. High levels of GCV and PCV were found in the ear head weight, straw yield per plant, 1000 grain weight, and grain yield per plant. For every context, the genotypic coefficient of variance was typically smaller than the corresponding phenotypic coefficient of variation. The estimates of heritability in broad

sense ranged from 10.70 to 95.30 percent in E<sub>1</sub>, 18.80 to 97.5 in E<sub>2</sub>, 2.40 to 96.10 in E<sub>3</sub> and 27.90 to 96.00 in E<sub>4</sub> and in pooled analysis, it varied from 15.20 to 92.80. The genetic advance as percent of mean varied from 4.12 to 48.81 in E<sub>1</sub>, 9.64 to 53.19 in E<sub>2</sub>, 1.05 to 57.28 in E<sub>3</sub> and 9.14 to 74.17 in E<sub>4</sub> and in pooled analysis, it varied from 5.41 to 55.17. High heritability coupled with high genetic advance was recorded for plant height, finger width, ear head weight, straw yield per plant, harvest index, 1000 grain weight and grain yield per plant for all the environment while, high heritability coupled with low genetic advance was observed in days to 50 % flowering for E<sub>1</sub>. The yield is a complex and highly variable character and is a result of cumulative effect of its components characters and therefore, direct selection for yield may not be very effective. Thus, to bring changes in yield and other characters to a desired direction, proper understanding of association among the yield and yield contributing characters is must.

**Table 1. Fifty indigenous genotypes of finger millet**

S. No.	Genotypes	S. No.	Genotypes
1.	IC0476421	26.	IC0474840
2.	IC0475978	27.	IC0474862
3.	IC0476092	28.	IC0475053
4.	IC0474806	29.	IC0475798
5.	IC0475525	30.	IC0475678
6.	IC0476115	31.	IC0049949
7.	IC0283454	32.	IC0476418
8.	IC0475654	33.	IC0478776
9.	IC0346263	34.	IC0283451
10.	IC0478760	35.	IC0283409
11.	IC0476577	36.	IC0474089
12.	IC0475697	37.	IC0346264
13.	IC0476359	38.	IC0474887
14.	IC0476076	39.	IC0298448
15.	IC0475457	40.	IC0476818
16.	IC0321513	41.	IC0347254
17.	IC0475334	42.	IC0476303
18.	IC0476315	43.	IC0478720
19.	IC0476006	44.	IC0476242
20.	IC0298482	45.	IC0347252
21.	IC0475632	46.	VL324(CH)
22.	IC0476610	47.	VL380(CH)
23.	IC0478790	48.	VL352(CH)
24.	IC0475129	49.	VL376(CH)
25.	IC0347251	50.	VL379(CH)

**Table 2. Pooled analysis of variance for grain yield, its components and quality characters in finger millet**

Sources	D.F.	Days to 50% flowering	Days to maturity	Plant height (cm)	Number of productive tillers per plant	Number of Fingers per ear	Finger length (cm)	Finger width (cm)	Ear head width (cm)	Ear head length (cm)	Ear head weight (g)	Straw yield per plant (g)	Harvest Index (%)	1000 grain weight (g)	Protein content (%)	Grain yield per plant (g)
Genotypes	49	80.164**	146.146**	685.631**	0.342**	1.044**	3.002**	0.054**	0.016*	3.088**	19.917**	174.437**	127.610**	0.930**	1.285**	76.460**
Environments	3	1878.738**	8501.768**	4044.727**	23.185**	51.606**	33.488**	0.487**	0.021	0.637	19.897**	1476.092**	18.586*	21.746**	11.656**	360.433**
G × E	147	5.328	8.991	12.669*	0.141	0.399	0.141	0.003**	0.006	0.983	0.354*	12.582	6.167	0.128	0.088*	0.709
E+(G×E)	150	42.796**	178.846**	93.310**	0.601**	1.423**	0.808**	0.012**	0.006	0.977	0.745**	41.852**	6.415	0.555**	0.320**	7.903**
E (linear)	1	5636.214**	25505.304**	12134.182**	69.556**	154.818**	100.464**	1.461**	0.062*	1.911	59.691**	4428.276**	55.758*	64.427**	34.967**	1081.298**
G×E (linear)	49	6.318	12.324*	21.906**	0.106	0.409	0.137	0.006**	0.001	0.688	0.544**	12.981	6.537	0.152	0.145**	0.256
Pooled deviation	100	4.736	7.178	7.890*	0.155**	0.386**	0.140**	0.001	0.008**	1.108**	0.254**	12.135**	5.862**	0.114	0.059**	0.917**
Pooled Error	392	4.292	8.744	5.947	0.097	0.158	0.077	0.001	0.001	0.091	0.102	1.394	1.207	0.015	0.014	0.405

\* Significant at 5%, \*\* Significant at 1%; \*Significant at  $p = 0.05$ ; \*\*Significant at  $p = 0.01$ ; G= Genotype, E=Environment**Table 3. Mean, range, coefficient of variation, heritability, and genetic advance for grain yield, its component traits and protein content**

S. No.	Characters		Mean	Range	GCV	PCV	Heritability in % (Broad sense)	Genetic advance (%of mean)
1.	Days to 50% flowering	E <sub>1</sub>	78.07	68.33-89.67	5.79	7.20	64.50	9.57
		E <sub>2</sub>	65.89	56.00-79.33	7.59	8.44	80.90	14.07
		E <sub>3</sub>	73.59	64.33-83.67	5.51	7.85	49.30	7.98
		E <sub>4</sub>	65.51	54.00-76.67	6.31	8.97	49.50	9.14
		P	70.77	60.67-81.67	6.14	8.07	57.90	9.63
		2.	Days to maturity	E <sub>1</sub>	121.50	101.33-133.33	4.80	6.54
E <sub>2</sub>	97.57			79.33-111.00	6.62	7.54	77.10	11.98
E <sub>3</sub>	118.08			101.00-129.00	4.08	6.66	37.50	5.14
E <sub>4</sub>	97.11			76.00-108.00	6.45	8.25	61.20	10.41
P	108.56			90.33-118.08	5.40	7.18	56.50	8.36
3.	Plant height (cm)			E <sub>1</sub>	81.36	66.88-118.77	16.03	17.19
		E <sub>2</sub>	63.91	43.78-95.32	20.95	21.68	93.40	41.72
		E <sub>3</sub>	74.12	53.10-108.84	18.34	19.10	92.20	36.26
		E <sub>4</sub>	62.24	41.84-94.58	20.70	21.75	90.50	40.57
		P	70.40	52.27-99.81	18.49	19.72	87.90	35.71
		4.	Number of productive tillers per plant	E <sub>1</sub>	3.27	2.33-3.67	6.13	18.78
E <sub>2</sub>	2.43			1.67-3.67	10.80	24.95	18.80	9.64
E <sub>3</sub>	2.05			1.00-3.00	20.54	33.25	38.20	26.14
E <sub>4</sub>	1.69			1.00-2.33	17.90	33.89	27.90	19.48
P	2.36			1.83-3.08	10.23	26.26	15.20	8.21
5.	Number of fingers per ear			E <sub>1</sub>	6.83	5.33-8.33	10.02	13.16
		E <sub>2</sub>	5.89	4.00-7.33	15.66	18.34	72.90	27.56

S. No.	Characters		Mean	Range	GCV	PCV	Heritability in % (Broad sense)	Genetic advance (%of mean)
		E <sub>3</sub>	4.77	3.33-6.33	3.27	20.86	2.40	1.05
		E <sub>4</sub>	4.70	3.33-5.33	11.13	15.51	51.50	16.46
		P	5.55	4.33-6.42	8.17	16.85	23.50	8.16
6.	Finger length (cm)	E <sub>1</sub>	7.68	6.02-9.29	9.62	14.02	47.10	13.60
		E <sub>2</sub>	6.84	4.01-8.78	15.02	15.23	97.30	30.53
		E <sub>3</sub>	6.33	3.55-8.85	14.60	15.63	87.20	28.09
		E <sub>4</sub>	5.75	3.07-7.12	14.13	15.78	80.20	26.06
		P	6.65	4.16-8.37	12.82	15.12	71.90	22.39
7.	Finger width (cm)	E <sub>1</sub>	0.96	0.62-1.29	13.68	14.95	83.80	25.79
		E <sub>2</sub>	0.94	0.53-1.21	13.49	16.08	70.40	23.31
		E <sub>3</sub>	0.84	0.60-1.05	12.76	13.93	83.90	24.09
		E <sub>4</sub>	0.75	0.52-0.96	14.99	15.85	89.50	29.21
		P	0.87	0.57-1.10	13.11	15.30	73.40	23.13
8.	Ear head width (cm)	E <sub>1</sub>	1.28	1.10-1.44	5.91	7.16	68.10	10.05
		E <sub>2</sub>	1.26	1.06-1.44	7.26	7.79	86.80	13.93
		E <sub>3</sub>	1.24	1.06-1.47	5.96	8.20	52.80	8.91
		E <sub>4</sub>	1.23	1.03-1.43	7.49	9.20	66.20	12.55
		P	1.25	1.12-1.39	4.61	8.10	32.40	5.41
9.	Ear head length(cm)	E <sub>1</sub>	7.82	6.15-10.14	11.61	15.18	58.40	18.28
		E <sub>2</sub>	7.80	5.21-10.64	16.93	17.24	96.40	34.25
		E <sub>3</sub>	7.79	5.07-10.07	14.08	15.41	83.40	26.48
		E <sub>4</sub>	7.58	5.06-10.40	18.19	19.16	90.20	35.59
		P	7.75	6.28-10.27	10.71	16.79	40.70	14.07
10.	Ear head weight(cm)	E <sub>1</sub>	9.41	4.67-13.43	23.94	25.21	90.20	46.84
		E <sub>2</sub>	8.90	4.00-13.10	26.15	26.49	97.50	53.19
		E <sub>3</sub>	8.65	2.05-13.74	28.37	28.94	96.10	57.28
		E <sub>4</sub>	7.89	4.07-11.77	25.53	26.42	93.40	50.84
		P	8.71	4.35-12.75	25.50	26.79	90.60	50.01
11.	Straw yield per plant (g)	E <sub>1</sub>	35.27	19.28-51.47	21.97	22.96	91.60	43.31
		E <sub>2</sub>	33.92	14.42-49.64	25.36	26.24	93.40	50.49
		E <sub>3</sub>	29.78	14.15-41.67	21.90	22.66	93.50	43.62
		E <sub>4</sub>	23.18	10.17-33.23	23.62	24.77	91.00	46.40
		P	30.54	14.50-42.04	21.35	24.47	76.10	38.36
12.	Harvest index (%)	E <sub>1</sub>	34.49	19.84-45.12	15.51	16.03	93.60	30.92
		E <sub>2</sub>	33.10	17.30-45.33	17.45	18.32	90.70	34.24
		E <sub>3</sub>	34.18	22.95-45.30	16.57	17.80	86.60	31.75
		E <sub>4</sub>	33.65	19.77-45.26	20.43	21.31	91.90	40.35
		P	33.85	21.74-45.17	16.52	18.43	80.30	30.48
13.	1000 grain weight(g)	E <sub>1</sub>	2.95	1.83-4.11	23.95	24.95	92.20	47.36
		E <sub>2</sub>	2.56	1.17-3.91	21.09	23.11	83.30	39.64
		E <sub>3</sub>	2.12	1.06-3.56	27.14	29.81	82.90	50.89
		E <sub>4</sub>	1.43	0.91-2.10	25.43	26.21	94.20	50.84
		P	2.27	1.26-3.35	20.75	26.42	61.70	33.58

S. No.	Characters		Mean	Range	GCV	PCV	Heritability in % (Broad sense)	Genetic advance (%of mean)
14.	Protein content (%)	E <sub>1</sub>	7.16	6.01-8.24	8.15	8.85	84.80	15.46
		E <sub>2</sub>	6.56	5.18-7.83	10.68	11.18	91.20	21.01
		E <sub>3</sub>	6.17	5.02-6.94	9.39	9.78	92.30	18.59
		E <sub>4</sub>	6.11	4.99-6.92	9.40	9.77	92.50	18.61
		P	6.50	5.39-7.41	8.61	9.91	75.40	15.40
15.	Grain yield per plant (g)	E <sub>1</sub>	18.53	9.74-27.47	24.28	24.88	95.30	48.81
		E <sub>2</sub>	16.58	8.12-23.50	26.00	27.05	92.40	51.49
		E <sub>3</sub>	15.50	7.09-24.10	27.63	28.73	92.40	54.71
		E <sub>4</sub>	12.12	3.11-19.70	36.74	37.50	96.00	74.17
		P	15.68	7.04-23.45	27.79	28.84	92.80	55.17

#### 4. CONCLUSION

Based on present investigation experimental material consisting of 50 genotypes were studied to estimate the genetic variability for 15 characters. Genetic variability existence was confirmed by significant differences for different characters through ANOVA for all the environments as well as genotypic coefficient of variation. Hence, material has considerable genetic variability for selection program. Plant height, finger width, ear head weight, straw production per plant, harvest index, 1000 grain weight, and grain yield per plant all showed significant heritability along with high genetic progress for all the environments and pooled making these characters available for selection using appropriate direct selection parameters.

#### COMPETING INTERESTS

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

#### REFERENCES

1. Adugna A, Tesse T, Degu E, Tadesse T, Merga F, Legesse W, Daba C. Genotype-by-environment interaction and yield stability analysis in finger millet (*Eleusine coracana* L. Gaertn) in Ethiopia. American Journal of Plant Sciences. 2011;2(3):408.
2. Anuradha N, Patro TSSK. Genetic variability of quantitative traits in finger millet genotypes. Journal of Pharmacognosy and Phytochemistry. 2019;8(3):2664-2667.
3. Anuradha N, Udaya K, Patro T, SSK, Sharma NDRK. Character association and path analysis in finger millet (*Eleusine coracana* (L.) Gaertn) accessions belongs to late maturity group. Int. J. Food Agric.Veterin. Sci. 2013;3(3): 113-115.
4. Jha S. Proteomics of salinity stress: opportunities and challenges. In: Ramakrishna A, Gill SS (eds) Metabolic adaptations in plants during abiotic stress, CRC Press, Taylor and Francis Group; 2018.
5. Karad SR, Patil JV. Assessment of genetic diversity among finger millet (*Eleusine coracana*) genotypes. Int. J. Inte. Sci. Innov. Tech. J. 2013;2(4):37-43.
6. Madhavilatha L, Rao MS. Performance of elite finger millet cultures for grain yield, Yield influencing traits and blast tolerance. Int. J. of Agric. Sci. and Res. (IJASR) IISNP(P). 2015;5:2225-0057.
7. Manjunath H, Jayarame G, Mallikarjun K, Basavaraja T, Kumar L. Genetic variability and heritability for white seeded accessions in finger millet. J. Environ. Ecol. 2013;31(2a):745-748.
8. Chaudhari DR, Chaudhari PP, Narwade A. V, Pandya MM, Patel VV. Studies on phenotypic and genotypic variation in finger millet (*Eleusine coracana* L.). Trends in Biosci. 2014;7(3):180-182.
9. Das R, Sujatha M, Pandravada SR, Sivasankar A. Genetic divergence studies in finger millet (*Eleusine coracana* (L.) Gaertn) germplasm. Trends in Biosci. 2013;6(4):373-376.
10. Devaliya DD, Singh M, Intawala CG, Bhagora RN. Genetic variability studies in finger millet (*Eleusine coracana* (L.) Gaertn. Int. J. Pure App. Biosci. 2018;6(1):1007-1011.
11. Ganapathy S, Nirmalakumari A, Muthiah, AR. Genetic Variability and inter relationship analyses for economic traits in finger millet germplasm. World Agric.Sci. 2011;7(2):185-188.
12. Gupta SM, Arora S, Mirza N, Pande A, Lata C, Puranik S, Kumar A. Finger millet: A “certain” crop for an “uncertain” future and a solution to food insecurity and hidden hunger under stressful environments. Frontiers in Plant Science. 2017;8:643.
13. Chandra D, Chandra S, Pallavi Sharma AK. Review of finger millet (*Eleusine coracana* (L.) Gaertn): A powerhouse of health benefiting nutrients. Food Science and Human Wellness. 2016;5:149–155.
14. McKeown NM. Whole grain intake is favourably associated with metabolic risk factors for type 2 diabetes and cardiovascular disease in the Framingham Offspring Study. Ame. J. Clinical Nutr. 2002;76:390–398.
15. Singamsetti A, Patro TSSK, Anuradha N, Divya M. Studies on genetic variability for yield and yield attributing traits in finger millet (*Eleusine coracana* L. Gaertn). International Journal of Current Micro biology and Applied Sciences. 2018;7:90-95.
16. Ulaganathan V, Nirmala kumari A. Genetic variability and correlation studies for quantitative traits in finger millet [*Eleusine coracana* (L.) Gaertn] germplasm. Sabrao J. Breed. Genet. 2014;47(2):79-88.
17. Hema R, Vemanna RS, Sreeramulu S, Reddy CP, Senthil-Kumar M, Udayakumar

- M. Stable expression of mtID gene imparts multiple stress tolerance in finger millet. Plos One. 2014;9(6):99110.
18. Nandini B, Ravishankar CR, Mahesha B, Boranayaka MB, Shadakshari TV. An assessment of variability generated in f2 generation off our crosses of finger millet (*Eleusine coracana* Gaertn). Electronic J.Pl. Breed. 2010;1(4):747-751.
  19. Patil A, Mane A. Studies on the genetic variation of yield and contributing traits in finger millet (*Eleusine coracana* (L.) Gaertn). Progress. Res. 2013;8(5):526-528.
  20. Reddy CVCM, Munirathnam P, Gowda J. Studies of Genetic variability in yield and yield attributing traits of finger millet [*Eleusine coracana* (L.) Gaertn]. Indian J.Agric.Res. 2013;47(6):549-552.
  21. Satish D. Studies on genetic diversity based on productivity and variability for quality traits in finger millet (*Eleusine coracana* Gaertn.). MSc. (Agri.) Thesis, University of Agricultural Sciences, Dharwad; 2003.
  22. Savitha P, Maheswaran M, Raguchander T. Genetic variability analysis and effect of yield attributing and nutritional traits on productivity improvement in finger millet (*Eleusine coracana* (L.) Gaertn). Madras Agricultural Journal. 2013;100.
  23. Shet MN, Jagadeesha GY, Lokesh C, Gireesh, Jayarame G. Genetic variability, association and path coefficient studies in two inter specific crosses of finger millet [*Eleusine coracana* (L.) Gaertn]. Int. J. Pl. Sci. 2010;5(1):24-29.
  24. Wright S. Correlation and cause effect. J. Agric. Res. 1921;20:557-85.
  25. Fisher RA. The use of multiple measurements to taxonomic problems. Ann. Eugen. 1936;7:179-88.
  26. Panse VG, Sukhatme PV. Statistical Methods for Agricultural Workers, 4th Edn. ICAR, New Delhi; 1985.
  27. Burton GW. Quantitative in heritance in grasses. Proc. of 6<sup>th</sup> International Grassland Congress. 1952;1:277-283.
  28. Burton GW, Devane EM. Estimating heritability in tall fescue (*Festuca arundinaceae*) from replicated clonal material. Agron. J. 1953;45:478-481.
  29. Johnson HW, Robinson HF, Comstock R. E. Estimates of genetic and environmental variability in soybean. Agron. J. 1955;47: 314-318.

© 2022 Kumar et al.; This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Peer-review history:

The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here:  
<https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/93683>