





Studies on Castor and Nutri Cereals Based Intercropping Systems on Yield and Oil Content of Castor

Sangeetha S. P. ^{a*}, Pakale Smryti Dilip ^a, Vanitha K. ^b, Somasundaram E. ^a and Maragatham S. ^c

 ^a Department of Agronomy, Tamil Nadu Agricultural University, Coimbatore, Tamil Nadu, India.
^b Department of Crop Physiology, Tamil Nadu Agricultural University, Coimbatore, Tamil Nadu, India.
^c Department of Soil Science and Agricultural Chemistry, Tamil Nadu Agricultural University, Coimbatore, Tamil Nadu, India.

Authors' contributions

This work was carried out in collaboration among all authors. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Article Information

DOI: 10.9734/IJPSS/2023/v35i234272

Open Peer Review History:

This journal follows the Advanced Open Peer Review policy. Identity of the Reviewers, Editor(s) and additional Reviewers, peer review comments, different versions of the manuscript, comments of the editors, etc are available here: https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/111159

Original Research Article

Received: 16/10/2023 Accepted: 21/12/2023 Published: 23/12/2023

ABSTRACT

A field experiment was conducted during *summer* season of 2022 at Tamil Nadu Agricultural University, Coimbatore to study the castor and nutri cereals based intercropping systems on yield and oil content of castor. The experiment was laid out in randomize block design comprises of thirteen treatments and three replications. The experiment results revealed that nutri cereals intercropping system and spacing between the castor row have no significant difference on the oil content of the castor. The highest castor seed yield (2098 kg ha⁻¹) was recorded in sole castor which was found at par with paired row castor + proso millet (2:4) and paired row castor + foxtail millet (2:4) (2003 and 1914 kg ha⁻¹ respectively). Highest castor equivalent yield of 2647 kg ha⁻¹ was recorded in paired row of castor + foxtail millet (2:4) (2604 kg ha⁻¹) than sole castor (2098 kg ha⁻¹). From this study, it is to be concluded that proso millet and foxtail millet are suitable nutri cereals for intercropping in castor under paired row system to increase monetary yield.

^{*}Corresponding author: E-mail: sangeetha.sp@tnau.ac.in;

Int. J. Plant Soil Sci., vol. 35, no. 23, pp. 544-548, 2023

Keywords: Castor; nutri cereals; yield; oil content.

1. INTRODUCTION

"Castor (Ricinus communis L.) is an indeterminate and non-edible oilseed crop. It belongs to the family Euphorbiaceae. It is native to Eastern Africa and originated in Ethiopia. India is the largest producer of castor in the world. Castor seeds contain 50-55 per cent oil and are the world's second-largest source of non-edible oil. Castor oil is mainly used for the manufacture of a wide range of ever-expanding industrial products such as nylon fibres, jet engine lubricants. hydraulic fluids. cosmetics. pharmaceuticals. Castor oil is a good choice for converting oil in to bio-diesel. Castor is a longterm, widely spaced crop with a comparatively thin population of plants, providing scope for intercropping with short duration cereals, pulses and oilseed crops in an appropriate geometry to increase the growth, yield attributes and yield per unit area" [1]. Willey [1] reported that "advantage of intercropping in castor can be increased by reorienting crop geometry for better availability of solar energy and including suitable intercrops".

"Small millets are the age old crops cultivated in marginal and sub marginal lands for both food and fodder purpose. Small millets are drought tolerant crop, less water requirement compared to other crops with high nutritional benefits and less susceptible to pests and diseases. Due to its wider adaptability it can be grown under varied climatic conditions" [2]. Himasree et al. [2] found that "sustainable yields can be expected from the crop even under adverse conditions and are popularly known as climate resilient crops". According to Vinay et al. [3] "due to their innate ability to mature early, higher yield due to the C4 mechanism, ability to produce superior yields even on infertile soil under inadequate management and low, irregular rainfall conditions, they have attracted a lot of attention in recent". As a result, in Indian agriculture, they are also referred to as "climate resilient" crops.

Intercropping systems involve two or more crop species or genotypes growing together and coexisting for a time. According to Li et al. [4] "this latter criterion distinguishes intercropping from mixed monocropping and rotation cropping". "Under these circumstances, intercropping can support increased cumulative yields in per unit area, insure against crop failure and market fluctuations, meet food preference and cultural demands, protect and improve soil quality and increase income" as reported by Rusinamhodzi et al. [5]. Various nutri cereals were investigated as intercrops in space between castor rows with different plant populations. With this view, the field study was undertaken to evaluate the impact of various nutri cereals intercropping on yield and oil content of castor.

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

Field experiment was conducted at Eastern Block Farm, Tamil Nadu Agricultural University, Coimbatore during summer season of 2022. The experimental farm is situated at 11°N latitude and 76°E longitude and at an altitude of 426.7 m above the mean sea level (MSL). The soil texture was sandy clay loam with pH of 7.9 and electrical conductivity (EC) 0.22 dS m⁻¹. The organic carbon content was high (0.49 %) and the nutrient status of soil was observed with low range of available nitrogen (174 kg ha⁻¹), medium range of available phosphorus (22.3 kg ha⁻¹) and high range of available potassium (800 kg ha-1). The study was conducted in Randomized Complete Block design with three replications with the plot size of 6 x 5 square meter. In three replications, a total of thirteen treatments were used and they are as follows T1 - castor + foxtail millet (1:3), T_2 - castor + proso millet (1:3), T_3 castor + little millet (1:3), T_4 - castor + kodo millet (1:3). T_5 - paired row castor + foxtail millet (2:4). T_6 - paired row castor + proso millet (2:4), T_7 paired row castor + little millet (2:4), T₈ - paired row castor + kodo millet (2:4), T9 - sole castor, T₁₀ - sole foxtail millet, T₁₁ - sole proso millet, T₁₂ - sole little millet, T_{13} - sole kodo millet. The irrigation water was slightly alkaline with pH of 7.9 and with high soluble salts of EC 4.9 dS m⁻¹. After sowing, the entire field was irrigated and life irrigation was given on 4 DAS and once in a week. Castor hybrid YRCH1 and nutri cereals foxtail millet (ATL1), proso millet (ATL1), little millet (ATL1) and kodo millet (ATL1) were taken. After harvesting, harvest index, castor equivalent yield, oil content and oil yield was calculated using formula given below:

Harvest index (%)= $\frac{\text{Economic yield}}{\text{Biological yield}} \times 100$

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

3.1 Effect of Intercropping Systems on Castor Yield

The experimental results revealed that the mean seed yield of sole castor (T₉) (2098 kg ha⁻¹) was higher than that in the rest of intercropping systems presented in Table 1. Next best treatment for castor seed vield was paired row castor + proso millet (2:4) (2003 kg ha-1) followed by paired row castor + foxtail millet (2:4) (1914 kg ha⁻¹) presented in Fig. 1. This was primarily caused by a significant increase in yield components, such as the number of spike plant⁻¹, the number of capsule plant¹ and the length of the primary spike, as a result of improved light distribution up to lower leaves, adequate soil moisture availability and higher nutrient uptake in paired row systems. This increased photosynthetic rate led to an increase in sink size, which in turn had an impact on crop yield. These results were consistent with those of Singh and Singh [6], who found that planting maize in paired rows (30/90 cm) with soybean greatly increased the grain output. Comparable result was found in castor that seed vield of sole castor was higher than intercropping system and this was on par with paired row system of castor + cluster bean and castor + groundnut with ratio of 2:4 as reported by Nanjappa et al. [7].

Seed and stalk yield of castor were reduced in intercropping system of 1:3 ratio than sole and paired row system of castor (2:4). Among the different 1:3 ratio intercrops, castor + proso millet 1:3 ratio (T_2) produced more castor seed yield

and was at par with castor + foxtail millet 1:3 ratio (T_1). Due to the absence of competition and the fact that proso millet appears to be less detrimental for castor, higher LAI, length of the primary spike, number of spike per plants, number of capsules per spike. This might be as a result of its short lifespan and the fact that their growth phases did not coincide. These results were consistent with Dhimmar [8].

According to the data, the sole castor (T_9) recorded a considerably higher stalk yield than other treatments. The stalk yield likewise exhibited the same pattern as the seed yield. This might be because there was no competition for non-renewable resources in lone castor, which performed at par with paired row castor in terms of space, water, nutrients and incoming solar radiation. The result is similar with findings of Hooda et al. [9]. Foxtail millet and proso millet intercropped with castor at 1:3 ratio and paired row castor + foxtail millet observed higher percentage of harvest index (30%), this might be increased economic yield.

The data indicated that different treatments in experiment significantly influenced the castor equivalent yield as presented in Table 1. Highest castor equivalent yield was recorded (2647 kg ha⁻¹) in paired row castor + foxtail millet (2:4) (T₅) and it was at par with paired row castor + proso millet (2:4) (2604 kg ha⁻¹) which was higher than sole castor (2098 kg ha⁻¹). The least castor equivalent yield was recorded in paired row castor + kodo millet (1719 kg ha⁻¹) (T₈) with 2:4 row proportions.

Table 1. Effect of intercropping on seed yield, sta	Ik yield and harvest index of castor and
Castor Equivaler	nt Yield

Tr No.	Treatment	Seed yield (kg ha ⁻¹)	Stalk yield (kg ha ⁻¹)	Harvest index (%)	Castor Equivalent Yield (kg ha ⁻¹)
T ₁	Castor + foxtail millet (1:3)	1728	4110	30	2478
T ₂	Castor + proso millet (1:3)	1818	4285	30	2495
T ₃	Castor + little millet (1:3)	1633	4102	28	2025
T_4	Castor + kodo millet (1:3)	1240	3850	24	1780
T ₅	Paired row castor + foxtail millet (2:4)	1914	4557	30	2647
T ₆	Paired row castor + proso millet (2:4)	2003	5041	28	2604
T ₇	Paired row castor + little millet (2:4)	1612	4102	28	2032
T ₈	Paired row castor + kodo millet (2:4)	1165	3574	25	1719
T9	Sole castor	2098	5204	29	2098
	SE.d	98.19	239.9	0.22	106.12
	CD(P=0.05)	208.15	508.58	0.48	224.10

Tr	Treatment	Oil content	Oil yield
No.		(%)	(kg ha⁻¹)
T ₁	Castor + foxtail millet (1:3)	46.7	809
T ₂	Castor + proso millet (1:3)	47.3	862
Tз	Castor + little millet (1:3)	46.5	761
T ₄	Castor + kodo millet (1:3)	45.6	669
T_5	Paired row castor + foxtail millet (2:4)	47.6	912
T ₆	Paired row castor + proso millet (2:4)	47.8	959
T ₇	Paired row castor + little millet (2:4)	46.1	743
T ₈	Paired row castor + kodo millet (2:4)	43.7	599
T9	sole castor	48.8	1026
	SE.d	2.54	90
	CD(P=0.05)	NS	191

Table 2. Effect of intercropping on oil content (%) and oil yield (kg ha⁻¹) of castor



Fig. 1. Effect of intercropping on seed, stalk yield (Kg ha⁻¹) and harvest index (%)

3.2 Effect of Intercropping Systems on Oil Content (%) and Oil Yield (Kg ha⁻¹)

The evidence showed that the oil content of castor had no significant relationship to the different intercropping systems presented in Table 2. These results are consistent with Patel et al. [10]. Highest oil yield was recorded in sole castor (T₉) followed by paired row castor + proso millet (T₆) (2:4) and paired row castor + foxtail millet (T₅) (2:4) due to higher seed yield of castor as compared to other intercropping system. Similar results were found in findings of Yadav et al. [11] reported that slightly higher oil content was seen when castor was cultivated as the sole crop as compared to other intercropping

systems. Castor produced in an intercropping system may have less oil because to increased competition from intercrops for scarce natural resources such space, plant nutrients, moisture and solar radiation. Similar findings were reported by Singh [12] and Singh et al. [13].

4. CONCLUSION

Among the nutri cereal intercropping system sole castor recorded maximum seed yield (2098 kg ha⁻¹) and this was at par with paired row castor + proso millet (2003 kg ha⁻¹) and paired row castor + foxtail millet (1914 kg ha⁻¹). Higher castor equivalent yield was recorded in paired row castor + foxtail millet (2:4) and it was at par with castor + proso millet (2:4) grown under paired row system. From this study, it was concluded that castor in paired row system with foxtail millet or proso millet was found to be more profitable intercropping system in Tamil Nadu.

COMPETING INTERESTS

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

REFERENCES

- Willey RW. A scientific approach to intercropping research. In Proceedings of The International Workshop on Intercropping.,Hyderabad, ICRISAT. 1981;4-379.
- Himasree B, Chandrika V, Sarala NV, Prasanthi A. Evaluation of remunerative foxtail millet (*Setaria italica* L.) based intercropping systems under late sown conditions. 2017 Bulletin of Environment, Pharmacology and Life Sciences. 2017; 6(3):306-308.
- Vinay HV, Jagadeesh BR, Shivamurthy D. Intercropping systems of some minor millets to improve production potential of pigeon pea under set furrow method of cultivation in alfisols of northern transition zone of Karnataka. Biochem. Cell. Arch. 2021;21(2):5049-5053.
- 4. Li L, Zhang L-Z, Zhang F-Z. Crop mixtures and the mechanisms of over yielding. In: Levin SA, ed. Encyclopedia of biodiversity, Academic Press. 2013;2:382–395.
- 5. Rusinamhodzi L, Corbeels M, Nyamangara J, Giller KE.Maize– grainlegume intercropping is an attractive option for ecological intensification thatreduces climatic risk for smallholder

farmers in central Mozambique.Field CropsResearch. 2012;136:12–22

- Singh V, Singh V. Productivity potential and economics of maize (*Zea mays*) and soybean (*Glycine max*) intercroping patterns under rainfed low hill or valley situation of Uttaranchal. Indian Journal of Agronomy. 2001;46(1):27-31.
- Nanjappa H, Ramachandrappa B, Kumar H. Productivity and economics of castor (*Ricinus communis* L.) based intercropping systems in vertisols under rainfed conditions. Indian Journal of Dryland Agricultural Research and Development. 2011;26(2):77-81.
- Dhimmar SV, Raj. Effect on growth and yield of rabi castor in pulses intercropping under varying planting geometry. Am-Eu. J. Sustain. Agri. 2009;3(3):448-451.
- Hooda R, Khippal A, Narwal R. Effect of fertilizer application in conjunctionwith biofertilizers in sole and intercropping system of pearlmillet under rainfed condition. Haryana Journal of Agronomy. 2004; 20(1):29-30.
- Patel KS, Patel MK, Patel GN, Pathak HC. Intercropping in castor (*Ricinus communis* L.) under irrigated condition. Journal of Oilseeds Research. 2007;24(1):121-123.
- 11. Yadav B, PatelN,Choudhary K. Yield, quality and soil fertility as influenced by rabi castor (*Ricinus communi sL.*) based intercropping system. Journal of Crop and Weed. 2019;15(1):192-194.
- Singh I. Study on intercropping of castor, *Ricinus communis* L. under irrigated condition. Journal of Oilseeds Research. 2009;26(2):170-171.
- Singh J, Patel B, Rathode B. Feasibility of inter/mixed cropping system of mustard {*Brassica juncea* (L.) Czern & Coss} with lucerne (*Medicago sativa*). Haryana Journal Agronomy. 2013;29:67-71.

© 2023 Sangeetha et al.; This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Peer-review history: The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here: https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/111159