



# Assessing the Influence of Nano Urea on the Growth and Yield of Irrigated Wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) Crop

Ravikesh Kumar Pal <sup>a++\*</sup>, Durgesh Kumar Maurya <sup>a++</sup>,  
Sarvesh Kumar <sup>b++</sup> and Raghvendra Singh <sup>c++</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Department of Agronomy, Faculty of Agricultural Sciences and Allied Industries, Rama University, Kanpur-209217, India.

<sup>b</sup> Department of Ag. Engineering, Faculty of Agricultural Sciences and Allied Industries, Rama University, Kanpur-209217, India.

<sup>c</sup> Department of Soil Science, Faculty of Agricultural Sciences and Allied Industries, Rama University, Kanpur-209217, India.

## Authors' contributions

This work was carried out in collaboration among all authors. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

## Article Information

DOI: 10.9734/IJECC/2023/v13i123746

## Open Peer Review History:

This journal follows the Advanced Open Peer Review policy. Identity of the Reviewers, Editor(s) and additional Reviewers, peer review comments, different versions of the manuscript, comments of the editors, etc are available here: <https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/111061>

Original Research Article

Received: 17/10/2023

Accepted: 22/12/2023

Published: 23/12/2023

## ABSTRACT

Wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) is one of the world's most essential cereal crops, serving as a staple food for a significant portion of the global population. In the pursuit of achieving higher yields in contemporary agriculture, the use of chemical fertilizers poses an increased environmental risk. However, the application of Nano urea offers a potential solution to mitigate this risk to a certain extent. To address this objective, a field experiment was conducted during the Rabi seasons of 2022-2023 at the A trial was executed in the rural area of Kanpur district of Mandhana, located 10 km from Kanpur in Uttar Pradesh, during the Rabi season of 2022-23. The experimental design involved two main factors: Factor-1 Nitrogen (at 50%, 75%, and 100% levels) and Factor-2 Foliar

<sup>++</sup> Assistant Professor;

\*Corresponding author: E-mail: [drravikesh.fas@ramauniversity.ac.in](mailto:drravikesh.fas@ramauniversity.ac.in);

Spray (at one time Nano urea and two time Nano urea, with 4 ml/l). A control group was included, and the experiment was laid out in a Randomized Block Design (RBD) with 13 treatments in three replications. The results indicated that the combination of 100% nitrogen along with a foliar spray of 4 ml/L Nano urea had a significant positive impact on growth, yield, and various yield parameters. Treatment 6 demonstrated the highest values for plant height (95.66 cm), Dry matter accumulation (1014.09 g m<sup>-2</sup>), number of tillers m<sup>-2</sup> (417.44), Leaf area index at 90 DAS (4.85), effective ear head per (m<sup>-2</sup>) (282.66), grains per ear head (65.75), test weight (48.52 g), grain yield (46.15 quintals/ha), and straw yield (57.92 quintals/ha). The study's results demonstrated a generally positive effect of combined Nano urea with traditional NPK nutrient supply on the growth and yield parameters of wheat in irrigated conditions.

**Keywords:** Growth; yield attributes; yield; wheat; Nano urea; foliar spray; RBD.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Wheat marks its second cultivation cycle following maize; in the 2022-23 season, India's wheat production reached 110.55 million tonnes, securing the second position globally, trailing only behind China's production of 134.3 million tonnes [1]. Wheat is farmed across approximately 217 million hectares, yielding a remarkable output of 731 million tonnes. The largest wheat-growing regions include India (14%), Russia (12.43%), China (11.14%), and the USA (6.90%), collectively contributing to around 45% of the total global wheat cultivation area. Despite this, China emerges as the primary global wheat producer, achieving a record production of 136 million tonnes, followed by India (98.51 million tonnes), Russia (85 million tonnes), and the USA (47.35 million tonnes). Notably, traditional wheat-producing countries, such as China, India, Russia, the USA, Canada, Ukraine, and Pakistan, contribute approximately 58% of the total global wheat production, amounting to 449 million tonnes [2]. Wheat, boasting numerous advantages, underscores the importance of doubling its global crop yield by a significant margin by the year 2050 [3]. In India, where expanding crop acreage faces limitations and production threats and challenges are prevalent [4], a production target of 140 million tonnes has been set for the year 2050 [5].

Uttar Pradesh, India's wheat-producing state, has 9.85 million hectares dedicated to cultivation, contributing 35.50 million tonnes to the national output in 2020-21 (UPDES, 2022). Despite its largest land share at 35.1%, Uttar Pradesh's productivity remains the lowest at 2.7 tonnes/ha, making a significant contribution of 35.03% to national production. Challenges in wheat production include declining soil organic carbon, nutrient depletion, inconsistent fertilization, crop waste burning, and diminishing water table [6]. In recent times, the direct impact of climate change

and global warming on crop yield and quality has become evident due to increased frequency and severity of various stresses. Wheat, rice, and maize, essential global staple crops providing a significant portion of daily calories and protein, are particularly susceptible [7]. Environmental stressors, including salinity, can result in substantial production losses, accounting for approximately 50% [8]. Moreover, the continuous growth in the global population poses a challenge to food security, as the world's food supply must increase by up to 70% by 2050 [9].

Nano urea, a modified form of traditional fertilizers based on nanotechnology, addresses challenges in traditional agriculture due to population growth, soil nutrient depletion, limited land resources, and climate change. Nano-fertilizers utilize nanotechnology's unique properties, such as reduced molecular size and modified interactions between molecules, to enhance bioavailability, bioactivity, and adherence effects [10,11]. This innovative approach addresses the negative impacts of high doses of chemical fertilizers on soil ecosystems and contributes to environmental conservation discussed by Gutierrez et al. [12]. These specialized fertilizers aim to address gaps in both traditional and innovative fertilizer markets. Nano-fertilizers, with their nano-sized particles, offer enhanced nutrient use efficiency and profitability. 40–70% Nitrogen loss through processes like nitrate leaching, de-nitrification, and ammonia volatilization is reduced with the use of Nano urea [13,14]. This is crucial in mitigating economic losses, environmental pollution, and the release of greenhouse gases contributing to global warming. Nano urea adheres to the 4R principles, promoting more photosynthesis, biomass production, and fulfilling crop nutrient requirements.

Lower transportation and application costs of nano urea are compared to conventional

fertilizers, offering advantages such as reduced input requirements, slow release mechanism, and minimal salt accumulation in soil [15,16]. These nano fertilizers enhance growth parameters, increase dry matter and chlorophyll production, and improve photosynthesis rate as discussed by Ali and Al-Juthery et al. [17] and Singh et al. [18]. Nano fertilizers regulate urea nutrient release in a suitable proportion, ensuring safety and productivity while maintaining environmental safety. Utilizing foliar applications technique, urea is effectively applied to plant leaves, enhancing nutrient absorption and yield, thereby boosting farmers' revenue [19]. Nano urea also improves crop quality, nutritional content, and protein levels, reducing the need for chemical use [20]. The liquid-based foliar application of Nano urea offers logistical and warehousing advantages, making it economically sound for farmers. Overall, nano urea emerges as a promising input in agriculture, aligning with the principles of sustainability and efficiency in the face of contemporary agricultural challenges [21,22].

The utilization of nanotechnology in agriculture has emerged as a promising avenue for enhancing crop productivity and mitigating the environmental impact of traditional farming practices. In this context, the assessment of Nano Urea's influence on the growth, yield, and nitrogen use efficiency in irrigated wheat represents a crucial exploration at the intersection of technology and sustainable agriculture [23,24]. The article's primary focus is to investigate the impact of foliar feeding with Nano-fertilizers SMP and tri, di combinations of N, P, and K, comparing them with a control group and traditional fertilizers, on various parameters of wheat growth and yield.

## 2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

A research was conducted in Research farm of the Faculty of agriculture & Allied industries in Rama University Kanpur, during the Rabi season of 2022-23. The experiment took place on silty loam soil with a pH of 7.65, electrical conductivity (EC) of 0.26 dSm<sup>-1</sup>, organic carbon content of 0.42%, and available nutrients including nitrogen (N), phosphorus (P), and potassium (K) at levels of 221.0, 19.7, and 147.30 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>, respectively. Uttar Pradesh. The study focused on the "Assessing the Influence of Nano Urea on the Growth, Yield, and Nitrogen Use Efficiency in Irrigated Wheat". Employing a Randomized Block Design (RBD), the experiment comprised ten

treatments replicated thrice. With the wheat variety HD-2967. The crop was sown in first week of December. The treatments included various combinations of urea and Nano urea in foliar application: T1:100 % RDN (Recommended Doses of Nitrogen) + One foliar spray of Nano urea @ 4ml/ L, T2:100 % RDN+ Two foliar spray of Nano urea @ 4ml/ L, T3:100 % RDN +One spray of urea @ 4%, T4:100 % RDN + Two spray of urea @ 4%, T5:75 % RDN + One foliar spray of Nano-urea @ 4ml/ L, T6:75 % RDN+ Two foliar spray of Nano urea @ 4ml/ L, T7:75 % RDN +One spray of urea @ 4%, T8:75 % RDN + Two spray of urea @ 4%, T9:50 % RDN + One foliar spray of Nano urea @ 4ml/ L, T10:50 % RDN+ Two foliar spray of Nano urea @ 4ml/ L, T11:50 % RDN +One spray of urea @ 4%, T12:50 % RDN + Two spray of urea @ 4%, T13:Control (120:60:40 Kg/ha). The recommended doses of phosphorus (60 kg/ha) and potassium (40 kg/ha) were applied during sowing using SSP and MOP, respectively. Nitrogen, applied as urea, was split into doses according to treatments throughout the crop period. Nano urea and foliar spray of urea were applied foliarly at 25 and 55 days after sowing. Sowing involved healthy seeds spaced at 20 cm from row to row. Cultural operations adhered to recommended practices. Observations were recorded from five random plants per treatment, focusing on various aspects of the crop, including growth, yield, and yield parameters.

## 3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

### 3.1 Effect on Growth Parameters

#### 3.1.1 Plant height (cm)

A noticeable rise in plant height was observed as the growth advanced, as indicated in (Table 1). The highest plant height (95.66 cm) was recorded in treatment T2 (100 % RDN+ Two foliar spray of Nano urea @ 4ml/ L) followed by T4:100 % RDN + Two spray of urea @ 4%, and T1:100 % RDN + One foliar spray of Nano urea @ 4ml/ L, as compare to other treatment in terms of statistical significance.

The application of 100% nitrogen resulted in increased plant height, emphasizing the crucial role of nitrogen as an essential nutrient effective for enhancing crop growth. Numerous prior studies have consistently demonstrated that nitrogen application contributes to increased crop height [25]. This observation aligns with findings reported by Rawat et al. [26] and Iqtidar et al. [27], Ojha et al. [28]. The varying nitrogen levels

significantly influenced plant height. The additional increase in plant height was attributed to the foliar spray of nano urea, which, as indicated by previous research, enhances Nitrogen Use Efficiency (NUE) by up to 45%, directly contributing to heightened plant stature. Importantly, the application of nano urea helps prevent nitrogen losses through processes like nitrate leaching, de-nitrification, and ammonia volatilization, ensuring direct availability to plants without losses.

### 3.1.2 No. of tillers ( $m^{-2}$ )

The highest number of tillers  $m^{-2}$  (417.44) was observed in treatment T2 (100 % RDN+ Two foliar spray of Nano urea @ 4ml/ L) Nevertheless, treatment followed by T4:100 % RDN + Two spray of urea @ 4%, and. T1:100 % RDN + One foliar spray of Nano urea @ 4ml/l Demonstrated statistically comparable results to treatment T2. Tiller abundance is positively associated with leaf nitrogen accumulation. The application of Nano urea, known for its higher absorption rate and utilization efficacy, further enhanced the growth of tillers in this context similar results also found by Ojha et al. [28] and Al-Juthery, et al. [29].

### 3.1.3 Leaf area index (LAI)

The maximum number of Leaf area index at 90 DAS (4.85) was observed in treatment T2 (100 % RDN+ Two foliar spray of Nano urea @ 4ml/ L) Nevertheless, treatment followed by T4:100 % RDN + Two spray of urea @ 4%, and. T1:100 % RDN + One foliar spray of Nano urea @ 4ml/l Demonstrated statistically comparable results to treatment T2. Leaf area were increased by increasing in nitrogen content, biomass and photosynthetic rate is positively associated with leaf nitrogen accumulation. The application of Nano urea, known for its higher absorption rate and utilization efficacy, further enhanced the Leaf area index in this context similar results also found by Al-Juthery, et al. [29].

### 3.1.4 Dry matter accumulation ( $g m^{-2}$ )

As the crop progressed in growth, there was a concurrent increase in the weight of plant dry matter, as depicted in (Table 1). A significant and maximum Dry matter accumulation (1014.09  $g m^{-2}$ ) was noted in treatment T2 (100 % RDN+ Two foliar spray of Nano urea @ 4ml/ L) Nevertheless, treatment followed by T4:100 % RDN + Two spray of urea @ 4%, and. exhibited statistically comparable results to treatment T2.

The impact of escalating nitrogen levels up to 100% was found to be significant in influencing plant dry weight. Nitrogen played a pivotal role in increasing the photosynthetic rate and expanding leaf area, leading to a higher accumulation of total dry matter. This finding aligns with similar observations reported by Ojha et al. [28] and Al-Juthery, et al. [17], Rahman et al. [19]. Nitrogen, being a critical element for plant growth, directly influences factors such as leaf area, leaf emergence rate, photosynthetic capacity, and radiation interception. The further increase in plant dry weight is attributed to the application of Nano urea.

### 3.1.5 Crop growth rate ( $g/day/plant$ )

As the crop progressed in growth, there was a concurrent increase in the Dry matter accumulation of with increases CGR, as depicted in (Table 1). A significant and maximum CGR (11.33  $g/day/plant$ ) was noted in treatment T2 (100 % RDN+ Two foliar spray of Nano urea @ 4ml/ L) Nevertheless, treatment followed by T4:100 % RDN + Two spray of urea @ 4%, and. exhibited statistically comparable results to treatment T2. The CGR is in line with dry matter accumulation. Ojha et al. [28], Al-Juthery, et al. [17] and Rahman et al. [19].

## 3.2 Yield Attributes and Yields

### 3.2.1 Number of effective ear head per ( $m^{-2}$ )

A noteworthy and highest count of effective ear head per ( $m^{-2}$ ) (282.66) was noted (Table 2) in treatment T2 (100 % RDN+ Two foliar spray of Nano urea @ 4ml/ L) Nevertheless, treatment followed by T4:100 % RDN + Two spray of urea @ 4%, and T1, T3 T13. exhibited statistically comparable results to treatment T2 similar observations reported by Al-Juthery, et al. [30].

### 3.2.2 Number of grains per ear head

A substantial and increased count of grains per ear head (65.75) was noted in treatment ear head exhibited statistically equivalent results to treatment T2 (100 % RDN+ Two foliar spray of Nano urea @ 4ml/ L) Nevertheless, treatment at par T4:100 % RDN + Two spray of urea @ 4%, and T1, and significantly superior over other treatments. Wheat grain exhibited a positive quadratic relationship with the nitrogen application rate. Our findings align with those reported in Ojha et al. [28].

**Table. 1. Influence of nano urea on the growth in irrigated wheat crop**

<b>Treatments</b>	<b>Plant height (cm) At harvesting stage</b>	<b>No. of tillers (m-2) At harvesting stage</b>	<b>Leaf area index (LAI)</b>	<b>Dry matter accumulation (g m<sup>-2</sup>) At harvesting stage</b>	<b>Crop growth rate (g/day/plant) 90 DAS to At harvesting stage</b>
T <sub>1</sub> 100 % RDN + One foliar spray of Nano urea @ 4ml/ L	94.20	405.23	4.75	893.14	10.08
T <sub>2</sub> 100 % RDN+ Two foliar spray of Nano urea @ 4ml/ L	95.66	417.44	4.85	1014.09	11.33
T <sub>3</sub> 100 % RDN +One spray of urea @ 4%	89.78	377.11	4.13	854.92	9.95
T <sub>4</sub> 100 % RDN + Two spray of urea @ 4%	95.59	421.35	5.23	992.07	10.95
T <sub>5</sub> 75 % RDN + One foliar spray of Nano-urea @ 4ml/ L	85.97	367.94	3.96	835.04	9.79
T <sub>6</sub> 75 % RDN+ Two foliar spray of Nano urea @ 4ml/ L	93.73	402.78	4.61	884.32	10.21
T <sub>7</sub> 75 % RDN +One spray of urea @ 4%	84.15	346.44	3.76	654.94	7.83
T <sub>8</sub> 75 % RDN + Two spray of urea @ 4%	91.83	374.34	4.29	856.84	9.58
T <sub>9</sub> 50 % RDN + One foliar spray of Nano urea @ 4ml/ L	81.78	331.61	3.58	623.74	8.25
T <sub>10</sub> 50 % RDN+ Two foliar spray of Nano urea @ 4ml/ L	83.60	341.27	3.73	648.73	7.77
T <sub>11</sub> 50 % RDN +One spray of urea @ 4%	77.45	305.45	3.15	571.66	7.46
T <sub>12</sub> 50 % RDN + Two spray of urea @ 4%	83.06	332.48	3.66	642.35	8.05
T <sub>13</sub> Control (120:60:40 Kg/ha)	90.46	372.33	4.12	849.77	9.63
<b>SEm (±)</b>	3.27	17.26	0.17	32.57	0.38
<b>Critical Difference at 5%</b>	9.54	50.38	0.49	95.07	1.10
<b>F test</b>	S	S	S	S	S

**Table 2. Influence of nano urea on the yield in irrigated wheat crop**

<b>Treatments</b>	<b>Number of effective ear head per (m<sup>2</sup>)</b>	<b>Number of grains per ear head</b>	<b>Test weight (g)</b>	<b>Spike length (cm)</b>	<b>Grain yield (quintal ha<sup>-1</sup>)</b>	<b>Straw yield (quintal ha<sup>-1</sup>)</b>
T <sub>1</sub> 100 % RDN + One foliar spray of Nano urea @ 4ml/ L	279.46	61.07	44.27	10.17	44.27	56.67
T <sub>2</sub> 100 % RDN+ Two foliar spray of Nano urea @ 4ml/ L	282.66	65.75	45.22	10.84	46.15	57.92
T <sub>3</sub> 100 % RDN +One spray of urea @ 4%	273.38	57.74	42.91	9.41	42.44	52.36
T <sub>4</sub> 100 % RDN + Two spray of urea @ 4%	280.50	63.40	45.15	10.41	44.96	57.43
T <sub>5</sub> 75 % RDN + One foliar spray of Nano-urea @ 4ml/ L	268.06	54.39	41.79	8.94	39.31	50.38
T <sub>6</sub> 75 % RDN+ Two foliar spray of Nano urea @ 4ml/ L	276.50	60.74	43.71	9.78	42.14	56.84
T <sub>7</sub> 75 % RDN +One spray of urea @ 4%	256.23	50.39	41.41	7.34	34.72	46.12
T <sub>8</sub> 75 % RDN + Two spray of urea @ 4%	272.05	56.40	42.84	9.18	40.27	51.28
T <sub>9</sub> 50 % RDN + One foliar spray of Nano urea @ 4ml/ L	248.78	48.39	40.39	6.51	32.58	42.49
T <sub>10</sub> 50 % RDN+ Two foliar spray of Nano urea @ 4ml/ L	254.29	50.06	41.14	7.18	34.47	45.38
T <sub>11</sub> 50 % RDN +One spray of urea @ 4%	244.62	42.38	34.66	6.01	29.41	38.13
T <sub>12</sub> 50 % RDN + Two spray of urea @ 4%	253.41	48.73	40.76	7.01	33.95	44.98
T <sub>13</sub> Control (120:60:40 Kg/ha)	269.39	55.07	42.26	9.01	41.02	51.66
<b>SEm (±)</b>	7.28	1.78	1.15	0.24	1.07	1.38
<b>Critical Difference at 5%</b>	21.25	5.21	3.36	0.70	3.13	4.03
<b>F test</b>	S	S	S	S	S	S

### 3.2.3 Test weight (g)

The results indicate that the maximum test weight (45.22) was observed in treatment 6 (100% nitrogen + foliar spray of 4ml/L Nano urea). Nevertheless, treatment T2 (100 % RDN+ Two foliar spray of Nano urea @ 4ml/ L) Nevertheless, treatment at par T4:100 % RDN + Two spray of urea @ 4%, and T1 compare to the other treatments.

### 3.2.4 Spike length (cm)

The results indicate that the maximum Spike length (10.84 cm) was observed in treatment T2 (100% nitrogen + foliar spray of 4ml/L Nano urea). Nevertheless, treatment T2 (100 % RDN+ Two foliar spray of Nano urea @ 4ml/ L) Nevertheless, treatment at par T4:100 % RDN + Two spray of urea @ 4%, and T1 compare to the other treatments.

### 3.2.5 Grain yield (kg ha<sup>-1</sup>)

A substantial and increased grain yield (46.15 quintals/ha) was observed in treatment T2 (100% nitrogen + foliar spray of 4ml/L Nano urea). Nevertheless, treatment T2 (100 % RDN+ Two foliar spray of Nano urea @ 4ml/ L) Nevertheless, treatment at par T4:100 % RDN + Two spray of urea @ 4%, and T1 and significantly superior over rest treatments. Nitrogen was found to have a significant impact on grain yield. According to reports, the synergistic effect of nano-fertilizers enhances the efficacy of conventional fertilizers, leading to optimal nutrient absorption by plant cells, thereby promoting optimal growth and metabolic processes like photosynthesis. This, in turn, results in higher photosynthesis accumulation and translocation to the economic parts of the plant, contributing to a higher yield attributed to increased source (leaves) and sink (economic part) strength Ojha et al. [28]. The foliar application of nano-fertilizers has been reported to significantly increase crop yield. As mentioned earlier, Nano fertilizers may have influenced these processes through their nutrient transportation capabilities, facilitating the penetration and movement of a wide range of nutrients from root uptake to foliage penetration and movement within the plant. This finding aligns with similar observations reported by Ojha et al. [28] and Al-Juthery, et al. 2018, Rahman et al. [19].

### 3.2.6 Straw yield (kg ha<sup>-1</sup>)

The results indicated that a greater straw yield (57.92 quintals/ha) was observed in treatment T2 (100% nitrogen + foliar spray of 4ml/L Nano urea). Nevertheless, treatment T2 (100 % RDN+ Two foliar spray of Nano urea @ 4ml/ L) Nevertheless, treatment at par T4:100 % RDN + Two spray of urea @ 4%, and T1. In contrast, the lowest straw yield was recorded in treatment T12 (50 % RDN + Two spray of urea @ 4%) Ojha et al. [28].

## 4. CONCLUSION

In summary, the optimal fertilizer treatment for growth, yield, nutrient uptake, and fertilizer productivity was determined to be the foliar application of Nano fertilizer at a rate of 100% nitrogen + foliar spray of 4ml/L Nano urea In light of the aforementioned results, it can be concluded that treatment T2. Involving the application of 100% nitrogen along with a 100% nitrogen + foliar spray of 4ml/L Nano urea at 25 and 55 days after sowing, demonstrates positive effects on the growth parameters, yield, and yield attributes of wheat. It's important to note that these conclusions are based on a single season, and further trials may be necessary for additional confirmation. The study's results demonstrated a generally positive effect of combined Nano urea with traditional NPK nutrient supply on the growth and yield parameters of wheat in irrigated conditions.

## CONFERENCE DISCLAIMER

Some part of this manuscript was previously presented in the conference: "International Conference on Emerging Trends in Agriculture & Allied Sector for Sustainable Developments" organized by Faculty of Agricultural Sciences & Allied Industries, Rama University, Kanpur Nagar, U.P., India on 8th and 9th December, 2023. Web Link of the proceeding: <https://www.ramauniversity.ac.in/news-rama-university-hosts-successful-international-conference-on-emerging-trends-in-agriculture-12-49-5706>

## COMPETING INTERESTS

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

## REFERENCES

- Anonymous. Ministry of agriculture & farmers welfare. Final estimates of production of major crops released for the year 2022-23; OCT 2023. Available: <https://pib.gov.in/PressRelease/framePage.aspx?PRID=1968931>
- USDA. United States Department of Agriculture [Internet]; 2018. Available: USDA. Available: <http://www.fas.usda.gov>
- Hunter MC, Smith RG, Schipanski ME, Atwood LW, Mortensen DA. Agriculture in 2050: Recalibrating targets for sustainable intensification. *Biosci.* 2018;67(4):386—391. Available: <https://doi.org/10.1093/biosci/bix010>
- Sharma I, Chatrath R, Sendhil R. Challenges, target and strategies for sustainable wheat production for food security and nutrition. *Indian Farming.* 2013;63:3-6,17.
- ICAR-IIWBR. Vision. Karnal: ICAR- Indian Institute of Wheat and Barley Research. 2015;1-48
- Rizwana, Lyaqet. Traditional knowledge used in paddy cultivation in Raipur district, Chhattisgarh. *Indian Journal of Traditional Knowledge.* 2011;10(2):284-285.
- Kizilgeci F, Yildirim M, Islam MS, Ratnasekera D, Iqbal MA, Sabagh AE. Normalized difference vegetation index and chlorophyll content for precision nitrogen management in durum wheat cultivars under semi-arid conditions. *Sustainability.* 2021;13:3725. Available: <https://doi.org/10.3390/su13073725>
- Acquaah G. Principles of Plant Genetics and Breeding, 2nd Edn. Oxford: Blackwell. 2007;740. Available: <https://doi.org/10.1017/s0014479707005728>
- FAO. High level expert forum-how to feed the world in 2050. Economic and social development. Rome: Food and Agricultural Organization of the United Nations; 2009. Available: [https://doi.org/10.1787/agr\\_outlook-2009-sum-ko](https://doi.org/10.1787/agr_outlook-2009-sum-ko)
- AL-Taey DKA, Al-Janabi ASH, Rachid AM. Effect of water salinity, Organic and minerals fertilization on growth and some nutrients elements in cabbage *Brassica oleracea* var capitata. *Babylon Journal of Pure and Applied Science.* 2017;25(6): 232-248. Available: <https://doi.org/10.18782/2320-7051.5832>
- Al-Taey DKA, Mijwel AK, Al-Azawy SS. Study efficiency of poultry litter and kinetin in reduced effects of saline water in *Vicia faba*. *Research J. Pharm. and Tech.* 2018; 11(1):294-300. Available: <https://doi.org/10.5958/0974-360x.2018.00054.9>
- Gutierrez FJ, Mussons ML, Gatón P, Rojo R. Nanotechnology and food industry. Scientific, Health and Social Aspects of the Food Industry, In Tech, Croatia Book Chapt; 2011. Available: <https://doi.org/10.5772/33458>
- Trenkel ME. Slow-and controlled release and stabilized fertilizers: An option for enhancing nutrient use efficiency in agriculture. International Fertilizer Industry Association, Paris, France. 2010; 1–162.
- Solanki P, Bhargava A, Chhipa H, Jain N, Panwar J. Nano-fertilizers and their smart delivery system. In: Rai M, Ribeiro C, Mattoso L, Duran N (eds) *Nanotechnologies in food and agriculture.* Springer, Switzerland. 2015;81–101.
- Kumar A, Maurya NK, Pal RK, Verma PK, Kumar S. Effect of different date of sowing and granule sea weed extract (GSWE) on growth, yield attributes and yield of Wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.). *The Pharma Innovation Journal.* 2023;12(6): 6270-6273.
- Ziadi N, Bélanger G, Cambouris AN, Tremblay N, Nolin MC, Claessens A. Relationship between phosphorus and nitrogen concentrations in spring wheat. *Agron. J.* 2008;100(1):80-86. Available: <https://doi.org/10.2134/agrojn12007.0119>
- Al-Juthery HWA, Abdul Kareem H, Radhi F, Musa RF, Musa AH, Sahan. Maximize Growth and Yield of Wheat by Foliar application of Complete Nano-fertilizer and Some of Bio stimulators. *Res. Crops.* 2018;19:387-393. Available: <https://doi.org/10.31830/2348-7542.2018.0001.4>
- Singh MD, Gautam C, Patidar OP, Meena HM, Prakasha G, Vishwajith. Nano-Fertilizers is a new way to increase nutrients use efficiency in crop production. *International Journal of Agriculture.* review



- article. International Journal of Agriculture Sciences. 2017;9(7): 3831-3833.
19. Rahman IU, Aftab RA, Zafar I, Shafiul M. Foliar application of plant mineral nutrients on wheat: A Review. RRJAAS. 2014;3(2): 19-22.
  20. Gosavi AB, Deolankar KP, Chaure JS, Gadekar DA. Response of wheat for NPK foliar sprays under water stress condition. International Journal of Chemical Studies. 2017;5(4):766-768. Available:https://doi.org/10.31031/eaes.2019.06.000634 .
  21. Crista F, Isidora R, Florin S, Laura C, Adina B. Influence of NPK fertilizers upon winter wheat grain quality. Research Journal of Agricultural Science. 2012; 44(3):30-35. Available:https://doi.org/10.47750/pnr.2022.13.s09.012 .
  22. Abdel-Aziz HMM, Hasaneen MNA, Omer AM. Nano chitosan-NPK fertilizer enhances the growth and productivity of wheat plants grown in sandy soil. Spanish Journal of Agricultural Research. 2016; 14(1):e0902. Available:https://doi.org/10.5424/sjar/2016141-8205
  23. Saifullah A, Ranjha M, Yaseen M, Akhtar MF. Response of wheat to potassium fertilization under field conditions. Pak. J. Agric. Sci. 2002;39(4): 269-272.
  24. Zhang WJ, Jiang DG, Huang ZL, Zhou XN, Ma SI. Effects of nitrogen fertilizer application on canopy structure traits, grain yield and quality of wheat after rice. J. Triticeae Crops. 2018;38:164–174. Available:https://doi.org/10.21203/rs.3.rs-2680913/v1 .
  25. Guo ZP, Dong K, Zhu JH, Dong Y. Effects of nitrogen fertilizer and intercropping on faba bean rust occurrence and field microclimate. J. Nuclear Agric. Sci. 2019; 33:2294–2302. Available:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fcr.2021.108339 .
  26. Rawat AK, Sharma RS, Dubey AK and Naik KR. Refinement of agro- techniques for improving productivity of wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) under Rice (*Oryza sativa*) wheat agro- system. Indian J. Agron. 2000;45(4):636-640.
  27. Iqtidar H, Ayyaz KM, Ahmad KE. Bread wheat varieties as influenced by different nitrogen levels. Journal of Zhejiang University Science B. 2006;7(1):70-78. Available:https://doi.org/10.1631/jzus.2006.b0070 .
  28. Ojha A, Singh R, Sinha J. Effect of Nano Urea and Foliar Spray of Urea on Growth and Yield of Wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.). Int. J. Environ. Clim. Change. 2023; 13(11):474-481. Available:https://doi.org/10.9734/ijecc/2023/v13i113190 .
  29. Al-juthery h. Wa, ali nS, al-tae d, ali eahM. The impact of foliar application of nano-fertilizer, seaweed and hypertonic on yield of potato. Plant Archive. 2018;18(2): 2207-2212. Available:https://doi.org/10.1088/1742-6596/1294/9/092024 .
  30. Al-Juthery HW, Habeeb KH, Altaee FJK, AL-Taey DK, Al-Tawaha ARM. Effect of foliar application of different sources of nano-fertilizers on growth and yield of wheat. Bioscience Research. 2018;(4): 3976-3985. Available:https://doi.org/10.1088/1755-1315/388/1/012046 .

© 2023 Pal et al.; This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

*Peer-review history:*

The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here:

<https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/111061>