



# **Fruit Characteristics and Yield of Mango cv. Amrapali as Influenced by Bio-enhancers and Bio-fertilizers**

**Ankit Singh Bhadauria <sup>a</sup> and V. K. Tripathi <sup>a\*</sup>**

<sup>a</sup> Department of Horticulture, C.S. Azad University of Agriculture & Technology, Kanpur-208002, U.P., India.

## **Authors' contributions**

*This work was carried out in collaboration between both authors. Both authors read and approved the final manuscript.*

## **Article Information**

DOI: 10.9734/IJECC/2023/13i102855

## **Open Peer Review History:**

This journal follows the Advanced Open Peer Review policy. Identity of the Reviewers, Editor(s) and additional Reviewers, peer review comments, different versions of the manuscript, comments of the editors, etc are available here: <https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/105807>

**Original Research Article**

**Received: 23/06/2023**

**Accepted: 28/08/2023**

**Published: 01/09/2023**

## **ABSTRACT**

To study the "Fruit Characteristics and Yield of Mango cv. Amrapali as Influenced by Bio-enhancers and Bio-fertilizers" a field experiment was conducted in the Garden, Department of Horticulture, Chandra Shekhar Azad University of Agriculture and Technology, Kanpur (U.P.) during 2020-2021. The experiment consists of seven treatments *i.e.*, FYM (25 kg/tree/year) + Organic mulch (Paddy straw) *i.e.*, Control, FYM (25 kg/tree/year) + Organic mulch (Paddy straw) + Amritpani (20%) + *Azotobacter* (100 g/tree), FYM (25 kg/tree/year) + Organic mulch (Paddy straw) + Panchagavya (3%) + *Azotobacter* (100 g/tree), FYM (25 kg/tree/year) + Organic mulch (Paddy straw) + Jivamrit (20%) + *Azotobacter* (100 g/tree), FYM (25 kg/tree/year) + Organic mulch (Paddy straw) + Amritpani (20%) + PSB culture (100 g/tree), FYM (25 kg/tree/year) + Organic mulch (Paddy straw) + Panchagavya (3%) + PSB culture (100 g/tree), FYM (25 kg/tree/year) + Organic mulch (Paddy straw) + Jivamrit (20%) + PSB culture (100 g/tree) which were replicated thrice in randomized block design by using one plant as a unit per treatment. The application of different bio-enhancers and bio-fertilizers to mango plant was made as per the requirement of the treatment.

\*Corresponding author: E-mail: [drvktripathicsa@gmail.com](mailto:drvktripathicsa@gmail.com);

The experimental results clearly revealed that the plants treated with the application of FYM (25 kg/tree/year) + Organic mulch (Paddy straw) + Panchagavya (3%) + *Azotobacter* (100g/tree) produced fruits with significantly higher length, width, weight, volume, pulp weight, pulp:peel ratio with more yield. However, the fruit with minimum fruit length, width, weight, volume, pulp weight, pulp:peel ratio, and yield were recorded from the plants treated with the application of FYM (25 kg/tree/year) + Organic mulch (Paddy straw) *i.e.*, Control. Thus, on the basis of the above observations, this can be suggested that the application of FYM (25 kg/tree/year) + Organic mulch (Paddy straw) + Panchagavya (3%) + *Azotobacter* (100 g/tree) were effective for substantially higher physical fruit characteristics and yield under the sub-tropical plains of Central Uttar Pradesh, India.

**Keywords:** *Mango; amrapali; azotobacter; panchagavya; physical characteristics; PSB; jivamrit, organic mulch.*

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Mango (*Mangifera indica* L.) an important commercial fruit crop of India which is known as “King of Fruits”. It is widely cultivated throughout the tropical and sub-tropical zones of world except in hilly regions. It grows well in alluvial to lateritic soil types which are considered to be good for its cultivation. Mango is a nutritionally rich fruit and 100 g pulp of mango fruit encompasses about 81.7 g water, 16 g carbohydrate, 0.7 g protein, 0.4 g fat and 0.1 g fibre. A single fruit can provide up to 40% of daily dietary fibre needs (Singh et al., 2018).

The use of bio-fertilizers and bio-enhancers formulations has great importance for sustainable production and to improve the soils physical, chemical and biological properties. The increasing cost of chemical fertilizers and their harmful effects on soil health became a major issue for growers. Therefore, cost-effective, sustainable and alternative organic sources are required to fulfil the nutrient requirements.

The important bio-enhancers can be prepared at the farmer's field are Panchagavya, Amritpani, Jivamrit, Bijamrit, Vermiwash *etc.* [1]. Use of these in fruit crops enhances the quality of fruits and improve the yield attributes, thereby results in higher soil fertility, crop quality, and crop productivity. Amritpani, it is an important bio-enhancer, which can easily be prepared by the farmers itself. The available micro-organism in Amritpani are *Actinomyces*, *Pseudomonas*, Phosphorous solubilising bacteria, *Azotobacter* and *Azospirillum*. Jivamrit, is also prepared the same as Amritpani except the addition of some other ingredients such as jaggery and pulse flour and banyan tree soil. The micro-organism in Jivamrit is also more or less the same as Amritpani (Ram and Pathak, 2007).

Bio-fertilizers are the living or latent cells which have the capacity of mobilizing the soil nutrients in the soil from unavailable to available form by microbial activities. The use of bio-fertilizers like *Azotobacter* and PSB with organic mulch through paddy straw along with the application of farm yard manure. play a significant role in enhancing crop production and are referred to as eco-friendly and cost-effective in nature for farmers.

The integration of bio-enhancers and bio-fertilizers components when applied in an appropriate ratio to the mango tree components will help to supply a balanced supply of micro and macronutrients and enhance the yield. It further also improves soil health by providing sustainable high productivity on a long-term basis (Ghosh, 2009). Thus, keeping the above fact in view, an experiment was conducted to assess the “Fruit Characteristics and Yield of Mango cv. Amrapali as Influenced by Bio-enhancers and Bio-fertilizers.”

## 2. MATERIALS AND METHOD

**Weather and Climate Details:** The experimental site, in general is bestowed with sub-tropical climate with hot dry summer and cold winters. The mean annual rainfall of the experimental site is 800-880mm of which 70-75 per cent rainfall is received from June to September, whereas remaining 25-30 per cent of rains is received in few showers during winters.

**Experimental Site:** An investigation was conducted in the Garden, Department of Horticulture, Chandra Shekhar Azad University of Agriculture and Technology, Kanpur (U.P.). Geographically, the experimental site is located at 25° 26' N latitude and 79° 31' E longitude at an elevation of 125.90 meters above mean sea level

falling in the alluvial belt of Gangatic plains located in the central part of Uttar Pradesh, India.

**Soil Type:** The soil of the experimental field was sandy loam in texture, and slightly alkaline in reaction, low in organic carbon (3.9 g/kg), available nitrogen (168.89 kg/ha) but medium in available phosphorus (21.63 kg/ha) and potassium (263.13 kg/ha) with electrical conductivity (0.46 dSm<sup>-1</sup> at 25°C).

**Experimental Design and Treatment Details:**

The field experiment comprised of seven treatments, which were laid out in randomized block design with three replications. The treatments comprised of FYM (25 kg/tree/year) + Organic mulch (Paddy straw) *i.e.*, Control, FYM (25 kg/tree/year) + Organic mulch (Paddy straw) + Amritpani (20%) + *Azotobacter* (100g/tree), FYM (25 kg/tree/year) + Organic mulch (Paddy straw) + Panchagavya (3%) + *Azotobacter* (100 g/tree), FYM (25 kg/tree/year) + Organic mulch (Paddy straw) + Jivamrit (20%) + *Azotobacter* (100 g/tree), FYM (25 kg/tree/year) + Organic mulch (Paddy straw) + Amritpani (20%) + PSB culture (100 g/tree), FYM (25 kg/tree/year) + Organic mulch (Paddy straw) + Panchagavya (3%) + PSB culture (100 g/tree), FYM (25 kg/tree/year) + Organic mulch (Paddy straw) + Jivamrit (20%) + PSB culture (100 g/tree).

**Methodology and Crop Management:** In mango cultivar Amrapali, application of bio-enhancers and bio-fertilizers was applied as per the requirement of the treatments. The application of bio-enhancers and bio-fertilizers were done before and after flowering. For the foliar spray on mango plant such as to adequately drench the entire foliage, 10 litres of solution was used for the spraying which is done by using pneumatic foot sprayer fitted with nozzle in the afternoon from 4.00 pm to 6.00 pm. For spraying on top of plant, high legged stool was used to fully ensure that all side of the plant was drenched completely. To avoid the spread of surplus spray under the plants, Paddy straw was used as mulch material in the root zone area of plants. Further, the crop was managed as per regional recommendations of the crop.

**Fruit Characteristics and Yield:** Data on various physical characteristics were recorded after the fruits were ripened and removed from the tree. Physical attributes *viz.* fruit length, width, weight, volume, peel weight pulp weight, pulp:peel ratio was recorded from randomly selected 5 fruits from the tree. Data on yield were

recorded by weighing all the harvested fruit on a weighing machine.

**Statistical Analysis:** Statistical analysis on physical attributes and yield was performed to examine the effect of different treatments. The analysis of variance was conducted using OP-Stat developed by CCSHAU, Hisar for all observations recorded during the years. Fisher's test of significance was used to compare the difference between means at 5 % probability level. Standard errors along with critical difference at 5% of significance were computed for discriminating the treatment effects for chance effects.

### 3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

**Fruit Size (Length and Width of Fruits):** The data related to fruit size (length and width) is presented in Table 1 revealed that the fruits maximum length and width (10.20 and 6.15 cm, respectively) were recorded in fruits which were produced from the mango plants which were treated with the application of FYM (25 kg/tree/year) + Organic mulch (Paddy straw) + Panchagavya (3%) + *Azotobacter* (100 g/tree) which was statistically at par with the fruits which were produced from the plants which were treated with FYM (25 kg/tree/year) + Organic mulch (Paddy straw) + Panchagavya (3%) + PSB culture (100 g/tree) (9.73 and 5.90 cm, respectively) and FYM (25 kg/tree/year) + Organic mulch (Paddy straw) + Jivamrit (20%) + *Azotobacter* (100g/tree) (9.60 and 5.75 cm, respectively). The minimum fruit length and width (8.30 and 4.80 cm, respectively) was recorded in fruits which were produced from the mango plants which were fertilized with the application of FYM (25 kg/tree/year) + Organic mulch (Paddy straw) *i.e.*, Control. This may be because panchgavya and jivamrit contain significant numbers of helpful microbial populations, as well as small amounts of macronutrients, micronutrients, and growth-promoting substances that, when applied to crops as foliar spray and through soil, encourage the necessary plant growth. Additionally, liquid bio-enhancer along with bio-fertilizers makes nitrogen and phosphorus more available to produce larger cells with thinner cell walls, contribute to cell division and elongation, promote vegetative growth, and ultimately improve metabolic and photosynthetic activity for improving the biological efficiency of the plant [2]. This results in the accumulation of more carbohydrates and higher nutrients. These findings were supported

by the results by Shubha et al. [3], Ramesh et al. [4], Sharma et al. [5], Siddappa et al. [6]. Tripathi et al., [7] also recorded maximum size of fruits in strawberry with the application of *Azotobacter* and PSB each at 6 kg per hectare.

**Fruit Weight (g):** It was observed that the fruits with maximum weight (223.93g) was recorded in fruits which were produced from the plants which were treated with the application of FYM (25 kg/tree/year) + Organic mulch (Paddy straw) + Panchagavya (3%) + *Azotobacter* (100 g/tree) which was statistically at par with the application of FYM (25 kg/tree/year) + Organic mulch (Paddy straw) + Panchagavya (3%) + PSB culture (100g/tree) and FYM (25 kg/tree/year) + Organic mulch (Paddy straw) + Jivamrit (20%) + *Azotobacter* (100 g/tree) which produced the fruits having weight (215.47 and 209.07g respectively). The minimum fruit weight (167.47g) was recorded with the application of FYM (25 kg/tree/year) + Organic mulch (Paddy straw) *i.e.*, Control. This is consistent with the fact that taller plants have more branches, which increases the photosynthetic area. Favourable physiological activities may have increased the production and translocation of photosynthates, which in turn sped up the formation of more fruits with larger sizes and increased fruit yield [8]. According to Panjavarnam et al. [9], the use of panchagavya may also have increased fruit set while decreasing flower shedding. The fruit output in many crop plants was improved by the increased biological efficiency of the plants due to better chlorophyll synthesis, nutrition availability, and growth-promoting chemicals [8,10].

**Fruit Volume (cc):** The data related to fruit volume were presented in Table-1 clearly revealed the maximum fruit volume (238.12 cc) was recorded from mango plants treated with FYM (25 kg/tree/year) + Organic mulch (Paddy straw) + Panchagavya (3%) + *Azotobacter* (100 g/tree) which was statistically at par with the application of FYM (25 kg/tree/year) + Organic mulch (Paddy straw) + Panchagavya (3%) + PSB culture (100 g/tree) and FYM (25 kg/tree/year) + Organic mulch (Paddy straw) + Jivamrit (20%) + *Azotobacter* (100 g/tree) (227.40 cc). The fruits minimum volume was recorded with FYM (25 kg/tree/year) + Organic mulch (Paddy straw) *i.e.*, Control. The results presented here are in agreement with the findings of Kumawat et al., [8], which reported that the Panchagavya is an effective and sustainable alternative to conventional NPK fertilization. They also showed

a positive impact on vegetative growth and the yield of fruits with the desired quality in mango production. Therefore, the combined use of bio-fertilizer, Panchagavya, and FYM improved the mango's fruiting characteristics because more food material was accumulated in the trees and was used effectively for the production of fruits. Sau et al. [11], Tripathi et al., [12] in strawberry and Tripathi et al., [13] in aonla. The usage of Panchagavya coupled with FYM and bio-fertilizers resulted in the accumulation of additional food material and its effective utilization for the growth of fruits, which led to the rise in fruit volume.

**Peel Weight (g):** It was observed that, the minimum peel weight (15.25 g) was recorded with the application of FYM (25 kg/tree/year) + Organic mulch (Paddy straw) + Panchagavya (3%) + *Azotobacter* (100 g/tree) which was statistically at par with the application of FYM (25 kg/tree/year) + Organic mulch (Paddy straw) + Panchagavya (3%) + PSB culture (100 g/tree) and FYM (25 kg/tree/year) + Organic mulch (Paddy straw) + Jivamrit (20%) + *Azotobacter* (100 g/tree) which produced peel weight of 15.37g (Table 2). The maximum peel weight (19.33 g) was recorded in fruits that were produced in the plants treated with FYM (25 kg/tree/year) + Organic mulch (Paddy straw) *i.e.*, Control. This is well known fact that panchagavya is efficient in enhancing fruit attributes. It is rich in minerals, vitamins, important amino acids, compounds that promote growth like IAA and GA, and some helpful organisms [1]. Application of bio-fertilizers also affects peel content in banana as reported by Ganapathi, and Dharmatti [14]., Nayyer et al. [15] and Tripathi.

**Pulp weight (g):** The data related to pulp weight presented in Table 2 revealed that, the maximum pulp weight (74.14 g) was recorded in fruits which were produced from the plants treated with the FYM (25 kg/tree/year) + Organic mulch (Paddy straw) + Panchagavya (3%) + *Azotobacter* (100g/tree) which was statistically at par with the application of FYM (25 kg/tree/year) + Organic mulch (Paddy straw) + Panchagavya (3%) + PSB culture (100 g/tree) and FYM (25 kg/tree/year) + Organic mulch (Paddy straw) + Jivamrit (20%) + *Azotobacter* (100 g/tree) which produced pulp weight (73.01g). The minimum pulp weight (64.08 g) was recorded in the fruits which were produced from the plants having application of FYM (25 kg/tree/year) + Organic mulch (Paddy straw) *i.e.*, Control. This is

**Table 1. Influence of bio-enhancers and bio-fertilizers on physical characteristics of mango fruit**

S.No.	Treatments	Fruit length (cm)	Fruit width (cm)	Fruit weight (g)	Fruit volume (g/cc)
T <sub>1</sub>	FYM (25 kg/tree/year) + Organic mulch (Paddy straw) <i>i.e.</i> , Control	8.30	4.80	167.47	168.90
T <sub>2</sub>	FYM (25 kg/tree/year) + Organic mulch (Paddy straw) + Amritpani (20%) + <i>Azotobacter</i> (100 g/tree)	9.40	5.48	190.53	193.81
T <sub>3</sub>	FYM (25 kg/tree/year) + Organic mulch (Paddy straw) + Panchagavya (3%) + <i>Azotobacter</i> (100 g/tree)	10.20	6.15	223.93	238.12
T <sub>4</sub>	FYM (25 kg/tree/year) + Organic mulch (Paddy straw) + Jivamrit (20%) + <i>Azotobacter</i> (100 g/tree)	9.60	5.75	209.07	219.67
T <sub>5</sub>	FYM (25 kg/tree/year) + Organic mulch (Paddy straw) + Amritpani (20%) + PSB culture (100 g/tree)	9.15	5.35	186.27	188.62
T <sub>6</sub>	FYM (25 kg/tree/year) + Organic mulch (Paddy straw) + Panchagavya (3%) + PSB culture (100 g/tree)	9.73	5.90	215.47	227.40
T <sub>7</sub>	FYM (25 kg/tree/year) + Organic mulch (Paddy straw) + Jivamrit (20%) + PSB culture (100 g/tree)	9.58	5.65	198.33	206.34
SEm±		0.205	0.163	6.246	6.246
CD at 5%		0.605	0.481	18.427	18.427

**Table 2. Influence of bio-enhancers and bio-fertilizers on peel weight, pulp weight, peel:pulp ratio and fruit yield of mango**

S.No.	Treatments	Peel weight	Pulp weight	Peel:pulp ratio	Fruit yield
T <sub>1</sub>	FYM (25 kg/tree/year) + Organic mulch (Paddy straw) <i>i.e.</i> Control	19.33	64.08	3.48	28.58
T <sub>2</sub>	FYM (25 kg/tree/year) + Organic mulch (Paddy straw) + Amritpani (20%) + <i>Azotobacter</i> (100 g/tree)	16.76	68.99	4.39	36.64
T <sub>3</sub>	FYM (25 kg/tree/year) + Organic mulch (Paddy straw) + Panchagavya (3%) + <i>Azotobacter</i> (100 g/tree)	15.25	74.14	5.22	52.84
T <sub>4</sub>	FYM (25 kg/tree/year) + Organic mulch (Paddy straw) + Jivamrit (20%) + <i>Azotobacter</i> (100 g/tree)	16.21	71.55	4.80	44.73
T <sub>5</sub>	FYM (25 kg/tree/year) + Organic mulch (Paddy straw) + Amritpani (20%) + PSB culture (100 g/tree)	17.77	67.20	4.08	33.56
T <sub>6</sub>	FYM (25 kg/tree/year) + Organic mulch (Paddy straw) + Panchagavya (3%) + PSB culture (100 g/tree)	15.37	73.01	5.07	48.55
T <sub>7</sub>	FYM (25 kg/tree/year) + Organic mulch (Paddy straw) + Jivamrit (20%) + PSB culture (100 g/tree)	16.47	70.02	4.56	39.93
SEm±		0.401	0.980	0.176	3.032
CD at 5%		1.183	2.893	0.519	8.944

because panchagavya, jivamrit and amritpani along with bio-fertilizers acts as a potent plant growth stimulant, improve the biological effectiveness of crops, encourage a flurry of biological activity in the soil, and make nutrients readily available to the crop. By applying these organic liquid formulations, soil microbial activity and population were increased to a higher level, which helped with phosphate solubilization, nitrogen fixation, and other processes. Due to the consistent and continuous supply of nutrients during the whole crop growth cycle, this in turn has a favourable impact on growth. These findings were supported by Tripathi et al. [7], Shubha et al. [3] and Ramesh et al. [4].

**Pulp: Peel Ratio:** The data related to pulp: peel ratio presented in Table 2 revealed that, the maximum pulp: peel ratio (5.22) was recorded in mango plants which were treated with FYM (25 kg/tree/year) + Organic mulch (Paddy straw) + Panchagavya (3%) + *Azotobacter* (100 g/tree) which was statistically at par with the application of FYM (25 kg/tree/year) + Organic mulch (Paddy straw) + Panchagavya (3%) + PSB culture (100 g/tree) and FYM (25 kg/tree/year) + Organic mulch (Paddy straw) + Jivamrit (20%) + *Azotobacter* (100 g/tree). The minimum pulp:peel ratio (3.48) was recorded with the application of FYM (25 kg/tree/year) + Organic mulch (Paddy straw) *i.e.*, Control. The findings of Shubha et al. [3] and Ramesh et al. [4], are in agreement with the present findings.

**Fruit Yield:** It was observed from Table-2 that application of FYM (25 kg/tree/year) + Organic mulch (Paddy straw) + Panchagavya (3%) + *Azotobacter* (100 g/tree) recorded the maximum fruit yield (52.84 kg/tree) which was statistically at par with the application of FYM (25 kg/tree/year) + Organic mulch (Paddy straw) + Panchagavya (3%) + PSB culture (100 g/tree) and FYM (25 kg/tree/year) + Organic mulch (Paddy straw) + Jivamrit (20%) + *Azotobacter* (100 g/tree) which produced fruits yield (48.55 kg/tree). The minimum fruit yield (28.58 kg/tree) was recorded in the plants treated with FYM (25 kg/tree/year) + Organic mulch (Paddy straw) *i.e.*, Control. This increase in yield during present experimentation period may be due to the fact that the panchagavya, jivamrit, amritpani and bio-fertilizers have helpful in increasing availability of both major and minor nutrients, as well as they have a variety of microorganisms, particularly bacteria. Swaminathan [16] reported that the presence of naturally occurring beneficial microorganism mostly bacteria, yeast,

actinomycetes, and photosynthetic bacteria improves plant development, metabolic processes, and resistance to pests and diseases. These microorganisms enhanced the soil ecosystem and increased nutrient availability from source to sink, which may increase the production and quality of the various fruit crops [17] and Dutta and Kundu [18] found a comparable outcome. The increased fruit yield and fruit output might be linked to higher nutrient levels in the vicinity of the plant area of assimilation, which also accelerated the formation of dry matter and improved the yield through logical distribution to the economic part. The findings of Tripathi et al. [17], Gupta and Tripathi [19] in strawberry and Tiwari et al. (2017), Patil et al. [20], Nayer et al. [15] and Yadav et al. [21], Tripathi [22] in banana, and others are in agreement with the result of present findings [23].

#### 4. CONCLUSION

From the results of present investigation, it was found that the application of FYM (25 kg/tree/year) + Organic mulch (Paddy straw) + Panchagavya (3%) + *Azotobacter* (100 g/tree) recorded a significantly higher fruit length, width, weight, volume, pulp weight, pulp:peel ratio and fruit yield. Thus, it can be safely said that application of FYM (25 kg/tree/year) + Organic mulch (Paddy straw) + Panchagavya (3%) + *Azotobacter* (100 g/tree) should be made under the sub-tropical plains of Central Uttar Pradesh, India.

#### COMPETING INTERESTS

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

#### REFERENCES

1. Pathak RK, Ram RA. Bio-enhancers: A potential tool to improve soil fertility, plant health in organic production of horticultural crops. *Progressive Horticulture*. 2013;45: 28-33.
2. Uppari SN, Lokesh BK, Maraddi GN, Agnal MB. Jivamrit, a new organic approach for disease management and crop production in pomegranate and groundnut. *Environment and Ecology*. 2009;27(1):202-204.
3. Shubha S, Devakumar N, Rao GGE, Gowda SB. Effect of seed treatment, Panchagavya application and organic

- farming systems on soil microbial population, growth and yield of maize. In Proceeding of 4<sup>th</sup> ISOFAR Scientific Conference 'Building Organic Bridges' at the Organic World Congress, October 13-15, 2014, Istanbul, Turkey; 2014.
4. Ramesh S, Sudhakar P, Elankavi S. Effect of liquid organic supplements on growth and yield of maize (*Zea mays* L.). International Journal of Current Research. 2015;7(11):23119-23122.
  5. Sharma J, Sharma BC, Puniya R, Jamwal S. Effect of Seed Priming and Plant Geometry on Yield and economics of Wheat in Modified System of Wheat Intensification under Sub Tropics of Jammu. Indian Journal of Ecology. 2022; 49(5):1696-1699.
  6. Siddappa MK, Devkumar N. Organically grown field bean (*Lablab purpureus* Var. lignosus) using jivamrit and farm yard manure. National Conference on Sustain Self Sufficient Production of Pulses through an Integrated Approach. Bengaluru. 2016;105.
  7. Tripathi VK, Mishra AN, Kumar S, Tiwari B. Efficacy of Azotobacter and PSB on Vegetative Growth, Flowering, Yield and Quality of Strawberry cv. Chandler. Progressive Horticultur. 2014;46(1):48-53.
  8. Kumawat RN, Mahajan SS, Mertia RS. Response of cumin (*Cuminum cyminum* L.) to 'Panchagavya' and plant leaf extracts in arid western Rajasthan. Journal of Spices and Aromatic Crops. 2009;18(2): 92-99.
  9. Panjavarnam G, Parthiban S, Subbiah A, Jeyakumar P, Gopal NO. Studies on the impact of planting density and nutrient management on growth and yield of banana cv. Ney Poovan under coconut plantation. IJCS. 2018;6(6):1230-1233.
  10. Tripathi VK, Kumar S, Gupta AK. Influence of Azotobacter and vermicompost on growth, flowering, yield and quality of Strawberry cv. Chandler. Indian Journal of Agricultural Sciences. 2015a;72(2):201-205.
  11. Sau S, Mandal P, Sarkar T, Das K, Datta P. Influence of bio-fertilizer and liquid organic manures on growth, fruit quality and leaf mineral content of mango cv. Himsagar. Journal of Crop and Weed. 2017;13(1):132-136.
  12. Tripathi VK, Jain A, Kumar S, Dubey V, Kumar A. Efficacy of Bio-fertilizers and mulching on growth, yield and quality of strawberry (*Fragaria x ananassa*) cv. Chandler. Indian Journal of Agricultural Sciences. 2017;87(9):1179-1183.
  13. Tripathi VK, Bahadur Shyam, Dubey Vishal, Kumar Abhinav. Influence of integrated nutrient management on yield and physicochemical parameters of Aonla cv. NA-7. Progressive Research-An International Journal. 2015b;10(Special-VI):3493-3496.
  14. Ganapathi T, Dharmatti PR. Effect of integrated nutrient modules on growth, yield and quality parameters of banana cv. Grand Naine International Journal of Current Microbiology and Applied Sciences. 2018;7(1):1974-1984.
  15. Nayyer Md. Abu, Tripathi VK, Kumar S, Lal Deepa, Tiwari Bharat. Influence of integrated nutrient management on growth, yield and quality of tissue cultured banana (*Musa paradisiaca*) cv. Grand Naine. Indian Journal of Agricultural Sciences. 2014;84(6):680-683.
  16. Swaminathan C, Swaminathan V, Vijayalakshmi V. Panchagavya Boon to Organic Farming. International Book Distributing Corporation, India; 2007.
  17. Tripathi VK, Kumar S, Kumar K, Kumar S, Dubey V. Influence of Azotobacter, Azospirillum and PSB on vegetative growth, flowering, yield and quality of Strawberry cv. Chandler. Progressive Horticulture. 2016;48(1).
  18. Dutta P, Kundu S. Effect of bio-fertilizers on nutrient status and fruit quality of Himsagar mango grown in new alluvial zones of West Bengal. Crop and Weed. 2012;8(1):72-74.
  19. Gupta AK, Tripathi VK. Efficacy of Azotobacter and vermicompost alone and in combination on vegetative growth, flowering, yield and quality of Strawberry (*Fragaria x ananassa*) cv. Chandler. Progressive Horticulture. 2012;44(2):256-261.
  20. Patil DR, Patil HB, Prashanth JM, Patil SN. Studies on the integrated nutrient management strategies for higher productivity in mango cv. Alphonso. Karnataka Journal of Agricultural Science. 2005;18(3):862-864.
  21. Yadav AK, Singh JK, Singh HK. Studies on integrated nutrient management in flowering, fruiting, yield and quality of mango cv. Amrapali under high density



- orcharding. *Indian Journal of Horticulture*. 2011;68(4):453-460.
22. Tripathi VK. Influence of Integrated Nutrient Management in Ratoon Crop of Tissue Cultured Banana. *Progressive Research-An International Journal*. 2017; 12(Special-IV):2577-2580.
23. Ansari N, Khunte SD, Prasad VM. Effect of Bio-fertilizers with different levels of N, P and K on physic-chemical properties of strawberry (*Fragaria x ananassa* Duch.) cv. Chandler. *International Journal of Current Microbiology and Applied Science*. 2020;9(1):727-732.

---

© 2023 Bhadoria and Tripathi; This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

*Peer-review history:*

*The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here:*  
<https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/105807>