



Volume 35, Issue 21, Page 197-201, 2023; Article no.JAMMR.99957 ISSN: 2456-8899 (Past name: British Journal of Medicine and Medical Research, Past ISSN: 2231-0614, NLM ID: 101570965)

Scleral Buckling: Where we are, Choice from World Over

Hussain Ahmad Khaqan ^{a++}, Hafiz Ateeq ur Rehman ^{a#}, Laraib Hassan ^{a†*}, Muhammad Usman Zia ^{a†}, Ahmad Fauzan ^{b†} and Hafiz Mubashir Farooqui ^{b†}

 ^a Department of Ophthalmology, Post Graduate Medical Institute, Ameer-ud-Din Medical College, Lahore General Hospital, Lahore, Pakistan.
^b Post Graduate Medical Institute, Ameer-ud-Din Medical College, Lahore General Hospital, Lahore, Pakistan.

Authors' contributions

This work was carried out in collaboration among all authors. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Article Information

DOI: 10.9734/JAMMR/2023/v35i215226

Open Peer Review History:

This journal follows the Advanced Open Peer Review policy. Identity of the Reviewers, Editor(s) and additional Reviewers, peer review comments, different versions of the manuscript, comments of the editors, etc are available here: https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/99957

Original Research Article

Received: 22/06/2023 Accepted: 30/08/2023 Published: 21/09/2023

ABSTRACT

The purpose of this study is to summarize the latest reports on the management of rhegmatogenous retinal detachment and to suggest management guidelines for choosing a surgical method in patients with rhegmatogenous retinal detachment. Most rhegmatogenous retinal detachments led to full retinal detachment and vision loss in the affected eye prior to the period of scleral buckling (SB). Scleral buckling was developed in the 1950s, allowing surgeons to treat rhegmatogenous retinal detachment surgically. The study gave questionnaire to 109 retinal surgeons from 18 different countries like Europe, America, and Asia etc. Surgical management of eyes with rhegmatogenous retinal detachment associated with proliferative vitreoretinopathy (PVR)

++ Professor;

[#] Consultant ophthalmologist,

[†] Post Graduate Resident;

^{*}Corresponding author: E-mail: drlaraib041@gmail.com;

J. Adv. Med. Med. Res., vol. 35, no. 21, pp. 197-201, 2023

depends on the location and extent of membranes. Pars plana vitrectomy is needed for eyes with posterior and extensive anterior epiretinal proliferations with or without subretinal strands to remove the contractile membranes and release the resultant retinal shortening.

Keywords: Rhegmatogenous retinal detachment; surgical management; epiretinal proliferations; vitreoretinal traction.

1. INTRODUCTION

"Rhegmatogenous retinal detachment (RRD) is a potentially blinding condition that occurs when the inner neurosensory retina separates from the outer retinal pigment epithelium due to a breach in the sensory retina's structural integrity" [1]. "The creation of a retinal break, vitreoretinal traction, and liquefied vitreous entry through the breach results in primary rhegmatogenous retinal "Rhegmatogenous detachment" [2]. retinal detachment has a prevalence of 6.3 to 17.9 per 100,000, with those in their sixties having [1,3,4]. hiahest incidence" the "Most rhegmatogenous retinal detachments led to full retinal detachment and vision loss in the affected eve prior to the period of scleral buckling (SB). Scleral buckling was developed in the 1950s, allowing surgeons to treat rhegmatogenous retinal detachment surgically" [5,6].

Even after the advent of pars plana vitrectomy (PPV), which was introduced as a new treatment option by Robert Machemer [7], scleral buckling had been the standard technique for rheamatogenous retinal detachment for several decades, and pars plana vitrectomy (PPV) was considered as a supplemental procedure to scleral buckling in complicated cases, such as proliferative vitreoretinopathy (PVR). "Evolution of vitrectomy machines and related instruments has significantly increased the number of pars plana vitrectomies in recent years" [8-12].

"There have been several clinical trials comparing the two methods" [13-18]. The scleral buckling vs. primary vitrectomy in rhegmatogenous retinal detachment (SPR) study [18] was the largest randomized clinical trial, and it showed that anatomic and functional outcomes comparable. of the two methods were Apparently, pars plana vitrectomy (PPV) has become more popular as the primary procedure for management of rhegmatogenous retinal detachment. Scleral buckling is sometimes considered an uncomfortable outdated operation for the surgeon compared to pars plana vitrectomy (PPV), as it required more anesthesia and repeated taking on and off the indirect

ophthalmoscope. In addition, scleral buckling might induce change of refractive errors or diplopia postoperatively. Nevertheless, scleral buckling has apparent merits over pars plana vitrectomy (PPV) in selected cases.

The purpose of this study is to summarize the latest reports on the management of rhegmatogenous retinal detachment and to suggest management guidelines for choosing a surgical method in patients with rhegmatogenous retinal detachment.

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

We did a survey regarding management of rhegmatogenous retinal detachment whether retinal surgeons prefer scleral buckling or vitrectomy. We gave questionnaire to 109 retinal surgeons from 18 different countries like Europe, America, and Asia etc.

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The questionnaire had following questions:

1. Do you perform scleral buckling?

91.7% retinal surgeons perform scleral buckling and 6.4% do not.

2. Is scleral buckling procedure of choice for rhegmatogenous retinal detachment if rhegmatogenous retinal detachment fits in criteria for scleral buckling?

85.3% said yes and 11.9% said no.

3. What is your preference: Scleral buckling or vitrectomy, if rhegmatogenous retinal detachment fits in criteria of scleral buckling?

66% said that they will do vitrectomy and 41.3% said that they will do scleral buckling.

4. How many scleral buckling you do for rhegmatogenous retinal detachment in a month?

68.8% retinal surgeons said that they do 5 or less than 5 scleral buckling for rhegmatogenous retinal detachment in a month while 22% said

that they do more than 5 scleral buckling for rhegmatogenous retinal detachment in a month.

5. How many Vitrectomies you have performed for rhegmatogenous retinal detachment that fits in criteria of scleral buckling in a month?

28.4% retinal surgeons said that they do 5 or less than 5 vitrectomies for rhegmatogenous retinal detachment that fits in criteria of scleral buckling in a month, 17.4% said that they do more than 5 vitrectomies, 33% said that they do not do vitrectomies for rhegmatogenous retinal detachment that fits in criteria of scleral buckling while 16.5% did not answer the question.

6. How many Vitrectomies you have done for all sort of rhegmatogenous retinal detachment in a month?

75.2% said 5 or less than 5 while 7.3% said more than 5.

Surgical management of eves with rheamatogenous retinal detachment associated proliferative vitreoretinopathy with (PVR) depends on the location and extent of membranes. Pars plana vitrectomy is needed for eyes with posterior and extensive anterior epiretinal proliferations with or without subretinal strands to remove the contractile membranes and release the resultant retinal shortening. Lewis et al [12] reported that "during vitrectomy for proliferative vitreoretinopathy (PVR) with preand sub-retinal proliferations, only 28% of subretinal strands required special surgical therapy such as removal or transection, and the remainder did not interfere with conventional reattachment maneuvers. Moreover, the visual prognosis was reasonably good in the majority of patients that did not require removal or transection of the strands".

"On the other hand, if subretinal strand removal was necessary, the anatomic and visual outcomes were relatively poor with only 65% chance of final success and 20% chance of return to the ambulatory vision. The treatment of choice in eyes with proliferative vitreoretinopathy (PVR) associated with isolated subretinal proliferations (i.e., without preretinal membranes) is not clear. Wallyn and Hilton reported retinal reattachment rate of 95% with scleral buckling surgery in 20 eyes with isolated subretinal proliferation". [19,20,21]

Yao et al reported "results of scleral buckling surgery in 40 eyes with rhegmatogenous retinal

detachment and subretinal proliferation. Four eyes in their series had a small local preretinal membrane but without evidence of a starfold. In two eyes, the retina was not reattached and vitrectomy was performed. In one eye, the surgery was terminated after a narrow funnelshaped retinal detachment was more clearly visualized after cataract surgery. The single surgery anatomical success was 90%".

Our results compare favorably with those reported by Yao et al, with a single surgery anatomical success rate of 88.7%. In our study, however, the retina was attached in all eyes immediately after surgery. The reason for redetachment was the development of proliferative vitreoretinopathy (PVR) in four eyes and a missed retinal break in another eye. Moreover, the scleral buckling surgery was successful in all eyes with proliferative vitreoretinopathy (PVR) CA1 at the baseline.

"In these eyes, retinal reattachment and closure of retinal breaks resulted in the arrest of the epiretinal proliferation process, and the placement of an encircling buckle for an anterior proliferative vitreoretinopathy (PVR) effectively released the retinal traction exerted by the epiretinal proliferation. There is no standard grading system for classification of the severity of the subretinal proliferation".[22,23]

We arbitrarily graded the subretinal proliferation based on the quadrants of proliferation. No correlation was found between the number of involved guadrants and the need for additional vitrectomy. "Pars plana vitrectomy in patients rhegmatogenous with retinal detachment associated with subretinal proliferations requiring subretinal surgery may be associated with significant intraoperative complications including choroidal or retinal hemorrhage, subretinal air, and unplanned extension of the retinotomies. Furthermore, after vitrectomy, long-term intraocular tamponade with silicone oil or C3F8 is required. Intraocular tamponade can cause considerable lens opacity, necessitating cataract surgery in these patients, who are often young. Scleral buckling surgery, on the other hand, has a high anatomical success rate and is still a feasible treatment option for rhegmatogenous retinal detachment associated with subretinal proliferations with or without mild anterior proliferative vitreoretinopathy (PVR)".[24,25]

Previous research has found that depending on the preoperative refractive status, surgical method, buckle height, and other factors can lead to refractive error changes after scleral buckling surgery. We discovered that the amount of refractive error before and after surgery was Nonetheless. statistically identical. after stabilizing refractive error in the eyes with buckleinduced refractive surgery, laser refractive surgery may be conducted safely.

4. CONCLUSION

In this study we concluded that most of the retinal surgeons prefer scleral buckling as the procedure of choice for rhegmatogenous retinal detachment than vitrectomy as it gives a higher anatomical success rate with less pre- and postoperative complications.

CONSENT AND ETHICAL APPROVAL

It is not applicable.

COMPETING INTERESTS

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

REFERENCES

- 1. Park SJ, Choi NK, Choi NK, Park KH, Woo SJ. Five year nationwide incidence of rhegmatogenous retinal detachment requiring surgery in Korea. PLoS One. 2013:8(11):e80174. [PMC free article] [PubMed] [Google Scholar]
- 2. Mitry D, Fleck BW, Wright AF, Campbell H, Charteris DG. Pathogenesis of rhegmatogenous retinal detachment: Predisposing anatomy and cell biology. Retina. 2010;30(10):1561-1572. [PubMed] [Google Scholar]
- Mitry D, Charteris DG, Fleck BW, Campbell H, Singh J. The epidemiology of 3. rhegmatogenous retinal detachment: geographical variation and clinical associations. Br J Ophthalmol. 2010;94(6): 678-684. [PubMed] [Google Scholar]
- 4. Schepens CL, Okamura ID, Brockhurst RJ. The scleral buckling procedures. I. Surgical techniques and management. AMA Arch Ophthalmol. 1957;58(6):797-811. [PubMed] [Google Scholar]
- 5. Fallico M, Alosi P, Reibaldi M, Longo A, Bonfiglio V, Avitabile T, Russo A. Scleral buckling: a review of clinical aspects and current concepts. Journal of Clinical Medicine. Jan 9 2022;11(2):314.
- 6. Belin PJ, Yannuzzi NA, Wagley S, Smiddy WE, Ryan EH. Cost analysis of scleral

buckle, pars plana vitrectomy, and pars plana vitrectomy with scleral buckle for retinal detachment repair.

- 7. Machemer R, Buettner H, Norton EW, Parel JM. Vitrectomy: a pars plana approach. Trans Am Acad Ophthalmol Otolaryngol. 1971;75(4):813-820. [PubMed] [Google Scholar]
- 8. Pak KY, Lee SJ, Kwon HJ, Park SW, Byon IS, Lee JE. Exclusive use of air as gas tamponade in rhegmatogenous retinal detachment. J Ophthalmol. 2017;2017: 1341948. [PMC free article] [PubMed] [Google Scholar]
- 9. Park SW, Kwon HJ, Kim HY, Byon IS, Lee JE, Oum BS. Comparison of scleral buckling and vitrectomy using wide angle viewing system for rhegmatogenous retinal detachment in patients older than 35 vears. BMC Ophthalmol. 2015;15:121. [PMC free article] [PubMed] [Google Scholar1
- 10. Wong CW, Wong WL, Yeo IY, et al. Trends and factors related to outcomes for primary rhegmatogenous retinal detachment surgery in a large Asian tertiary eye center. Retina. 2014;34(4): 684-692. [PubMed] [Google Scholar]
- Schneider EW, Geraets RL, Johnson MW. 11. Pars plana vitrectomy without adjuvant procedures for repair of primary rhegmatogenous retinal detachment. Retina. 2012;32(2):213-219. [PubMed] [Google Scholar]
- Schwartz SG, Flynn HW. Pars plana 12. vitrectomy for primary rhegmatogenous retinal detachment. Clin Ophthalmol. 2008; 2(1):57-63. [PMC free article] [PubMed] [Google Scholar]
- Feltgen N, Heimann H, Hoerauf H, Walter 13. P, Hilgers RD, Heussen N, Writing Group For The SPR Study Investigators Scleral buckling versus primary vitrectomy in rhegmatogenous retinal detachment study (SPR study): risk assessment of anatomical outcome. SPR study report no. 7. Acta Ophthalmol. 2013;91(3):282-287. [PubMed] [Google Scholar]
- Heussen N, Feltgen N, Walter P, Hoerauf 14. H, Hilgers RD, Heimann H, SPR Study Group Scleral buckling versus primary vitrectomy in rhegmatogenous retinal detachment study (SPR Study): predictive factors for functional outcome. Study report no. 6. Graefes Arch Clin Exp Ophthalmol. 2011;249(8):1129-1136. [PubMed] [Google Scholar]

- Heussen N, Hilgers RD, Heimann H, Collins L, Grisanti S, SPR study group Scleral buckling versus primary vitrectomy in rhegmatogenous retinal detachment study (SPR study): multiple-event analysis of risk factors for reoperations. SPR Study report no. 4. Acta Ophthalmol. 2011;89(7): 622–628. [PubMed] [Google Scholar]
- Feltgen N, Weiss C, Wolf S, Ottenberg D, Heimann H, SPR Study Group Scleral buckling versus primary vitrectomy in rhegmatogenous retinal detachment study (SPR Study): recruitment list evaluation. Study report no. 2. Graefes Arch Clin Exp Ophthalmol. 2007;245(6): 803–809.

[PubMed] [Google Scholar]

 Heimann H, Hellmich M, Bornfeld N, Bartz-Schmidt KU, Hilgers RD, Foerster MH. Scleral buckling versus primary vitrectomy in rhegmatogenous retinal detachment (SPR Study): design issues and implications. SPR Study report no. 1. Graefes Arch Clin Exp Ophthalmol. 2001; 239(8):567–574.

[PubMed] [Google Scholar]

 Heimann H, Bartz-Schmidt KU, Bornfeld N, Weiss C, Hilgers RD, Foerster MH, Scleral Buckling versus Primary Vitrectomy in Rhegmatogenous Retinal Detachment Study Group Scleral buckling versus primary vitrectomy in rhegmatogenous retinal detachment: a prospective randomized multicenter clinical study. Ophthalmology. 2007;114(12):2142–2154. [PubMed] [Google Scholar]

- Wallyn RH, Hilton GF. Subretinal fibrosis in retinal detachment. Arch Ophthalmol 1979; 97(11):2128–2129. [PubMed] [Google Scholar]
- 20. Yao Y, Jiang L, Wang Z, Zhang M. Scleral Buckling Procedures for Longstanding or Chronic Rhegmatogenous Retinal Detachment with Subretinal Proliferation. Ophthalmology 2006;113:821–825. [PubMed] [Google Scholar]
- 21. Tabandeh H, Callejo SA, Rosa RH Jr, Flynn HW Jr. Subretinal "napkin-ring" membrane in proliferative vitreoretinopathy. Arch Ophthalmol 2000;118(9):1287 –1289.

[PubMed] [Google Scholar]

- 22. Holladay JT. Visual acuity measurements. J Cataract Refract Surg 2004;30:287–290. [PubMed] [Google Scholar]
- 23. Rodríguez de la Rúa E, Martínez V, Aragón J, Sanabria RM, Giraldo A, Mayo A et al. Clinical risk factors for postoperative proliferative vitreoretinopathy (PVR). A prospective study. Arch Soc Esp Oftalmol 2003;78(2):91–97.

 [PubMed] [Google Scholar]
24. Randleman JB, Hewitt SM, Stulting RD. Refractive changes after posterior segment

- Refractive changes after posterior segment surgery. Ophthalmol Clin North Am 2004; 17(4):521–526. [PubMed] [Google Scholar]
- Holopainen JM, Vuori E, Moilanen JA, Zalentein WN. Tervo TM.Excimer laser refractive correction of myopia after episcleral buckling for rhegmatogenous retinal detachment. J Cataract Refract Surg 2007;33(10):1744–1749. [PubMed] [Google Scholar]

© 2023 Khaqan et al.; This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Peer-review history: The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here: https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/99957