



Effect of Organic and Inorganic Fertilizers on the Growth and Yield of Tomato (*Solanum Lycopersicum*) under Protected Cultivation

**Rohan^{a++*}, Anita Kerketta^{a#}, Vijay Bahadur^{a†}
and Samir E. Topno^{a#}**

^a *Department of Horticulture, Naini Agricultural Institute, Sam Higginbottom University of Agriculture, Technology and Sciences, Prayagraj, (U.P.), India.*

Authors' contributions

This work was carried out in collaboration among all authors. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Article Information

DOI: 10.9734/IJECC/2023/v13i92376

Open Peer Review History:

This journal follows the Advanced Open Peer Review policy. Identity of the Reviewers, Editor(s) and additional Reviewers, peer review comments, different versions of the manuscript, comments of the editors, etc are available here: <https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/102485>

Original Research Article

Received: 25/04/2023

Accepted: 28/06/2023

Published: 19/07/2023

ABSTRACT

The present investigation titled "Effect of organic and inorganic fertilizer on growth and yield of tomato under protected cultivation" was carried out during 2022 in Central Horticulture Research Farm of Department of Horticulture, SHUATS, Prayagraj to assess the impact of different doses of organic and inorganic fertilizer on the growth and yield of tomatoes under protected condition, as well as to analyze the economic aspects of different treatments. The experiment was conducted in Randomized Block Design with 10 treatments replicated thrice. The treatments were T₀ Recommended dose of fertilizers (RDF), T₁ 75% (RDF) +25% (Vermicompost), T₂ 50% (RDF) +

⁺⁺ PG Scholar;

[#] Assistant Professor;

[†] Associate Professor;

*Corresponding author: E-mail: sonirohan575@gmail.com;

50% (Vermicompost), T₃ 25% (RDF) +75% (Vermicompost), T₄ 75% (RDF) + 25% (FYM), T₅ 50 % (RDF) +50% (FYM), T₆ 25 % (RDF) +75% (FYM), T₇ 75% (RDF) + 25% (Poultry Manure), T₈ 50% (RDF) +50% (Poultry Manure), T₉ 25% (RDF) +75% (Poultry Manure). On the basis of our finding the best treatment was found to be T₆ (25% RDF + 75% FYM) which was found best in terms of growth parameters and yield parameters among different treatment combinations of tomato. Also the best B:C ratio 3.84 was found in T₆ (25% RDF + 75% FYM).

Keywords: Vermicompost; FYM; poultry manure; yield; growth; randomized block design.

1. INTRODUCTION

“Tomato, botanically known as *Solanum lycopersicum* L. or *Lycopersicon esculentum* Mill. belongs to the family *Solanaceae* bearing chromosome number $2n=2X=24$ and originated from South America” [1]. One of the most well-liked and widely-cultivated vegetable crops, it is regarded as “protective food” everywhere. After the potato and sweet potato, it ranks highly among vegetables and is a great source of vitamins, vegetable protein, and minerals [2,3]. “Love of apple in England” is the nickname for the tomato. Tomatoes are used in a variety of items, including soup, salads, pickles, ketchup, puree, sauces, tomato paste, and tomato juice. The tomato fruit's pulp and juice are easily digestible, mild aperients that encourage gastric secretion and purify the blood [4-7].

“The tomato plants typically grow 1-3 meters in height and have a weak stem that often sprawls over the ground and vines over other plants. Flower are generally borne in clusters of 4 to 8 but small fruited type may have 30 to 50 flowers per cluster. Tomato plants are dicots, and grow as a series of branching stems, with a terminal bud at the tip that does the actual growing” [1].

“Tomato plays a major role in human nutrition, fruit contain 93.1% water, 1.9% protein, 0.3 g fat, 0.7% fibre, 3.6% carbohydrates, 23 calorie, 320 I.U vitamin A., 0.07 mg vitamin B1, 0.01 mg vitamin B2, 31 mg vitamin C, 20 mg calcium, 36 mg phosphorus and 0.8 mg iron. Tomato has valuable vitamins and cholesterol. Approximately 20–50 mg of lycopene per 100g of fruit weight can be obtained from tomato. The best fruit color and quality is obtained at a temperature range of 21-24°C. The tomato is one of the most adaptable crops in the world due to its rapid and widespread climatic adaptation, and it is regarded as a food that provides protection everywhere” [1]. A diet that is healthy and well-balanced benefits from tomatoes. They are

abundant in dietary fiber, carbohydrates, vital amino acids, minerals, and vitamins. Tomato seeds, which have a 24% oil content, are used to make margarine and as salad dressing [8-11].

“In general, food security in world is very important because the large population and the better living standard need more food. In conventional agriculture, farmers use inorganic fertilizers to increase nutrient availability to increase crop production” [12-14]. However, in the long term, “the use of inorganic fertilizers can reduce soil fertility and crop productivity. Although organic fertilizer is environmentally friendly, it produces less than inorganic fertilizers. In this regard, by spreading organic manure, in combination within organic fertilizer we can increase production as well as improve soil health. In addition, this combined application maximizes the use of available organic resources and minimizes the use of expensive inorganic fertilizers” (Manral and Saxena, 2003; Ghosh et al.,2004). “We hypothesized that incorporation of organic and inorganic fertilizers may provide better yield and quality of crop with improvement in soil health. Therefore, the present study was conducted to evaluate the performance of tomato varieties with different combinations of organic and inorganic fertilizers”. (Manral and Saxena, 2003; Ghosh et al.,2004).

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

The present investigation was done to find out the effect of organic and inorganic fertilizers at different doses combination on growth, yield and quality of tomato under protected cultivation variety Romon. The experiment was carried out at Horticultural Research Farm (HRF), Department of Horticulture, Naini Agricultural Institute SHUATS, Prayagraj, U.P., during the *Rabi* season of 2022. The different combination doses of organic manures and inorganic fertilizers mentioned in Table 1 and replicated thrice. Observations were recorded at different stages of growth periods.

Table 1. Treatment details

Notation	Treatment combination
T ₀	100% Recommended dose of fertilizers (RDF) (180:100:80)
T ₁	75% (RDF) +25% (Vermicompost)
T ₂	50% (RDF) + 50% (Vermicompost)
T ₃	25% (RDF) +75% (Vermicompost)
T ₄	75% (RDF) + 25% (FYM)
T ₅	50 %(RDF) +50% (FYM)
T ₆	25 %(RDF) +75% (FYM)
T ₇	75% (RDF) + 25% (Poultry Manure)
T ₈	50% (RDF) +50% (Poultry Manure)
T ₉	25% (RDF) +75% (Poultry Manure)

List 1. Physical properties of soil at horticulture research field (SHUATS) [1]

S. No.	Particulars	Mechanical properties
1	Sand	48.15
2	Silt	21.34
3	Clay	30.51
4	Textural class	Sandy loam

List 2. Chemical composition of the soil

S. No.	Ingredients	Quantity
1	Soil pH	6.9
2	Organic carbon (%)	0.358
3	Available Nitrogen	212.56 kg/ha
4	Available Phosphorus	14.59 kg/ha
5	Available Potash (K)	225.1 kg/ha

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

3.1 Plant Height

The height of plant significantly varied among different treatment combinations. The highest plant height (259.22 cm) at 30 DAT was observed with treatment T₆ (T₆-25% RDF + 75% FYM) followed by T₇ (75% RDF + 25% Poultry manure) with 258.98 cm. Minimum plant height (248.18 cm) was observed in T₀ (100% Recommended dose of fertilizer), while the remaining treatments are moderate in their growth habit.

3.2 Earliness Parameter

3.2.1 Days to first flowering and days to 50% flowering

Maximum days to 1st flowering and 50% flowering was recorded (31.05, 49.11) in T₀ (100% RDF) followed by (30.18, 48.67) in T₉ (25% RDF + 75% Poultry manure) and minimum (27.25, 45.22) in T₆ (25% RDF + 75% FYM).

Similar results have also been reported by Singh and Tiwari (2013), Dixit et al. [15] and Singh et al., [16].

3.2.2 No. of flower/cluster, fruits set/ cluster, No. of flower cluster/ plant

The effect of suitable doses of organic and inorganic fertilizer on number of flower/cluster of tomato is very obvious. There was significant difference among the doses of the different treatments, among the treatment applied the maximum number of flower/cluster was recorded in T₆ (25% RDF + 75% FYM) with (10.58) which was followed by T₇ (75% RDF + 25% Poultry manure) with (10.25) which was significantly superior over T₀ (100% Recommended dose of fertilizer) with (7.45) number of flower/cluster. There was significant difference among the doses of the different treatments, among the treatment applied the maximum number of flower/cluster was recorded in T₆ (25% RDF + 75% FYM) with (7.48) which was followed by T₇ (75% RDF + 25% Poultry manure) with (7.19) which was significantly superior over T₀ (100% Recommended dose of fertilizer) with (5.75) fruit set/cluster. among the treatment applied the maximum number of flower/cluster was recorded in T₆ (25% RDF + 75% FYM) with (13.23) which was followed by T₇ (75% RDF + 25% Poultry manure) with (12.96) which was significantly superior over T₀ (100% Recommended dose of fertilizer) with (10.02) flower cluster/plant. Similar findings were reported by Sathyamurthy et al. [17]; Reddy et al. [18]; Singh et al. [16] in tomato.

3.3 Yield Parameters

3.3.1 Number of fruits per plant

The effect of suitable doses of organic and inorganic fertilizer on number of fruits per plant of tomato is very obvious and consistent. There was significant difference among the doses of

Table 2. Effect of organic and inorganic fertilizer on different parameters and yield related traits in tomato under polyhouse

Treatment Notation	Treatment details	Plant height (120 DAT)	Days to first flowering	Days to 50% flowering	No. of flowers /cluster	Fruit set per cluster	No. of flower clusters /plant	Number of Fruit per plant	Fruit yield per plant (kg)	Fruit yield (250 sq. meter) (quantal)
T ₀	100% Recommended dose of fertilizer	248.18	31.05	49.11	7.45	5.75	10.02	57.61	4.65	55.79
T ₁	75% RDF + 25% Vermicompost	250.22	29.32	48.89	8.12	6.14	10.93	67.11	5.52	66.23
T ₂	50% RDF + 50% Vermicompost	250.62	29.90	46.33	8.32	6.23	10.83	67.47	5.57	66.82
T ₃	25% RDF + 75% Vermicompost	253.42	29.27	45.33	9.02	6.26	11.06	69.23	5.73	68.74
T ₄	75% RDF + 25% FYM	257.08	29.78	47.11	9.95	7.17	11.09	79.51	6.84	82.05
T ₅	50% RDF + 50% FYM	255.55	29.08	46.77	10.12	6.42	11.36	72.93	6.07	72.82
T ₆	25% RDF + 75% FYM	259.22	27.25	45.22	10.58	7.48	13.23	98.96	8.63	103.53
T ₇	75% RDF + 25% Poultry manure	258.98	28.08	47.21	10.25	7.19	12.96	93.18	8.03	96.33
T ₈	50% RDF + 50% Poultry manure	251.39	28.99	48.56	7.79	6.93	10.6	73.45	6.16	73.90
T ₉	25% RDF + 75% Poultry manure	254.72	30.18	48.67	10.22	6.92	12.06	83.45	7.11	85.29
'F' test		S	S	S	S	S	S	S	S	S
S.E. (m) ±		1.319	0.757	0.765	0.692	0.299	0.848	0.776	0.209	0.542
C.D. at 5%		2.722	1.563	1.579	1.428	0.618	1.751	1.602	0.431	1.118
C.V.		22.840	12.392	12.306	11.984	5.186	14.696	12.825	3.618	9.380

the different treatments, among the treatment applied the maximum number of fruit per plant was recorded in T₆ (25% RDF + 75% FYM) with (98.96) which was followed by T₇ (75% RDF + 25% Poultry manure) with (93.18) which was significantly superior over T₀ (100% Recommended dose of fertilizer) with (57.61) number of fruit per plant. Similar findings were reported by Yadav et. al., (2017); Majumdar et. al., (2018); Singh et al. [16] in tomato.

3.3.2 Fruit yield/plant (kg)

The effect of suitable doses of organic and inorganic fertilizer on fruit yield per plant of tomato is very obvious and consistent. There was significant difference among the doses of the different treatments, among the treatment applied the maximum fruit yield per plant of tomato was recorded in T₆ (25% RDF + 75% FYM) with (8.63 kg) which was followed by T₇ (75% RDF + 25% Poultry manure) with (8.03 kg) which was significantly superior over T₀ (100% Recommended dose of fertilizer) with (4.65) kg. These results are in close conformity with the findings of Sivaiah et al. [19]; Ali et al. [20]; Haleema et al. [21]; Satyamurthy et al. [17]; Pandiyan et al. [22]; Singh et al. [16] and Shnain et al. (2021) as reported in tomato.

3.3.3 Yield/250 sq. m (q)

The effect of suitable doses of organic and inorganic fertilizer on fruit yield per 250 m² of tomato is very obvious and consistent. There was significant difference among the doses of the different treatments, among the treatment applied the maximum fruit yield per 250 m² of tomato was recorded in T₆ (25% RDF + 75% FYM) with (103.53 Qt) which was followed by T₇ (75% RDF + 25% Poultry manure) with (96.33 Qt) which was significantly superior over T₀ (100% Recommended dose of fertilizer) with (55.79) Qt. These results are in close conformity with the findings of Kazemi (2013); Saravaiya et al. [23]; Ali et al. [20]; Haleema et al. [21]; Satyamurthy et al. [17]; Pandiyan et al. [22]; Singh et al. [16] and Shnain et al. (2021) as reported in tomato.

4. CONCLUSION

Hence, it is concluded that T₆ (25% RDF + 75% FYM) was found best in terms of growth parameters and yield of tomato under protected cultivation.

COMPETING INTERESTS

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

REFERENCES

1. Prasad VM, Kushwaha A. Effect of foliar application of micronutrients on growth, yield and quality of tomato (*Solanum lycopersicum* L.) cv. Arka Samrat. International Journal of Plant & Soil Science. 2022;34(21):335-42.
2. Habibullah SN, Saravaiya YN, Tandel SK, Patel BN, Golakiya PD. Effect of foliar application of micronutrients on growth and yield of tomato under protected culture. Trends in Biosciences. 2017;10(14):2491-2495.
3. Kumar NM, Ajay KP, Amin MB. Growth and yield of solanaceous vegetables in response to application of micronutrients – A review. International Journal of Innovative Science, Engineering & Technology. 2017;3(2):611-626.
4. Anonymous. Horticultural statistics at a glance, horticulture statistics division department of agriculture, cooperation & farmers welfare ministry of agriculture & farmers welfare government of India; 2015.
5. Basavarajeshwari CP, Hosamani RM, Ajjappalavara PS, Naik BH, Smitha RP, Ukkund KC. Effect of foliar application of micronutrients on growth and yield components of tomato (*Lycopersicon esculentum* Mill.). Karnataka Journal of Agricultural Sciences. 2008;21(3):428-430.
6. De Candolle A. Origin of cultivated plants. Hafner Publication Co., New York, Reprint of 2nd edition; 1959.
7. Directorate of Economics and Statistics, (2020-21) Ministry of Agriculture & Farmers Welfare (DAC & FW), Government of India, 2020-21).
8. Kumar NS, Ahirwar K, Khare AK, Mishra US, Singh D, Jatav R. Effect of micronutrients and bio-fertilizers on yield and yield attributes of tomato. International Journal of Agriculture Sciences. 2016;8(38):1780-1782.
9. Meena EC, Maji SJ, Meena K, Govind R, Kumawat KR, Meena SK, Sodh K. (). Improvement of growth, yield and quality of tomato (*Solanum lycopersicum* L.) cv. azad T-6 with foliar application of zinc and boron. International Journal of Bio-

- resource and Stress Management. 2015; 6(5):598-601.
10. NHB. Area and Production of Horticulture Crops- All India; 2013. Access on 08/12/2021 Available:nhb.gov.in/statistics/2013-14
 11. Swetha K, Saravanan S, Lalu NB. Effect of micronutrients on fruit quality, shelf life and economics of tomato (*Solanum lycopersicum* L.) cv. pkm-1. Journal of Pharmacognosy and Phytochemistry. 2018;7(5):3018-3020.
 12. FAOSTAT. Food Supply- Crops Primary Equivalent; 2020. Available:www.fao.org/faostat/en/data/CC Access on 15/12/2021
 13. Francis FJ. Encyclopedia of food science and technology. John Wiley and Sons Inc; 2000.
 14. Gopalan BV, Sastri Rama SC, Balasubramanian KP. Nutritive value of Indian foods. National Institute of Nutrition, Indian Council of Medical Research, Hyderabad, India; 2004.
 15. Dixit A, Dhananjay S, Tinku KS, Pappu LB. Effect of foliar application of some macro and micronutrients on growth and yield of tomato (*Solanum lycopersicum* L.) cv. Arka Rakshak. International Journal of Current Microbiology and Applied Science. 2018;6:197-203.
 16. Singh S, Heralal B, Suresh CG, Hareram K, Manisha J, Upendar KB. Effect of foliar spray of micronutrients on uptake of micronutrients in (*Solanum esculentum* Mill.) cv. Navoday. International Journal of Current Microbiology and Applied Sciences. 2018;7:930-933.
 17. Sathyamurthy VA, Shanmugasundaram T, Rajasree V, Arumugam T. Effect of foliar application of micronutrients on growth, yield and economics of tomato (*Lycopersicon esculentum* Mill.). Madras Agricultural Journal. 2017;104(4-6):188-193.
 18. Reddy GPD, Reddy PSR, Chandramohan GR, Thanuja SG. Effect of foliar application of micronutrients on growth and yield parameters in tomato (*Solanum lycopersicon* L.). International Journal Pure Applied Bio-sciences. 2018;6(2):929-934.
 19. Sivaiah NK, Swain SK, Sandeep VV, Raju B. Effect of foliar application of micronutrients on growth parameters in tomato (*Lycopersicon esculentum* Mill.). Discourse Journal of Agriculture and Food Sciences. 2013;1(10):146-151.
 20. Ali MR, Mehraj H, Jamal Uddin AFM. Effects of foliar application of zinc and boron on growth and yield of summer tomato. Journal of Biological and Agricultural Research. 2015;06(01):512-517.
 21. Haleema B, Abdur R, Hussain AS. Effect of calcium, boron and zinc foliar application on growth and fruit production of tomato. Sarhad Journal of Agriculture. 2017;30(1): 19-30.
 22. Pandiyan R, Sathyamurthy VA, Pugalenthil L. Effect of foliar application of micronutrients on growth, and quality of tomato. International Journal of Trend in Scientific Research and Development. 2018;2(4):1988-1992.
 23. Saravaiya SN, Wakchaure SS, Jadhav PB, Tekale GS, Patil NB, Dekhane SS, Patel DJ. Influence of foliar application of micronutrients on tomato (*Lycopersicon esculentum* Mill.) Cv. "Gujarat tomato 2". International Journal of Development Research. 2014;4(8):1539-1542.

© 2023 Rohan et al.; This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Peer-review history:

The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here:

<https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/102485>