



Assessment of Length-Weight Relationship of Nile Tilapia *Oreochromis niloticus* (Linnaeus 1758) from Qua Iboe River Estuary, Southeastern, Nigeria

Nkanta, Glory Ubong ^{a*}, Abiaobo Nsikak Okon ^a
and Ukpatu John Etitigwun ^b

^a Department of Zoology, Akwa Ibom State University, P.M.B-1167, Uyo, Akwa Ibom State, Nigeria.

^b Department of Fisheries and Aquaculture, Akwa Ibom State University, P.M.B-1167, Uyo, Akwa Ibom State, Nigeria.

Authors' contributions

This work was carried out in collaboration among all authors. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Article Information

DOI: 10.9734/AJOB/2023/v17i2318

Open Peer Review History:

This journal follows the Advanced Open Peer Review policy. Identity of the Reviewers, Editor(s) and additional Reviewers, peer review comments, different versions of the manuscript, comments of the editors, etc are available here: <https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/95915>

Original Research Article

Received: 23/11/2022
Accepted: 27/01/2023
Published: 10/02/2023

ABSTRACT

The Length-Weight Relationship (LWR) of *Oreochromis niloticus* from the Qua Iboe River Estuary was studied for 12 months; from April 2021-March 2022. Fish samples were collected from the catches of artisanal fisheries using various mesh sizes of gill and cast nets. The b values in males ranged from 2.25-3.08 with a mean of 2.7333 ± 0.2743 while that of the females varied between 2.41 and 2.930 with a mean value of 2.7075 ± 0.1804). The overall growth coefficient in the LWR

*Corresponding author: E-mail: umohglory823@gmail.com;

($W=aL^b$) ranged from 2.25-3.08 with a mean value of 2.72 ± 0.04 . Both males and females recorded negative allometric growth, and the exponents were significantly less than isometric ($p < 0.05$). Higher b values were recorded in males. There was no significant difference between the b-values of males and females ($p > 0.05$) during this study with the respective exponential relationships. These data indicated that the length-weight relationships were similar in both sexes during the study period. A higher b-value was recorded in the wet season but there was no significant difference ($p > 0.05$) between the dry (November-March) and wet season (April-October). This study provides the basic information which could enhance production potential of *O. niloticus* and its sustainable development, culture and management in Qua Iboe River Estuary, Nigeria.

Keywords: *Oreochromis niloticus* (nile tilapia); length-weight relationship; Qua Iboe river estuary.

1. INTRODUCTION

“An aspect of fish biology such as the length-weight relationship is important in studying fish biology. The Length-weight relationship can be used to predict weight from length measurements made in their yield assessment” [1,2]. The relationship between the length and weight may part from cube law value three depending on the ecological and physiological condition, which may affect their niche.

“Fish can attain either isometric growth, negative or positive allometric growth. Isometric growth is when $b = 3$ meaning that the fish is in a stable condition and associated with no change in body shape as the fish grows. Negative allometric growth indicates that the fish becomes more slender as its increases in weight. Finally, the positive allometric growth implies that the fish become relatively deeper-bodied as it increases in length” [3].

“The study of the weight relationship of the Nile tilapia (*Oreochromis niloticus*) family (Cichlidae, Linnaeus 1758) is of crucial importance to fisheries biologists as it serves many purposes”. “It establishes the mathematics relationship between two variables; length and weight so that the unknown variable can be calculated from the known to solve practical fisheries problems. The relative condition can be estimated to assess the general wellbeing of the fish. Finally, it is used in the estimation of potential yield per recruit in the study of population dynamics” [4].

Tilapias are plastic animals because their growth and maximum obtainable size can be seriously influenced by their physical and biological composition of their environment. Olurin and Aderibigbe [5] stated that “the length and weight of the fish is important yardstick used for the purpose of fish stock assessment in fishery management”. Thus, the aims of this study are to determine monthly and seasonal variations in the

growth pattern of male and female *Oreochromis niloticus* obtained from Qua Iboe River Estuary in Ibeno Local Government Area, Akwa Ibom State, Nigeria using length weight relationship.

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

Study Area: This study was carried out at Qua Iboe River Estuary Ibeno Local Government Area, Akwa Ibom State, Nigeria. Ibeno is located in the Southeastern part of Nigeria ($4^{\circ}49'02.88N; 7^{\circ}56'16.109E$). It is one of the three major hydrographic features in Akwa Ibom State. It is located in the tropical belt with an equatorial climate characterized by dry season (November-March) and wet season (April – October) (Map 1).

The vegetation of the mangrove swamp comprises red mangroves (*Rhizophora harrisonii*, *R. mangle* and *R. racemosa*), white mangroves (*Avicennia africana*) sand black mangroves (*Laguncularia racemosa*) and *Nypa fruticans* [6].

2.1 Fish Sampling Collection, Preservation and Measurement

Fish sampling and collection: Specimen collection was done with the help of local fishermen using traditional fishing gears such as hook and line, traps, baskets and gillnets from April 2021 to March 2022 and was preserved in a container containing 10% formalin solution. Using a measuring board, each specimen was measured to the nearest 0.1cm total length (TL). The total weight (TW) was taken to the nearest 0.1g using a top loading mettle PR-series model 2202/E electronic balance PR-series model PR-2202/E OHAUS.

2.2 Determination of Length-Weight Relationship

The length-weight (L-W) relationships were computed using empirical allometric equation of the form [7-10].

$$Wt = a(TL)^b \quad 1$$

Where

Wt = Total weight of fish (g)
 TL = Total length of fish (cm)
 a = proportionality constant, and
 b = Regression exponent

The values of a and b were estimated by least square linear regression using double log transformed weight and length data according to the formula [11] below:

$$\text{Log WT} = \text{Log } a \pm b \text{ log TL} \quad 2$$

Where: WT is total weight of fish (g), TL is the total length (cm), a is the intercept on the Y-axis and b is the exponent or slope indicating isometric growth when $b = 3$ [12]. Values other than 3 indicate allometric growth. If $b > 3$, its positive allometric and the fish becomes heavier for its length as it grows larger. If $b < 3$, it is negative allometric and the fish becomes lighter, and thin for its length as it grows larger. The length-weight relationship was tested using a linear regression model significant level $p < 0.05$ and the confidence limit for the regression coefficient interval.

The exponent (b) of the length-weight relationship was tested for departure from Isometry ($b = 3$) i.e. whether b values differ from 3 significantly, using a t-statistic function given in Pauly [12]. The degree of association between the length and weight was expressed by a correlation coefficient "r". The correlation coefficient could take values ranging between -1 and ± 1 .

When "r" is negative, one variable tends to decrease as the other increases. A negative correlation corresponds to a negative value of "b" in regression analysis. On the other hand, when "r" is positive, it means that one variable increases as the other, which corresponds to a positive value of b in regression analysis [13]. The parameters of this relationship were computed for each sex, month, and season.

2.3 Statistical Analysis

Student's t-test [14] was used to test the means of biological data between dry and wet seasons, male and female. The length-weight data pairs, correlation coefficient (r) were used to examine

the strength of the association in length-weight data pairs [15-18]. To meet the requirement for normality in parametric statistics, logarithmic transformation was performed on the length-weight data pairs following the methods of Gregory [19]; Ukpong [20]; Nyaku, Okayi, Yem, and Abdulrahman [21] and Udofia [22]. Microsoft Excel was employed for the graphical presentation of data. Statistical analyses were performed using Statistical Package for Social Sciences (SPSS, version 19.0) for Windows, Paleontological Statistical Software, PAST, version 20.0. Data analyzed were presented in summary format in tables, graphs and histograms for easy interpretation of data analyzed.

3. RESULTS

3.1 Growth of *O. niloticus* from QUA Iboe River

3.1.1 Length-weight relationship (LWR)


The intersexual variation in Length-weight parameters of *O. niloticus* is presented in Table 1. The b-values in males ranged from 2.25-3.08 with a mean of 2.7333 ± 0.2743 while that of the females varied between 2.410 and 2.930 (mean = 2.7075 ± 0.1804). Both males and females recorded negative allometric values and the exponents were significantly less than isometric ($P < 0.05$). A higher mean b-value was recorded in males, however, there was no significant difference ($p > 0.05$) between the b-values of males and female during the study with the respective exponential relationships.

$$\text{Male: TW} = 0.06283\text{TL}^{2.7333}$$

$$\text{Female: TW} = 0.05519\text{TL}^{2.7075}$$

These indicated that the LWRs were similar in both sexes during the period of study.

The b values ranged from 2.25-3.08. The mean value of 2.72 ± 0.04 indicates negative allometric growth. The frequency distribution showed dominance of b-values between 2.80 to 3.00 (Fig 3). There was significant difference ($p > 0.05$) between the b-value obtained here and isometric. This implies that the fish increase in length was not accomplished with increase in body weight resulting in a slim fish.



Map 1. Map showing study location

Table 1. Variation in LW parameters of *O. niloticus* from Qua Iboe river estuary, Southeastern, Nigeria

		N	Mean	Std. Deviation	Std. Error	Minimum	Maximum
N	Male	12	57.41667	9.317221	2.689650	47.000	76.000
	Female	12	48.16667	7.383438	2.131415	33.000	61.000
	Total	24	52.79167	9.482153	1.935536	33.000	76.000
a	Male	12	.06283167	.042047834	.012138164	.01559	.140860
	Female	12	.05519250	.043635942	.012596612	.013080	.151380
	Total	24	.05901208	.042088630	.008591306	.013080	.151380
b	Male	12	2.73333	.274270	.079175	2.250	3.080
	Female	12	2.70750	.180359	.052065	2.410	2.930
	Total	24	2.72042	.227395	.046417	2.250	3.080
R	Male	12	.87491750	.093997784	.027134823	.669910	.977510
	Female	12	.90523917	.061986518	.017893966	.804230	.988610
	Total	24	.89007833	.079392654	.016205958	.669910	.988610




Fig. 1. Length-weight relationship of male *O. niloticus* from Qua Iboe river estuary




Fig. 2. Intersexual variation in length-weight relationship of female *O. niloticus* from Qua Iboe river estuary

Table 2. Overall mean growth value ± standard deviation, minimum and maximum values of (a intercept, b exponent and Regression coefficient variables of *O. niloticus*) from Qua Iboe River estuary Southeastern, Nigeria

	Minimum Statistic	Maximum Statistic	Sum Statistic	Mean Statistic	Std. Error	Std. Deviation Statistic
N	33.000	76.000	1267.000	52.79167	1.935536	9.482153
a	.013080	.151380	1.416290	.05901208	.008591306	.042088630
b	2.2500	3.080	65.290	2.72042	.046417	0.227395
R	0.669910	.988610	21.361880	.89007833	.016205958	0.079392654




Fig. 3. Length and weight frequency distributions

A plot of total weight (TWg) against total length (TLcm) of pooled specimens of *O. niloticus* during the period of study is shown in Fig. 4. There was a positive correlation between total length and weight with an exponential relationship of the form: $TW = 0.059012TL^{2.7204}$

During the study, the dry season b values ranged between 2.25 and 2.95 (mean = 2.62 ± 0.24) while the wet season exponents ranged from 2.410-3.080 with a mean value of 2.793 ± 0.18891 . Both seasons recorded negative allometric values as there was a significant departure from isometric. However, a higher b-value was recorded in the wet season, but no significant difference existed ($p > 0.05$) between the dry and wet seasons. The

correlation coefficient showed a positive relationship between the total weight and total length as shown by the correlation coefficient.

The seasonal exponential relationships can be presented as:

Dry season: $TW = 0.01964TL^{2.61900}$
 Wet season: $TW = 0.08714TL^{2.7929}$

The results indicated that the length-weight data pairs were similar in both season. Plots of total weight (TWg) against total length (TLcm) of pooled specimens of *O. niloticus* in respect to season are shown in Fig. 5a ad b.




Fig. 4. Length and weight frequency distributions ($TW = 0.059012TL^{2.7204}$)

3.1.2 Seasonal variation in length-weight parameters of *O. niloticus*

The monthly variation in mean b-values \pm standard deviation and range of *O. niloticus* is depicted in Table 4.

The results revealed variability in b-values with a peak in October and a trough in March (Fig. 6).

Monthly mean b-values ranged from 2.345-2.9600 with a mean of 2.7204 ± 0.2274 . All the months recorded mean b-values that were less than isometric, implying that they recorded negative allometric growth.

Table 3. Seasonal variation in length-weight parameters of *O. niloticus* from Qua Iboe river estuary Southeastern, Nigeria

		Mean	Std. Deviation	Std. Error	Minimum	Maximum
N	Wet season	54.21429	12.223424	3.266847	33.000	76.00
	Dry season	50.80000	2.5298220	.800000	47.000	56.00
	Total	52.79167	9.482153	1.935536	33.000	76.00
a	Wet season	.08713643	.032645876	.008724977	.026170	.151380
	Dry season	.01963800	.005885341	.001861108	.013080	.028150
	Total	.05901208	.042088630	.008591306	.013080	.151380
b	Wet season	2.79286	.188901	.050486	2.410	3.080
	Dry season	2.61900	.247002	.078109	2.250	2.950
	Total	2.72042	.227395	.046417	2.250	3.080
R	Wet season	.93327071	.049043776	.013107500	.834650	.988610
	Dry season	.82960900	.075292841	.023809687	.669910	.918510
	Total	.89007833	.07939364	.016205958	.669910	.988610

Table 4. Monthly variation in mean b-values ± standard deviation, minimum and maximum b values of *O. niloticus* from Qua Iboe river estuary southeastern, Nigeria

		N	Mean	Std. Deviation	Std. Error	Minimum	Maximum
b	April	2	2.57000	.226274	.160000	2.410	2.730
	May	2	2.80000	.042426	.030000	2.770	2.830
	June	2	2.77500	.049497	.035000	2.740	2.810
	July	2	2.68500	.247487	.175000	2.510	2.860
	August	2	2.93000	.028284	.020000	2.910	2.950
	September	2	2.96000	.042426	.030000	2.930	2.990
	October	2	2.83000	.353553	.250000	2.580	3.080
	November	2	2.78500	.035355	.025000	2.760	2.810
	December	2	2.91000	.056569	.040000	2.870	2.950
	January	2	2.54000	.183848	.130000	2.410	2.670
	February	2	2.51500	.289914	.205000	2.310	2.720
	March	2	2.34500	.134350	.095000	2.250	2.440
	Total	24	2.72042	.227395	.046417	2.250	3.080




Fig. 5a. Wet season graphs of LWR




Fig. 5b. Dry season graphs of LWR




Fig. 6. Monthly variation in b values in *O. niloticus* from Qua Iboe river estuary

4. DISCUSSION

Length-Weight Relationship (LWR) of *Oreochromis niloticus* from the Qua Iboe River Estuary was studied for 12 months, from April 2021-March 2022. The b-values in males and females recorded negative allometric growth, and the exponents were significantly less than isometric ($p < 0.05$). The exponent values obtained in this study were higher than those of Steve and Okeyo [23], who recorded b-value of less than 2 for *O. niloticus* in the wild and cage culture. "It was also higher than those recorded by Ojuok et al [24]; Njiru et al. [25] and Yongo et al. [26] in *O. niloticus* from Lake Victoria, Kenya, whose 'b' values were below 2". "The results here were also higher than those of a related species, *T. zilli*", as was reported by Ibrahim et al. [27] in Abu-zaba Lake, Cairo, Egypt.

Negative allometric growth shows that the fish becomes thinner as its body weight increases as opposed to positive allometric growth, which implies that the fish becomes relatively broader and fatter as its length increases. The value of the regression coefficient b in the length-weight relationship of fish is an important bio-indicator in revealing the amount of food intake and the pattern in which the fish is growing which varies depending on the ecological condition of the ecosystem. Negative allometric growth was recorded by other researchers; Bala et al. [28] in the study of Ichthyofauna of Daberam reservoir in

Katsina State, reported a negative allometric growth for *T. zilli* species recording a 'b' value of 2.19. Mossad [29] in biological studies on five fish, species from Lake Qarun, Egypt, recorded a 'b' value of 2.9 for *T. zilli* in blackfish water. Ibrahim et al. [27] on the effect of environmental conditions of Abu-Zabai Lake on some biological, histological and quality aspects of fish in Cairo reported a negative allometric growth with a 'b' value of 2.92 for *T. zilli* in brackish water. Karal Marx et al. [4] on the length-weight relationship of Nile tilapia, *Oreochromis niloticus* from Barur Reservoir, recorded a slope value (b) estimated for both sexes to be 2.313. Waitthaka et al. [30] reported a slope b of length-weight relationship of 2.86 for combined sexes of *O. niloticus* from Lake Naivasha, Kenya. Asmamaw et al. [31] on Length weight relationship and condition factor of Nile tilapia, *Oreochromis niloticus* in Kuka Reservoir, Ethiopia record 'b' values of 3.2095 (males), 2.868 (female) and 3.1703 for combined genes. Riedel et al. [3] reported that during various stages in growth, the fish can record an isometric growth ($b=3$), negative allometric growth ($b < 3$) or positive allometric growth ($b > 3$). The actual relationship between length and weight may part from the cubic value 3 and this may be due to environmental condition in which the animal lives and also due to the animal's physiological condition. Ogunola et al. [32] reported that "negative allometric growth patterns could be attributed to low food items for fish species in the ecosystem or reduction of

their body size to escape predation or high fishing mortality or intensity and adverse effects of oil pollution on their growth” [33,34]. It could also result from competition for food resources in the ecosystem, which could have affected their growth due to limited nourishment available to individuals. The negative allometric growth recorded in this study is different from the results of others: Bankole [35] in biological study of selected fish species of Tiga Lake Kano State, reported a ‘b’ value of 3.10 for *O. niloticus*. Fafioye and Oluajo [36] studied the length-weight relationship of the fish species in Epe Lagoon, Lagos, Nigeria and they reported that *O. niloticus* recorded a ‘b’ value of 3.04 for *O. niloticus*. Steve and Okeyo [23] on the assessment of the length-weight relationship and condition factor of Nile Tilapia (*O. niloticus*) in cage and open waters in Winam Gulf of Lake Victoria, Kenya, reported that the slope “b” of the regression analysis in both habitats revealed a positive allometric growth; 3.16 and 3.09 for wild and Cage respectively. Boghoyinge [37] on some observations on aspects of biology of the *tilapia marine* and the culture of tilapia in freshwater ponds in Port Harcourt recorded a ‘b’ value of 3.21 for Tilapia marine. Ojuok et al. [24] reported a b value of 3.14 for *O. niloticus* from Lake Victoria, Kenya. Njiru et al. [25] recorded a b value of 3.07 – 3.32 for *O. niloticus* harvested from Lake Victoria, Kenya. Yongo et al. [26] recorded a b value of 3.01 for *O. niloticus* from Lake Victoria Kenya. Yongo et al [26] reported that the b value of the length –weight relationship was 2.98, 3.01 and 3.01 for males, females and combined sexes respectively. Steve and Okeyo [23] investigated the difference between the length and weight of *O. niloticus* in cage and open waters. The slope ‘b’ of the regression analysis in both habitats revealed a positive allometric growth (3.16 and 3.09) for the wild and cage. However, the b-values recorded here, which were negative allometric but within the limit of 2 and 4 were in agreement with those recorded by Outa et al. [38] and Waithaka et al. [30] on *O. niloticus* from Lake Naivasha, they reported that the b-values recorded 2.30 and 2.86 respectively for combined sexes and Mossad [29] on a related species *T. zilli* from Drackish water in Egypt. The feeding behavior of fish and morphological changes due to age may also cause the coefficient of regression on the logarithm of length to depart substantially from 3. Pauly and Gayannilo [39] suggested that b-values may range from 2.5 to 3.5 while [40,41] observed that the values of the regression coefficient ‘b’ usually lie between 2.5 and 4.0 and

for an ideal fish to maintain its shape $b=3$ is required. The b values recorded in this study fall within the recommended range of 2.5 to 4.0. Higher mean b-value was recorded in males, however, there was no significant difference between the b-values of males and female ($p>0.05$) during the study with the respective exponential relationships. Intersexually, the b-values gotten for males and females in this research were higher than those gotten from the same species by Asmamaw et al [31] in Kuka Reservoir, Ethiopia; Waithaka et al. [30] in Lake Naivasha, and Yongo et al. [26] in Lake Victoria Kenya who recorded b-values of less than 2 for males and females.

The less negative allometric growth in females signifies that the rate of weight gain is less than the increase in length probably due to the timing of the batch where females empties their gonad losing energy for egg production hence less weight in relation to their length. The negative allometric growth observed here might be owing to various factors, including seasons, environmental parameters, and the presence of food, feeding ratio, habitat, sex and physiological conditions of the fish. Negative allometric growth patterns could be attributed to low food, items for fish species in the ecosystem or reduction of their body size to escape predator or higher fishing mortality or intensity and adverse effect of oil pollution on their growth. Chilaka et al. [34] also postulated that negative allometric growth might be as a result of competition for food resources in the ecosystem which could have affected their growth due to limited nourishment available to individuals. Steve and Okeyo [23] reported that the variation in growth pattern could be due to different ecological parameters during the study periods which comprised of several biotic and abiotic interactions such as gear selectivity, sex and different season of the year can affect the length-weight relationship. Consequently, a higher b-value was recorded in the wet season but there was no significant difference between the dry and wet seasons ($P>0.05$). The seasonal b-values recorded here were also higher than those reported for a related species *T. zilli* whose b-values for dry and wet seasons were below than those observed by Mahomoud et al. [42] in Lake Timsha, Egypt. However, the b-values obtained here were lower than those obtained for the same species in Lake Victoria, Kenya by Ojuok et al. [24] and Njiru et al. [25] who recorded isometric growth. The b-values were also lower than those obtained for *O. niloticus* by Bankole [35] and Fafioye and

Oluajo [36] who recorded isometric growth in Tiga Lake, Kano state, Nigeria and Epe Lagoon, Lagos State, Nigeria respectively. Imam et al. [43] on length –weight relationship and condition factor of four fish species from Wasai Reservoir in Kano, Nigeria recorded a negative allometric growth reporting a ‘b’ value in *Tilapia zilli* of 1.53 and 2.5 for wet and dry seasons respectively. Haruna [17] on the length-weight relationship of four fish species from Magaga Lake, Kano Nigeria recorded a ‘b’ value of 2.7 (wet season) and 3.2 (dry season) for *T. zilli*. In Qua Iboe River Estuary, the intersexual and seasonal pattern in length-weight relationship of *O. niloticus* revealed that the length-weight exponent for male, female and combined sexes were negatively allometric signifying that the b-values deviated significantly from isometric. The intersexual and seasonal negative allometric growth recorded in this study signifies that the fish did not grow symmetrically as they became thinner with increase in length [44].

The results revealed variability in b-values with a peak in October and a trough in March. All the months recorded mean b-values that were less than isometric implying that they recorded negative allometric growth. Positive correlation coefficients obtained here showed correlation between the total length and body weight measurements of the fish, meaning the fish increase in the body weight as it grows in total length. Similar trends were observed in *O. niloticus* by Fafioye and Oluayo [36] in Epe Lagoon, Lagos; Waithaka et al. [30] in Lake Naivasha, Kenya; Ojuok et al. [24] Njiru et al. [25] and Yongo et al. [26] in Lake Victoria, Kenya.

5. CONCLUSION

The results of the length-weight relationship of *O. niloticus* from Qua Iboe River Estuary, Nigeria, exhibited negative allometric growth. The species become thinner as they grow older. The b value shows negative allometric growth, $b \geq 3$. The females were sparingly found in the wet season, indicating that the wet season is the breeding period of the species while the dry season is fishing time. The species exhibited seasonal trends in sex differentiation, size structure, growth pattern and general well-being. These distinctions could serve as precursors for the species development, culture, management and sustainability of the species in Qua Iboe River Estuary Nigeria. The *Oreochromis niloticus* from Qua Iboe River Estuary, Nigeria is a commercial species of financial value and the species

supplement the food and protein need of the surrounding communities. This study provides the basic information which could enhance the production potential of *O. niloticus* and its sustainable development, culture and management in Qua Iboe River Estuary, Nigeria.

COMPETING INTERESTS

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

REFERENCES

1. Pauly D. Linear regressions in Fisheries Research. J Fish Res Board Can. 1993;30: 409-34.
2. Nehemia A, Maganira JD, Rumisha C. Length –weight relationship and condition factor of tilapia species grown in Marine and fresh water ponds. Agric Biol J North Am. 2012;3(3):117-24. DOI: 10.5251/abjna.2012.3.3.117.124.
3. Riedel R, Caskey LM, Hurlbert SH. Length-weight relations and growth rates of dominant fishes of the Salton Sea: implications for predation by fish-eating birds. Lake Reservoir Manag. 2007;23(5): 528-35. DOI: 10.1080/07438140709354036
4. Marx K, Vaitheeswaran KT, Chidambaram P, Karram SS, Karthiga P. Length-weight Relationship of Nile tilapia (*Oreochromis niloticus* (Linnaeus, 1755) Family: Cichlidae) Department Fisheries Biotechnology, Fisheries College and Research Institute Tamil Nadu Fisheries University, Thoothukudi. 2013;628(008).
5. Olurin KE, Aderibigbe OA. Length-weight Relationship and condition factor of pond Reared Juvenile *Oreochromis niloticus*. J Zool. 2006;1:82-5.
6. Ekpo IM, Essien –Ibok MA, and Nkwoji JN. Food and Feeding habits and condition factor of fish species in Qua Iboe River Estuary, Akwa Ibom State, South Eastern Nigeria. International Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Studies. 2014;2(2):38-46.
7. Lagler KF, Bardach JE, Miller RR, Passion DRM. Ichthyology. 2nd ed. Denver: John Wiley & Sons. 1977;506.
8. Tyler AV, Gallucci VE. Dynamics of fished stocks. In: Lackey R, Nielson LA, editors. Fisheries management. Oxford: Blackwell Publishing. 1980;111-47.
9. Dulčić J, Kraljević M. Weight-length Relationships for 40 fish species in the

- eastern Adriatic (Croatian waters). Fish Res. 1996;28(3):243-51.
DOI: 10.1016/0165-7836(96)00513-9.
10. Ecoutin JM, Albaret JJ. Length-Weight relationship of 52 fish species from West African Estuaries and Lagoons. Cybium. 2003;27:3-9.
 11. Khaironizam MZ, Norma-Rashidi Y. Length-weight relationship of mudskippers (Gobiidae: oxudercinae) in the Coastal area of Selangor. Quarterly. World fish Centre. 2002;3:20-2.
 12. Pauly D. Fish population dynamics in tropical waters: A manual for use with programmable calculators. (ICLARM studies and Reviews 8). ICLARMS. Manila, Philippines. 1984;325.
 13. Pauly D. Some simple methods for the assessment of tropical fish stocks, FAO fisheries Technical paper. Rome, Italy: Food and Agriculture Organization; 1983.
 14. Snedecor GW, Cochran WG. Statistical methods. Seven edition. The LOWA state. University Press. 1980;509(34).
 15. Beyer JE. On length width relationship computing the mean weight of the fish of a given length class. Fishbyte. 1978;5(1):11-3.
 16. Pauly DGR. Length –based methods in fishing research. In: Morgan, editor. ICLARM conference proceedings. Vol. 13. Manila, Philippines: International center for living Aquatic Resources Management, and Kuwait Institute for Scientific Research. Kuwait: SAFAT. 1987; 468.
 17. Haruna MA. Length-weight relationship of four fish species Chichlidar from Magaga lake, Kano, Nigeria. Rest J. 2006;3(3): 109-11.
 18. Udo MT. Intersexual plasticity in aspects of the biology of the mudskipper, swamps of Imo Estuary, Nigeria. J Environ Sci (China). 2002;14(1):95-101.
PMID 11887327.
 19. Gregory S. Statistical methods and the geographer. London: Longman; 1974.
 20. Ukpong IE. An ordination study of mangrove, swamp communities in West Africa. Vegetatio. 1995;116(2):147-59.
DOI: 10.1007/BF00045305
 21. Nyaku RE, Okayi RG, Yem IY, Abdulrahman M. Length–weight relationship and condition factors of three fish species in Benue river, Nigeria Best [journal]. 2008;5(3):204-6.
 22. Udofia EP. Applied statistics with Multivariate methods. Enugu, Nigeria: Immaculate Publications Limited. 2011; 406-8.
 23. Steve OmariN, Okeyo Owuor JB. Assessment of LWR and condition factor of Nile tilapia (*Oreochromis niloticus*) in cage and open waters in winam Gulf of L Victoria Kenya. Int J Environ Sci Nat Resour. 2019;22(3)(35).
 24. Ojuok JE, Mavuti KM, Ntiba MJ 2000. Gonadal patterns and reproductive strategy of Nile tilapia *Oreochromis niloticus* (L.) In Nyanza Gulf of Lake Victoria. In proceedings, lake Victoria. A new Beginning conference. Jinja, Uganda. Lake Victoria Fisheries Organization. 2000;161-8.
 25. Njiru M, Ojuok JE, Okeyo-Owuor JB, Muchiri M, Ntiba MJ, Cowx IG. Some biological aspects and life history strategies of Nile tilapia, *Oreochromis niloticus* (L.). In Lake Victoria, Kenya. Afr J Ecol. 2006;44(1):30-7.
DOI: 10.1111/j.1365-2028.2006.00610.x
 26. Yongo E, Outa N, Kito K, Matsushita Y. Studies on the body of Niletilapia (*Oreochromis niloticus*) in Lake Victoria, Kenya a light of intense pressure. Afr J Aqusa Sci. 2018;43:295-198.
 27. Ibrahim SM, Shallo KAS, Salama HM. Effect of environmental conditions of Abu-Zabal Lake on some biological, histological and quality aspects of fish. Glob Vet. 2008;2:257-70.
 28. Bala U, Lawal I, Bolorunduro P. I, Oniye S. J, Abdullahi S. A, et al. Study of ichtyofauna of Daberam reservoir in Katsina State. Bayero Journal of Pure and applied Sciences. 2009; (292): 172-174.
 29. Mossad MNM. Biological Studies on five fish species from Lake Qarun, Egypt Length-Weight relationship and condition factor. J Zool Soc. 1990;21:331-44.
 30. Waithaka E, Mugo J, Obegi B, Keyombe J, L. Socio-economic of the reintroduced *Oreochromis niloticus* in Lake Naivasha (Kenya). Int J Fish Aquat; 2017.
 31. Asmamaw, Mengistu, Seid Tiku Mereta, Ambelu A. Exploring households resilience to climate change –Induced shocks using climate Resilience Index in Dinki watershed, Central highlands of Ethiopia. Plos Ons. 2019;14(7):1-21.
 32. Ogunola OS, Onada OA. improving food security in an eco-friendly manner through integrated aquaculture. Open Access Libr J. 2016;3.

33. Law R. Fishing selection and phenotypic evolution. ICES J Mar Sci. 2000;57(3):659-68.
DOI: 10.1006/jmsc.2000.0731
34. Nwabeze CM, GO, Odili OE. Challenges of inland artisanal fish production in Nigeria: economic perspective. J Fishes Aquat Sci. 2014;2014(9) 6:501-5.
35. Bankole NO. Biology study in selected fish species of Tiga Lake Kano State. M.Sc. [thesis]; 1989 submitted to the Bayero University, Kano, 4(7):749-751.
36. Fafioye OO, Oluajo OA. Length-weight relationship of four fish species in Epe lagoon, Nigeria. Afr J Biotechnol. 2005;3(7):749-51.
37. Bongoyinge C. Some observations on aspects of biology of Tilapia marie (Boulenger) and culture of tilapia in freshwater ponds African regional aquaculture centre Aluu, Port Harcourt; 1984.
38. Otieno ON, Kitaka N, Njiru JM. Length-weight relationship, condition factor, Length at first maturity and Sex ratio of Nile tilapia, *Oreochromis niloticus* in Lake Naivasha, Kenya. Int J Fish Aquat Stud. 2014;2(2):67-72.
39. Pauly D, Gayalino FC Jr, editors. FAO-ICLARM stock assessment tools (FiSAT): reference manual. FAO computerized information series. Fisheries. 1997; 8:262.
40. Hile R. Age and growth of the Cisco, *Leucichtys* and *K. suercur* in the Lakes of North Eastern highland and S. Bull. United state bureau of fishes. 1936;48:211-314.
41. Martin WR. The mechanics of the environmental control of body form in fishes. University of Toronto study of Biology. Publication Ontario fisheries Research Laboratory. 1949;58(70):1-91.
42. Mahomoud WF, Amin AMM, Elboray KF, Ramadhan AM, El-Halfawy MMKO. Reproductive Biology and some observation on the age, growth and management of *Tilapia zilli* (Gerv, 1848) from Lake Timsah, Egypt [International Journal]. 2011;3:15-25.
43. Imam TS, Bala U, Balarabe ML. Oyeyi TI, Afr Stud. Length-weight relationship and condition factor of four fish species from Wasai Reservoir in Kanu, Nigeria. 2010;6:125-30.
44. Abiaobo NO, Ekpe, Idopise Abasi Asuquo E, Ntasiobi I, James EJ. Aspects of the Biology of *Periophthalmus barbarous* (mudskipper). In: JajaCreek, Niger Delta, Nigeria .Ecology and Evolutionary Biology. 2021;6:15-22.

© 2023 Ubong et al.; This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Peer-review history:

The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here:
<https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/95915>