

International Journal of Plant & Soil Science

Volume 34, Issue 24, Page 551-558, 2022; Article no.IJPSS.95561 ISSN: 2320-7035

# Critical Limit of Boron in Soil and Cauliflower

# Ruhiwanse Lamare<sup>a\*</sup> and Indira Sarangthem<sup>a</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Department of Soil Science and Agricultural Chemistry, College of Agriculture, Central Agricultural University, Imphal-795004, Manipur, India.

# Authors' contributions

This work was carried out in collaboration between both authors. Both authors read and approved the final manuscript.

# Article Information

DOI: 10.9734/IJPSS/2022/v34i242672

#### **Open Peer Review History:**

This journal follows the Advanced Open Peer Review policy. Identity of the Reviewers, Editor(s) and additional Reviewers, peer review comments, different versions of the manuscript, comments of the editors, etc are available here: https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/95561

Original Research Article

Received: 20/10/2022 Accepted: 28/12/2022 Published: 29/12/2022

# ABSTRACT

Boron plays an important role in crop nutrition. Cauliflower expresses its sensitivity towards boron application which ultimately decides the potential of crop yield and the quality of curds. In this study, we presented the assessment of the critical level of soil boron concentration by graphical and statistical procedures using cauliflower as a test crop. Thirty (30) soil samples collected from three rice growing sub-division of Imphal-west district were used for conducting the pot experiment. The experiment was laid out in a Completely Randomized Design with six treatments replicated thrice. The treatments setting were as follows:  $T_0$ = Control,  $T_1$ = 0.5 mg B kg<sup>-1</sup> soil,  $T_2$ = 1 mg B kg<sup>-1</sup> soil,  $T_3$ = 1.5 mg B kg<sup>-1</sup> soil,  $T_4$ = 2 mg B kg<sup>-1</sup> soil and  $T_5$ = 2.5 mg B kg<sup>-1</sup> soil. The plants were harvested at curd formation stage and used for estimation of the critical limits of boron. The critical level of boron concentration in soil and plant was found to be 0.46 and 24.50 mg kg<sup>-1</sup>, respectively, by graphical procedure, whereas by statistical procedure it was found to be 0.45 and 24.59 mg kg<sup>-1</sup> in soil and plant, respectively. It was evident that critical limits worked out by both the procedures, i.e., graphically and statistically, were closely related. These values may be used to predict the response of cauliflower to the applied boron. The range between the deficiency and toxicity for boron in plants is little, therefore utmost care in management should be taken.

<sup>\*</sup>Corresponding author: E-mail: callmelamare@gmail.com;

Keywords: Boron; cauliflower; soil; critical limits; graphically; statistically.

# **1. INTRODUCTION**

"Boron that is available in plant exists in soil mostly as a neutral boric acid molecule and decomposing organic matter serves as the main source of this boron. Boron is usually presents as an un-dissociated boric acid in the soil solution which is taken up by the plants. The total concentration of boron in soil ranges from 20 to 200 mg kg<sup>-1</sup>. Different species of plant require B differently and the general range for critical deficiency in monocots (wheat, barley etc.) is found to range from 5 to 10 mg kg<sup>-1</sup> of dry matter and in dicots (legumes) it ranges from 20 to 70 mg kg<sup>-1</sup> of dry matter" [1,2]. These differences in the demand of B in monocots and dicots may be due to the present of pectic substances in their cell wall. Also, the monocot plant species have much lower Ca requirements as only a small amount of pectic material is present in their cell walls.

"Being a less mobile nutrient in plants, the symptoms of boron deficiency first started to appear on young leaves, stem tips, flowers and buds" [3]. "The symptoms of B deficiency in plants include leathery, dark green, downward cupping of leaves and dieback of shoot tips" [4]. "The abortion in flower buds, squares in cotton, poorly shaped fruits and malformed seeds have the most shocking effect on growers which fetch a much lower price in the market. Few of the peculiar B deficiencies are 'tip burn' in lettuce ,'crown and heart rot' in sugar beets, 'cracked stems' in celery, formation of internal 'cork like' material in cauliflower and 'hollow heart' in groundnut kernels. All these effects are due to the association of B with pectoc material in cell walls" [5]. B is crucial for improving the fruits quality, while boron plays an important role in crop nutrition. The cauliflower expresses its sensitivity towards the boron application which ultimately decides the potential of the crop yield and quality of curds. Critical level approach, though, used in field crops on large scale, it was rarely advocated in vegetable crops. Thus, an attempt was made to assess the soil graphical boron concentration by and statistical procedures using cauliflower as a test crop.

The present investigation is, therefore, initiated to study the Critical limit of boron both in soil and cauliflower crop.

# 2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

The pot experiment was conducted to evaluate the critical values of boron in cauliflower soils and in cauliflower plants for predicting response of cauliflower to boron application. Thirty (30) soil samples collected from three rice growing sub-division of Imphal-west district were used for the purpose. The experiment was laid out in a completely randomized design with six treatments replicated thrice. The treatment conditions were as follows:  $T_0$ = Control,  $T_1$ = 0.5 mg B kg<sup>-1</sup> soil,  $T_2$ = 1 mg B kg<sup>-1</sup> soil,  $T_3$ = 1.5 mg B kg<sup>-1</sup> soil,  $T_4$ = 2 mg B kg<sup>-1</sup> soil and  $T_5$ = 2.5 mg B kg<sup>-1</sup> soil. The seedlings of the cauliflower crops (variety= sweta) raised in nursery beds were carefully picked up using sharp stick and carefully replanted in pots. Normal water management practices for cauliflower were followed.

The plants were harvested at curd formation stage from the pots then the samples were washed sequentially with tap water, 0.1N HCI and finally rinsed with deionized water. The plant material was dried at 60°C for 48 hours in a hot air oven, and dry matter yield was recorded. The dried plant samples of each pot were dry ashed in a muffle furnace at 550°C for 5 hours and then ash was extracted in 10mL 0.36N H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> for 1 hour at room temperature, as described by Gaines and Mitchell [6]. The concentration of boron was determined colorimetrically using azomethine- H method [7] for estimation of critical limits of boron. The steps for calculation of critical limit of boron in soil and plant were followed by using graphical method as well as by statistical approach suggested by Cate and Nelson [8]. Bray's per cent yield was plotted against soil available boron to evaluate the critical limit of boron in rice soils. Similarly, the critical limit of boron in cauliflower plant was determined by plotting the Bray's percent yield against boron concentration in plant. The Bray's percent yield was calculated using the following formula:

Bray percent yield =  $\frac{\text{Yield without boron}}{\text{Yield at optimum boron}} \times 100$ 

#### 3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The critical levels of B for deficiency, adequacy and toxicity were determined from the relationships between the extractable soil B or plant B concentration and Bray's percent vield. The critical level of B was calculated using both the graphical and statistical procedures as proposed by Cate and Nelson [8,9]. The available B content in soil ranged from 0.19 to 0.93 mg kg<sup>-1</sup> with a mean value of 0.49 mg kg<sup>-1</sup> and the plant boron concentration ranged from 20.46 to 25.79 with a mean value of 23.97, while the Bray's percent yield ranged from 65.31 to 90.61 percent with a mean value of 75.54 percent as presented in Table 2. The critical limit of B in soil and in plant as determined by graphical procedure of Cate and Nelson [9] were found to be 0.46 mg kg<sup>-1</sup>(Fig.1) and 24.50 mg kg<sup>-1</sup> (Fig.3). The B concentration of soil samples from most of the responsive (i.e., deficient) soils lied in 1<sup>st</sup> lower left quadrant containing less than 0.46 ppm B which may be considered as critical limit in soil, below which economic response to B application can be expected.

By the statistical procedure, the critical limit was found to be 0.45 mg kg<sup>-1</sup>(Fig.2) and 24.59 mg kg<sup>-1</sup> <sup>1</sup>(Fig.4) in soil and plant, respectively. The data on the available soil boron corresponding to the corrected sum of squares for the population, postulated critical level and predictability value (R<sup>2</sup>) are computed as per statistical model, as described by Cate and Nelson (1971). The results revealed that R<sup>2</sup> values ranged between -0.341 and 0.437 (Table 3) and the highest  $R^2$ value recorded was 0.437. On the basis of highest predictability  $(R^2)$  value, two populations can be easily partitioned which correspond to the postulated critical level, i.e., 0.44 mg kg<sup>-1</sup> and 24.48 mg kg<sup>-1</sup> in soil and plant, respectively. It was evident from the Table 1 that critical limits worked out by both the procedures. graphically statistically i.e.. and were closely related.

#### Table 1. Comparison of critical limits of B by graphical and statistical methods

Conc.(ppm)	Critical limits (mg kg <sup>-1</sup> )					
	Graphical procedure	Statistical procedure				
soil	0.46	0.45				
plant	24.50	24.59				



Critical limit of boron in soil:

# Fig. 1. Scatter diagram of boron concentration in soil (kg/ha) vs. bray's percent yield by graphical method

Villages	Total dry matter yield (g pot <sup>-1</sup> )					Bray's percent	Bray's percent Boron conc. in Boron conc. in			
	T <sub>0</sub>	T <sub>1</sub>	T <sub>2</sub>	T <sub>3</sub>	T <sub>4</sub>	T <sub>5</sub>	Mean	yield (%)	soil (mg/kg)	cauliflower(mg/kg)
Phaobakchou	15.06	15.19	15.83	16.82	20.47	19.27	17.11	73.57	0.64	25.63
Thongam	13.58	14.66	15.79	16.72	18.46	17.15	16.06	73.56	0.21	21.31
YumnamHuidrom	16.07	17.12	17.75	18.03	20.22	18.42	17.94	79.48	0.27	24.48
Wangoi	11.80	12.18	12.60	14.33	17.00	15.41	13.89	69.41	0.20	24.14
Samurou	21.99	22.01	24.62	28.18	32.66	31.89	26.89	67.33	0.42	24.24
Naran Konjim	12.25	12.54	13.23	14.15	15.81	14.39	13.73	77.48	0.55	21.52
Hiyangthang	39.96	40.28	40.70	42.97	44.10	43.62	41.94	90.61	0.71	25.71
LangthabalPhuramakhong	46.12	46.76	48.42	51.69	55.53	52.79	50.22	83.05	0.46	25.27
Ningombam	18.32	19.28	20.25	23.27	25.47	24.41	21.83	71.93	0.73	21.44
Kondompokpi	14.22	15.17	15.28	17.47	20.73	19.91	17.13	68.60	0.36	25.79
Howrang	16.85	17.31	19.37	22.08	24.87	23.42	20.65	67.75	0.26	25.73
Heibongpokpi	35.49	37.15	38.74	39.28	42.15	39.21	38.67	84.20	0.25	24.74
Lairankabi	12.93	13.09	14.38	15.09	18.01	17.52	15.17	71.79	0.19	21.40
Phayeng	12.45	13.59	13.64	14.05	16.81	14.33	14.15	74.06	0.44	20.46
Awanglairenkabi	14.29	14.73	14.74	17.58	18.06	17.80	16.20	79.13	0.41	21.29
Lamlongei	21.21	23.36	24.43	26.84	27.66	25.25	24.79	76.68	0.54	26.45
Lairensajik	15.93	16.31	16.36	19.13	24.39	21.99	19.02	65.31	0.40	24.26
Khurkhul	31.58	32.98	34.69	35.02	37.42	36.80	34.75	84.39	0.26	25.49
Phumlou	11.52	12.84	14.15	15.50	16.36	16.01	14.40	70.42	0.89	23.89
Akham	18.30	18.78	19.09	21.87	22.92	22.23	20.53	79.84	0.78	24.70
Patsoi	25.42	27.50	29.89	31.68	33.43	34.68	30.43	76.04	0.28	25.72
Yurembam	22.43	24.07	24.88	24.90	29.57	29.52	25.90	75.85	0.42	26.01
Sagoltongba	26.03	28.07	30.58	33.09	36.93	34.89	31.60	70.48	0.33	21.88
Konthoujam	14.21	16.01	16.80	18.85	20.57	19.66	17.68	69.08	0.34	22.34
Moidangpok	32.37	33.28	34.04	34.59	36.97	35.50	34.46	87.56	0.77	25.70
New Keithelmanbi	14.50	15.79	16.96	18.21	21.62	20.39	17.91	67.07	0.93	23.58
Khumbong	12.50	12.86	14.58	15.37	17.76	16.26	14.89	70.38	0.64	22.56
Heigrujam	35.63	36.92	39.25	40.05	41.07	40.23	38.86	86.75	0.60	23.67
Kamong	42.61	45.22	49.96	53.11	54.86	54.07	49.97	77.67	0.82	24.79
Langing	15.52	16.19	19.29	19.47	20.22	19.83	18.42	76.76	0.49	24.79
Mean	21.37	22.37	23.68	25.31	27.74	26.56	24.51	75.54	0.49	23.97
Range	11.52	12.18	12.60	14.05	15.81	14.33	13.73	65.31	0.19	20.46-25.79
	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
	46.12	46.76	49.96	53.11	55.53	54.07	50.22	90.61	0.93	

# Table 2. Bray's percent yield (%) vs. Boron conc. in soil and cauliflower (mg/kg)

Lamare and Sarangthem; Int. J. Plant Soil Sci., vol. 34, no. 24, pp. 551-558, 2022; Article no.IJPS.95561



Fig. 2. Scatter diagram of boron concentration in soil (kg/ha) vs. bray's percent yield by statistical method



Critical limit of boron in cauliflower:

Fig. 3. Scatter diagram of boron concentration in cauliflower (mg/kg) vs. bray's percent yield by graphical method

Villages	Soil B (ppm)	Plant B (ppm)	Bray's % yield	Mean Bray's % yield in population -1	Corrected sum of squares of deviation from mean of population CSS	Mean Bray's % yield in population -2	Corrected sum of squares of deviation from mean of population CSS <sub>2</sub>	R <sup>2</sup> = TCSS-CSS <sub>1</sub> - CSS <sub>2</sub> /TCSS
Phaobakchou	0 19	20.46	65.31	•	population 000	71.68	1760 59	-0.34
Thongam	0.10	21 29	67.07	66 19	1 55	72.01	1641.34	-0.25
YumnamHuidrom	0.21	21.31	67.33	66.57	2.42	72.29	1554.50	-0.19
Wangoi	0.25	21.4	67.75	66.87	3.46	72.58	1465.29	-0.12
Samurou	0.26	21.44	68.6	67.21	5.87	72.88	1376.91	-0.05
Naran Koniim	0.26	21.52	69.08	67.52	8.78	73.17	1298.89	0.00
Hivangthang	0.27	21.88	69.41	67.79	11.83	73.46	1222.89	0.06
LangthabalPhuramakh	0.28	22.34	70.38	68.12	17.68	73.77	1145.12	0.11
ong								
Ningombam	0.33	22.56	70.42	68.37	22.40	74.05	1079.81	0.16
Kondompokpi	0.34	23.58	70.48	68.58	26.40	74.38	1005.66	0.21
Howrang	0.36	23.67	71.79	68.87	35.75	74.77	921.09	0.27
Heibongpokpi	0.4	23.89	71.93	69.13	44.31	75.10	856.01	0.31
Lairankabi	0.41	24.14	73.56	69.47	62.43	75.50	781.48	0.36
Phayeng	0.42	24.24	73.57	69.76	78.04	75.78	734.89	0.38
Awanglairenkabi	0.42	24.26	74.06	70.05	95.27	76.15	675.45	0.41
Lamlongei	0.44	24.48	75.85	70.41	126.82	76.56	612.57	0.44
Lairensajik	0.46	24.7	76.04	70.74	156.63	76.74	588.38	0.43
Khurkhul	0.49	24.74	76.68	71.07	189.92	76.97	557.80	0.43
Phumlou	0.54	24.79	76.76	71.37	220.56	77.12	539.42	0.42
Akham	0.55	24.79	77.48	71.68	256.00	77.48	496.20	0.43
Patsoi	0.6	25.27	77.67	71.96	290.20	77.58	485.29	0.41
Yurembam	0.64	25.49	79.13	72.29	339.24	78.09	428.78	0.41
Sagoltongba	0.64	25.63	79.48	72.60	388.70	78.44	393.21	0.40
Konthoujam	0.71	25.7	79.84	72.90	438.92	78.72	366.18	0.39
Moidangpok	0.73	25.71	83.05	73.31	537.76	79.44	304.20	0.36
New Keithelmanbi	0.77	25.72	84.2	73.73	651.82	80.12	244.14	0.32
Khumbong	0.78	25.73	84.39	74.12	761.29	80.66	197.88	0.27
Heigrujam	0.82	25.79	86.75	74.57	915.05	81.33	154.21	0.19
Kamong	0.89	26.01	87.56	75.02	1077.88	81.96	106.33	0.10
Langing	0.93	26.45	90.61	75.54	1312.79			
- *							SS	172506.1
							CF	171193.3
							TSS	1312.79

Table 3. Soil available boron, plant boron concentration, Bray's per cent yield and predictability values (R<sup>2</sup>)



#### Fig. 4. Scatter diagram of boron concentration in cauliflower (mg/kg) vs. bray's percent yield by statistical method

# 4. CONCLUSION

The critical level of boron concentration in soil and plant (cauliflower at curd initiation stage) was found to be 0.46 and 24.50 mg kg<sup>-1</sup>, respectively, by graphical procedure, whereas by statistical procedure it was found to be 0.45 and 24.59 mg kg<sup>-1</sup> in soil and plant, respectively. It was evident that critical limits worked out by both the procedures, i.e., graphically and statistically, were closely related. These values may be used to predict the response of cauliflower to applied boron. The range between the deficiency and toxicity for boron in plants is little, therefore utmost care in management should be taken.

# **COMPETING INTERESTS**

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

# REFERENCES

 Romheld V, Marschner H. Functions of micronutrients in soils. (In) Micronutrients in Agriculture, 2<sup>nd</sup> edition (J.J. Mortvedt, F.R. Cox, L.M. Schuman and R.M. Welch, eds.). Soil Science Society of America, Madison, USA. 1991;297–28.

- 2. Gupta UC. Deficiency, sufficiency and toxicity levels of B in crops. (In) Boron and its Role in Crop Production (U.C. Gupta, ed.), CRC, Boca Raton, USA. 1993;137–45.
- Dobermann A, Fairhurst T. Nutritional disorders and nutrient management. International Rice Research Institute, Los Banos, Philippines. 2000;132–34.
- 4. Bell RW. Diagnosis and prediction of boron deficiency for plant production. Plant and Soil. 1997;193:149–68.
- 5. Mengel K, Kirkby EA. Principles of plant nutrition. Panima Educational Book Agency, New Delhi; 1987.
- Gaines TP, Mitchell GA. Boron determination in plant tissue by the azomethine-H method. Communications in Soil Science and Plant Analysis. 1979;10:1099–1108.
- 7. Wolf B. The determination of boron in soil extract plant materials, compost manure and nutrient solution. Soil Science and Plant Analysis. 1971;2:367.
- 8. Cate RB, Nelson LA. A rapid method for correlation of soil test analysis with plant response data. North Carolina Agriculture Experiment Station.

Lamare and Sarangthem; Int. J. Plant Soil Sci., vol. 34, no. 24, pp. 551-558, 2022; Article no.IJPS.95561

International soil Testing Series Bulletin No. 1; 1965.

9. Cate RB, Nelson LA. A simple statistical procedure for partitioning soil test

correlation data into two classes. Soil Science Society of America Procedings. 1971;35:658-660.

© 2022 Lamare and Sarangthem; This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Peer-review history: The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here: https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/95561