

# International Journal of Plant & Soil Science

Volume 35, Issue 20, Page 718-724, 2023; Article no.IJPSS.106584 ISSN: 2320-7035

# Bio-efficacy of Fenazaquin 20 SC against European Red Mite, Panonychus ulmi (Acari: Tetranychidae) on Apple in Kashmir, India

Pathania, S. S. a\*, Bano, Parveena a, Tehmina Mushtaq a, Sofi, M. A. a, Sushil Kumar a and Bismat Un Nisa

<sup>a</sup> Division of Entomology, Faculty of Horticulture, Sher e Kashmir University of Agricultural Sciences and Technology of Kashmir, Shalimar, Srinagar, Kashmir, (J and K), 190 025, India.

# Authors' contributions

This work was carried out in collaboration among all authors. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

# Article Information

DOI: 10.9734/IJPSS/2023/v35i203858

### **Open Peer Review History:**

This journal follows the Advanced Open Peer Review policy. Identity of the Reviewers, Editor(s) and additional Reviewers, peer review comments, different versions of the manuscript, comments of the editors, etc are available here:

<a href="https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/106584">https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/106584</a>

Original Research Article

Received: 20/07/2023 Accepted: 24/09/2023 Published: 30/09/2023

# **ABSTRACT**

Efficacy studies were conducted during June-July in 2017 and 2018 at two locations in district Baramulla of Jammu and Kashmir against the phytophagous mite *Panonychus ulmi* (Koch) in apple orchards. The test molecule Fenazaquin 20 SC at the dosages of 15, 20 and 25 ml along with standard check Hexythiazox 5.45 EC and Fenazaquin 10 SC at 40 ml per 100 litre of water were evaluated against Panonychus ulmi. After fifteen days of spray, maximum 90.45 and 87.99 per cent ERM mortality of was obtained from Fenazaquin 20 SC @ 25 ml in both the years at location Ferozpura, Rafiabad; whereas, at another location Chitkak (Handwara), Kupwara, highest ERM mortality of 84.95 and 90.18 per cent was recorded during both the respective years. The least mortality of natural enemies Coccinellids (pooled for two years) as 23.32 and 22.91 per cent was recorded with the test chemical Fenazaquin 20 SC @ 15 ml per litre of water; whereas, highest mortality of 32.09 and 30.46 was recorded with test molecule @ 25 ml. in Ferozpura, Rafiabad; and

\*Corresponding author: E-mail: pathaniasjs@gmail.com;

Chitkak (Handwara), Kupwara. Similarly, the least mortality of Amblyseius spp. as 34.50 and 33.38 per cent too was recorded with the Fenazaquin 20 SC @ 15 ml per litre of water. The highest yield of apples was recorded with application of Fenazaquin 20 EC @ 25ml in both the locations.

Keywords: Apple; Panonychus ulmi; fenazaquin 20 SC; hexythiazox 5.45 SC; efficacy; predators; safety.

# 1. INTRODUCTION

Apple is considered as the important temperate fruit in the Kashmir valley and is the mainstay of Horticulture industry. The apple crop is attacked by number of insect pests; amongst them San Jose scale (SJS), Quadraspidiotus perniciosus and European red mite (ERM), Panonychus ulmi are considered as the major insect pests infesting the crop. The two major mite pests of apple in north western Himalavas are the European red mite Panonychus ulmi (Koch) and two spotted spider mite, Tetranychus urticae (Koch). Both immature and adult stages of European red mite puncture the tissues of the leaves and feed on plant sap. Heavily infested leaves become dull green, brownish yellow or bronzed giving a burnt appearance to orchards [1]. Severe infestation results in early dropping of leaves, retarded growth, weakened & reduced fruit buds and fruit set, reduced size of fruits and premature fruit drop in subsequent years. The damaged leaves are exposed to secondary infection of fungal diseases chiefly Alternaria blight causing more potential loss to the growers [2]. In nature, phytophagous mites are kept under check by different predators such as predatory mites, Chrysoperla larvae, Stethorus beetles and predatory thrips etc [3]. Khajuria and Sharma [4] reported the phytoseiid mite Amblyseius fallacis (Garman) to suppress mites in apple. Phytoseiid mites are an important component of IPM by virtue of their ability to feed on alternate prey and survive at low prey mite densities [5]. In recent past, indiscriminate use of insecticides has often been attributed for mite outbreaks. Though, numerous acaricides are being recommended for the effective mite management [6], but with the passage of time many of these acaricides have become obsolete either due to ban or their nonproduction. Besides, associated natural enemies are also adversely affected due to indiscriminate chemicals usage and exposure to manage phytophagous mites [7]. Therefore, the newer acaricides against phytophagous mites be evaluated regularly under field conditions to appreciably keep their population threshold levels. Keeping this in view, the

bioefficacy of newer molecule Fenazaquin 20 SC at dosages of 15, 20 and 25 ml per 100 litres of water was evaluated against phytophagous mites along with their safety to natural enemies in apple orchards.

# 2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

The field trials were conducted at two different locations i.e. Government Apple orchard, Chitkak, (Handwara) Kupwara; and Ferozpura, Rafiabad, Baramulla, Kashmir (J&K) during the years 2017 and 2018. The selected orchard had previous history of European Red Mites (ERM) infestation. The ERM population was counted on 20 leaves from all the four directions (one each from northern, southern, eastern and western side of the tree's periphery) of each selected tree, 2-3 hours before treatment and at subsequent post count intervals of 1, 5, 7, 10 and 15 days after the spray application. The trials were laid in a randomized block design (RBD). Each treatment was replicated thrice. The test molecule Fenazaquin 20 SC was sprayed at three different concentration of 15, 20, 25 ml per 100 liters of water along with standard check Fenazaguin 10 SC and Hexythiazox 5.45 EC each at 40 ml per 100 liters of water. Spraving was done by high volume sprayer @ 10 litres per tree. The data pertaining to phytotoxicity were recorded at 1, 3, 5, 7, 10 and 15 days after treatment application. Similarly, the observations on natural enemies were also recorded 1 day before spraying and 1, 3, and 7 days after spray. The phytotoxicity data on chlorosis, necrosis, wilting, scorching, hyponasty and epinasty were recorded after 1, 3,5,7, 10 and 15 days after spray using (1-10) scale at three concentrations i.e. @ 20, 40 and 80 ml per 100 litres of water. Yield was also calculated on the basis of A-Grade Apple boxes/ tree, treatment wise at time of crop harvest.

# 3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The highest mortality of European red mite (ERM) as 90.45 and 87.99 per cent was recorded with the application of Fenazaquin 20

Table 1. Bio-efficiency of fenazaquin 20 SC against European red mite (Panonychus ulmi) on red delicious variety of apple at Ferozpura, Rafiabad, Baramulla, during 2017-2018

Coded molecule	Concentration (Per 100 lit. of	Pre-treatment count	Percent mean mortality of <i>Panonychus ulmi</i> Post count observation (DAT)										
	water)		1 <sup>st</sup>		5 <sup>th</sup>		7 <sup>th</sup>		10 <sup>th</sup>		15 <sup>th</sup>		
	•		2017	2018	2017	2018	2017	2018	2017	2018	2017	2018	
Fenazaquin	15 ml	16.59	44.36	42.06	55.13	52.08	69.22	67.62	75.96	77.50	80.81	80.00	
20 SC			(41.78)	(38.30)	(47.96)	(43.76)	(56.33)	(52.40)	(60.66)	(58.43)	(64.05)	(63.46)	
Fenazaquin	20 ml	16.6	51.52	51.56	58.10	61.72	76.46	73.97	83.99	81.14	87.25	83.95	
20 SC			(45.89)	(43.47)	(49.68)	(49.05)	(57.78)	(56.19)	(66.44)	(60.87)	(69.11)	(66.41)	
Fenazaquin	25 ml	16.33	56.63	53.42	61.60	60.13	79.71	76.05	86.93	84.08	90.45	87.99	
20 SC			(48.83)	(44.48)	(51.73)	(48.16)	(63.25)	(57.50)	(68.84)	(62.98)	(72.03)	(69.75)	
Hexythiazox	40 ml	15.06	42.74	43.30	51.84	51.87	68.49	68.16	73.97	74.22	76.85	77.98	
5.45 EC			(40.84)	(38.98)	(46.07)	(43.64)	(55.87)	(52.71)	(59.35)	(56.35)	(61.27)	(62.04)	
Fenazaquin	40 ml	17.2	47.57	46.32	54.90	55.88	77.73	73.67	80.94	77.35	82.63	83.67	
10 SC			(43.62)	(40.63)	(47.83)	(45.82)	(61.87)	(56.01)	(64.14)	(58.33)	(65.40)	(66.19)	
Check	Water	15.34	13.39	16.08	15.61	15.10	17.01	18.04	17.84	20.34	19.96	20.95	
			(21.47)	(22.39)	(23.28)	(21.66)	(24.36)	(23.81)	(24.99)	(25.39)	(26.54)	(27.25)	
C.D (p=0.05)			(7.65)	(6.07)	(6.30)	(4.62)	(8.17)	(3.33)	(6.98)	(4.85)	(2.45)	(2.54)	

Each value is mean of three observations (includes Protonymph, deutonymph and adult mite)
Figures in parenthesis are Arc sin transformed values

Table 2. Bio-efficiency of Fenazaquin 20 EC against European red mite (*Panonychus ulmi*) on Red Delicious variety of apple at Government apple orchard, Chitkak (Handwara), Kupwara, during 2017-2018

Coded molecule	Concentrati on (Per 100	Pre - treatment	Percent mean mortality of <i>Panonychus ulmi</i> Post count observation (DAT)										
	lit. of water)	count	1 <sup>st</sup>		5 <sup>th</sup>		7 <sup>th</sup>		10 <sup>th</sup>		15 <sup>th</sup>		
			2017	2018	2017	2018	2017	2018	2017	2018	2017	2018	
Fenazaquin 20 SC	15 ml	16.87	55.06	51.09	65.62	55.06	75.04	65.62	78.94	78.94	80.95	80.08	
			(47.92)	(45.64)	(54.12)	(47.92)	(60.05)	(54.12)	(62.71)	(62.71)	(64.15)	(63.52)	
Fenazaquin 20 SC	20 ml	15.02	54.23	47.38	68.61	54.23	78.98	68.61	81.08	81.08	84.00	83.98	
			(47.44)	(43.51)	(55.95)	(47.44)	(62.74)	(55.95)	(64.24)	(64.24)	(66.45)	(66.43)	
Fenazaquin 20 SC	25 ml	16.58	56.71	52.47	73.13	56.71	80.95	73.13	84.41	84.41	84.95	90.18	
·			(48.88)	(46.43)	(58.80)	(48.88)	(64.15)	(58.80)	(66.77)	(66.77)	(67.20)	(71.77)	
Hexythiazox 5.45	40 ml	15.62	47.83	45.76	64.47	47.83	72.82	64.47	75.92	75.92	77.94	77.95	
EC			(43.77)	(42.58)	(53.43)	(43.77)	(58.60)	(53.43)	(60.64)	(60.64)	(62.01)	(62.02)	
Fenazaquin 10 SC	40 ml	17.83	Š1.64	48.47 <sup>^</sup>	65.82 <sup>^</sup>	Š1.64	75.98 <sup>°</sup>	65.82 <sup>^</sup>	78.28 <sup>^</sup>	78.28 <sup>^</sup>	79.95 <sup>^</sup>	84.0	
·			(45.96)	(44.14)	(54.24)	(45.96)	(60.68)	(54.24)	(62.25)	(62.25)	(63.43)	(66.45)	
Check )Water	Water	16.25	13.84	11.73 <sup>^</sup>	15.32 <sup>^</sup>	13.84	15.96 <sup>°</sup>	15.32 <sup>^</sup>	18.72 <sup>^</sup>	Ì8.72 <sup>^</sup>	20.00 <sup>^</sup>	22.59 <sup>^</sup>	
spray)			(21.85)	(20.03)	(23.05)	(21.85)	(23.55)	(23.05)	(25.64)	(25.64	(26.57)	(28.39)	
C.D (p=0.05)			(8.36)	(3.59)	(6.62)	(4.19)	(2.92)	(5.07)	(2.89)	(4.22)	(3.39)	(3.23)	

Each value is mean of three observations (includes Protonymph, deutonymph and adult mite)
Figures in parenthesis are Arc sin transformed values

Table 3. Bio-efficiency of Fenazaquin 20SC against European red mite (*Panonychus ulmi*) on Red Delicious variety of apple at Ferozpura, Rafiabad, Baramulla and Chitkak (Handwara), Kupwara Government apple orchard, (Two years pooled data for the year 2017 and 2018)

Code/ Chemical	Concentration (Per 100	Percent Cumulative Mean Mortality of Panonychus ulmi								
	lit. of water)	Ferozp	ura, Rafiaba	d, Baramulla	Government apple orchard, Chitkak (Handwara), Kupwara					
		2017	2018	Pooled	2017	2018	Pooled			
Fenazaquin 20 SC	15 ml	65.09	63.85	64.47	71.12	66.15	68.35			
Fenazaquin 20 SC	20 ml	71.46	70.47	70.95	73.38	67.05	70.21			
Fenazaquin 20 SC	25 ml	75.06	72.33	73.69	76.05	71.38	73.71			
Hexythiazox 5.45 EC	40 ml	62.77	63.11	62.94	67.79	62.38	65.08			
Fenazaquin 10 SC	40 ml	68.75	67.38	68.06	70.33	65.64	67.98			
Check )Water spray)	Water	16.76	18.10	17.43	16.76	16.44	16.60			

Table 4. Toxicity of Fenazaquin 20EC against natural enemies of European Red Mite (*Panonychus ulmi*) on apple cv. Red Delicious at Ferozpura, Rafiabad, Baramulla, and Government orchard, Chitkak, Kupwara during the years 2017 and 2018 (Pooled)

Code/ Chemical	Concentration	Coccinellids							Amblyseius						
	(Per 100 lit of	Ferozpura,Baramulla			Chitkak, Kupwara			Ferozpura,Baramulla			Chitkak, Kupwara				
	water)	2017	2018	Pooled	2017	2018	Pooled	2017	2018	Pooled	2017	2018	Pooled		
Fenazaquin 20 SC	15ml	27.53	19.12	23.32	25.63	20.20	22.91	37.49	31.51	34.50	36.27	30.50	33.38		
Fenazaquin 20 SC	20ml	32.09	22.20	27.14	28.73	23.91	26.32	39.96	35.54	37.75	38.57	32.70	35.63		
Fenazaquin 20 SC	25ml	39.39	24.80	32.09	31.57	29.35	30.46	54.07	40.87	47.47	45.15	36.84	40.99		
Hexythiazox5.45 EC	40ml	33.33	23.30	28.31	27.45	23.20	25.32	38.64	34.96	36.80	38.37	29.56	33.96		
Fenazaquin 10 SC	40ml	34.67	23.31	28.99	29.14	22.68	25.91	41.04	37.13	39.08	41.78	32.50	37.14		
Check )Water spray)		0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5		

Table 5. Effect of Fenazaquin 20 SC against European Red Mite (*Panonychus ulmi*) on yield (number of boxes) of apple variety cv. Red Delicious at Ferozpura, Rafiabad, Baramulla and Government orchard Chitkak, Kupwara during 2017 and 2018.

Treatment/ Chemical	Concentration (Per 100 lit of water)	Yield of A grade Apples/tree ( Number of boxes per plant )								
	•	Ferozp	ura, Rafial	oad, Baramulla	Government orchard Chitkak, Kupwa					
		2017	2018	Pooled	2017	2018	Pooled			
Fenazaquin20 SC	15 ml	4.75	4.00	4.37	4.15	5.20	4.67			
Fenazaquin20 SC	20 ml	5.40	4.85	5.12	4.75	5.35	5.05			
Fenazaquin20 SC	25 ml	5.65	5.20	5.33	5.40	5.70	5.55			
Hexythiazox5.45 EC	40 ml	5.10	4.40	4.75	4.35	5.40	4.87			
Fenazaquin 10 SC	40 ml	4.40	4.32	4.36	4.0	4.75	4.37			
Check )Water spray)	Water	2.00	2.40	2.20	2.45	2.65	2.55			

SC at a dosage of 25 ml/100 L of water; which is closely followed by mite mortality of 87.25 and 83.95 per cent at recommended dose of 20 ml after 15 days of spray treatment during both the year, 2017 and 2018, respectively at location Ferozpora, Rafiabad, Baramulla.. The least ERM mortality of 82.63 and 83.67 per cent was recorded with standard check Hexythiozox 5.45 EC @ 40ml per 100 litres of water (Table 1).

another location, Chitkak (Handwara), Kupwara; the maximum ERM mortality as 84.95 and 90.18 per cent was recorded with spray application of test molecule Fenazaguin 20 SC @ 25 ml/100 L of water during both the respective years. The standard Hexythiozox 5.45 EC @ 0.40 ml per liter of water recorded least ERM mortality of 77.94 and 77.95 per cent after 15 days of spray application at both the respective locations as showed in (Table 2). Though, all the treatments were statistically significant from the control which registered mean mortality of ERM as 19.96 and 20.95 per cent at location, Ferozpora, Rafiabad. Baramulla; and 20.00 and 22.59 per cent at Chitkak (Handwara), Kupwara in both the respective years.

natural enemies associated with Panonychus ulmi at both the locations were Coccinellids and predatory mite Amblyseius spp. during both the consecutive years of study. The least mortality of Coccinellids was 23.32 and 22.91 per cent with spray application of Fenazaquin 20 SC@15 ml/100 lit of water at both the location Ferozpora, Baramulla and Kupwara, respectively. Chitkak, However, molecule Fenazaquin 20 SC@ 25 ml was highly toxic to Coccinellids predators in recording highest mortality of 32.09 and 30.46 per cent at both the respective locations. Similarly, the minimum mortality of *Amblyseius* spp. as 34.50 and 33.38 per cent was recorded with treatment of Fenazaguin 20 SC @ 15 ml; whereas, the test molecule at dosage of 25 ml per 100 L of water recorded maximum mortalities of Amblyseius spp. at both the respective locations (Table 4). The test molecule Fenazaquin 20 SC at all the concentrations didn't cause any phytotoxicity during both the years at both the locations. The highest yield of apple fruit was computed with treatment application of test molecule Fenazaguin 20 SC @ 25 ml followed by the fruit yield obtained with test acaricide @ 20 ml per 100 litres of water as showed in (Table 4) [8].

The results are in consonance with the findings of Rana and Bhardwaj [9] who reported fenazaquin to be as highly effective and persistent against European red mite Panonychus ulmi on apple. The findings are more or less corroborated with the work of Reddy et al. [10] who compared the efficacy of fenazaguin, hexythiazox and other acaricides against Tetranychus urticae (Koch) both under laboratory and green house conditions. In laboratory conditions, fenazaguin and abamectin observed giving 97-100% mortality. respectively; whereas, under, at greenhouse conditions, fenazaguin and abamectin gave cent per cent mortality. The higher toxicity of Fenazaquin20 SC observed during the study, receives support from Kim and Seo [11] who reported it to be very toxic to adult females and immatures of Amblyseius womersleyi. The moderate toxicity with standard Hexythiazox5.45 EC received support from the findings of Hoy and Ouyang [12] who reported the acaricide to be safer against phytoseiid predator; whereas, moderate toxicity of standard check treatments corroborate the earlier reports of Croft [13] and Khajuria and Sharma [14].

### 4. CONCLUSION

The test molecule Fenazaguin 20 SC @ 25 ml per 100 litres of water recorded maximum ERM mortality of 90.45 and 87.99 per cent at location Ferozpura, Rafiabad; whereas, at another location Chitkak (Handwara), Kupwara, the highest ERM mortality was 84.95 and 90.18 per cent after fifteen days of spray application during both the respective years. The least mortality of natural enemies (Coccinellids) was recorded with the Fenazaguin 20 SC @ 15 ml per litre of water; whereas, the test molecule @ 25 ml registered highest Coccinellids mortality in both the locations.. The least mortality of *Amblyseius* spp. was observed with the test chemical @ 15 ml per litre of water.

### **COMPETING INTERESTS**

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

# **REFERENCES**

 Bhardwajj, S, Bhardwaj, S, P, Sharma, S. Efficacy of new miticides against European red mite (Panonyehm ulmi) on apple

- (*Malus domestica*). Indian Journal of 4gricubural Sciences 2007;77(3):166-67.
- 2. Sherwani A, Mukhtar M and Wani. Insect pests of apple and their management. Insect pest management of fruit crops. New Delhi: Biotech Books. 2016;295-306.
- 3. Singh J. Efficacy of Acaricides Against Phytophagous Mites in Apple. Indian Journal of Entomology. 2022 Jul 26:152-5.
- 4. Khajuria DR, Sharma JP. Outbreak of phytophagous mites on apple in Kullu valley. Indian Journal of Plant Protection 1996;24(1,2):134-138.
- Overmeer WPJ. Alternative prey and other food resources. Helle W, Sabelis M W (eds.). Spider mites, their biology, natural enemies and control. Elsevier, Amsterdam. 1985;1B:131-140.
- Khajuria DR, Dubey JK, Gupta D. Management of phytophagous mites with selective acaricides on apple and sensitivity of phytoseiid mites to acaricides. Pest Management and Economic Zoology 2006;14(1&2):1-9
- 7. Roy S, Muraleedharan N, Mukhopadhyay A. The red spider mite, *Oligonychus coffeae* (Acari: Tetranychidae): Its status, biology, ecology and management in tea plantations. Experimental and Applied Acarology. 2014 Aug;63:431-63.
- 8. Marshall DB, Pree DJ. Effect of miticides on the life stages of the European red mite, Panonychusulmi (Koch) (Acari: Tetranychidae). Canadian Entomologist. 1995;123(1):77-87.

- 9. Rana VK, Bhardwaj SP. Bioefficacy of acaricides against European red mite, *Panonychus ulmi* on apple (*Malus domestica*). Indian Journal of Agricultural Sciences. 2004;74(11):628-630.
- Reddy DS, Nagaraj R, Pushpalatha M, Chowdary R. Comparative evaluation of noel acaricides against two spotted spider mite, Tetranychusurticae Koch. Infesting cucumber (*Cucumis sativus*) under laboratory; 2014.
- Kim SS, Seo SG. Relative toxicity of some acaricides to the predatory mite, Amblyseiuswomersleyi and the two spotted spider mite, *Tetranychus urticae* (Acari: Phytoseiidae, Tetranychidae). Applied Entomology and Zoology 2001; 36(4):509-514.
- Hoy MA, Ouyang YL. Selectivity of the acaricides clofentezine and hexythiazox to the predator Metaseiulusoccidentalis (Acari: Tetranychidae). Journal of Economic Entomology. 1986;79:1377-1380.
- 13. Croft BA. Integrated control of apple mites. Michigan State University, Co-operative Extension Service, USA. Extension Bulletin No. 1975;825:16.
- 14. Khajuria DR, Sharma JP. Bio-efficacy of various acaricides against the phytophagous mites on apple and their sensitivity to the phytoseiid mites. Pest Management and Economic Zoology. 2010;18(1/2):116-121

© 2023 Pathania et al.; This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Peer-review history:
The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here:
https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/106584