

Journal of Advances in Medicine and Medical Research

33(15): 27-34, 2021; Article no.JAMMR.70273 ISSN: 2456-8899 (Past name: British Journal of Medicine and Medical Research, Past ISSN: 2231-0614, NLM ID: 101570965)

The Correlation between Placental Weight and Foetal Outcome in a Tertiary Health Facility in Southern Nigeria

C. I. Emechebe^{1*}, E. Ekanem¹, J. T. Ukaga¹ and C. O. Njoku¹

¹Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, University of Calabar Teaching Hospital (UCTH), Calabar, Nigeria.

Authors' contributions

This work was carried out in collaboration with all the authors. Authors CIE and EE designed the study, wrote the protocol and the first draft of the manuscript. Authors JTU and CON managed the analyses of the study and the literature searches. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Article Information

DOI: 10.9734/JAMMR/2021/v33i1530983 <u>Editor(s):</u> (1) Dr. Sevgul Donmez, Mugla Sitki Kocman University, Turkey. <u>Reviewers:</u> (1) Anjali R. Senior, AIIMS, India. (2) Partha Pratim Sharma, Midnapore Medical College, India. Complete Peer review History: <u>http://www.sdiarticle4.com/review-history/70273</u>

Original Research Article

Received 16 April 2021 Accepted 26 June 2021 Published 05 July 2021

ABSTRACT

Background: Placenta is a vital feto-maternal organ for promoting pregnancy, foetal growth and development. Placenta varies in weight, size, thickness, form and consistency. The weight of the placenta is functionally significant because it is related to villous surface area and foetal metabolism. Gross examination of placenta after delivery may provide a useful insight into foetal weight, newborn and maternal complications of pregnancy.

Objectives: To determine the mean placental weight in our environment and also, to determine the correlation between the placental weight and neonatal outcome in term pregnancies in Calabar.

Materials and Methods: This was a cross-sectional study of 300 women conducted at University of Calabar Teaching Hospital (UCTH). Participants in the study were women with singleton pregnancies who delivered either by vaginal delivery or caesarean section at term. Examination and weighing of placenta were done at delivery for placental weight. Foetal outcome that were recorded included birth weight, sex, length of the newborn and Apgar score at 5th minute. Maternal age, marital status and parity were also obtained. Data obtained were analyzed using the statistical

*Corresponding author: E-mail: newlifecj2000@gmail.com, newlifecj@yahoo.com;

package for Social Sciences (SPSS) version 20. Level of significance was set at p-value less than 0.05. Data were presented in tables and graph.

Results: The mean placental weight was $652 \pm 152g$ and ranged from 250g-1,200g. The mean foetal birth weight was $3.309 \pm 0.522kg$ and ranged from 2.0-5.9kg. The mean placental weight to birth weight ratio was 1: 5.08. The mean gestational age at delivery was 38.94 ± 1.33 weeks while the mean length of the neonate was $49.79 \pm 2.66cm$. There was a corresponding increase in placental weight with increase in neonatal birth weight and length of the neonate.

Conclusions: Placental weight was positively correlated with birth weight and length of the neonate. Critical examination and weighting of the placenta immediately after delivery should be done to determine the well being of the newborn.

Keywords: Placental weight; birth weight; length of neonate; foetal outcome.

1. INTRODUCTION

The capacity of foetus to grow and develop during pregnancy depends on the quality and function of the developed placenta [1]. This is because normal developed placentae have several function during pregnancy such as nutritive, excretory, respiratory, endocrine and barrier action in preventing harmful substances from passing to the foetus [2]. The placental weight is about one sixth of the normal weight of the newborn [1]. Some studies have examined the relationship of placental size to the neonatal birth weight at term and concluded that placental weight was linked with pregnancy outcome [1,3]. Placentae vary in weight, size, thickness, form and consistency. The normal mature human placenta is rounded, flattened, discoid organ, 15-20cm in diameter and 2-4cm thick. It weighs 500-600grams [2]. Studies revealed that both high and low placental weights correlated with a poor perinatal outcome such as low Apgar score, medical complications of pregnancy, respiratory distress syndrome and perinatal death [1,4]. For example, they are heavier than normal in maternal conditions like syphilis, diabetes, hydrops foetalis, rhesus isoimmunisation and severe anaemia [2]. Small placental sizes may be seen in conditions like trisomies, intrauterine growth restriction and maternal hypertension. The central pathology in the development of preeclampsia lies in the placenta and the placental sizes is usually smaller than in normal pregnancies [5]. Barker et al reported that distorted growth of the placenta was a predictor maternal medical diseases such of as disease, cardiovascular hypertension and diabetes mellitus [6]. Other factors such as socioeconomic status, race, harmful habits and occupation also affect the placental weight [1].

Cautious inspection of the placenta after delivery can give insight concerning the intrauterine environment of the foetus before delivery. Recent studies on foetal birth weights show that foetal birth weights at term have increased over time [7.8]. There is a positive correlation between foetal weight and placental weights in some studies [1,3]. The placenta can be weighed with membranes and cord attached, but the standard approach is to weigh the placenta after the extra placental membranes and the umbilical cord are trimmed from the disk [1]. This limits the measurement to the weight of the placental disk, the actual nutrient exchange part of the placenta. However, Leary et al suggested that trimmed and untrimmed placental weights are exchangeable, based on their high correlation [9].

The placental weights examination and measurement are of great clinical relevance and is associated with a wide range of unfavourable obstetric outcome and this study was aimed to determine the correlation between placental weight and foetal outcome. There are few documented local studies of placental weight and foetal outcome [1,3]. Though the pathogenesis of variability of placental size remains largely unclear, this study would provide information about the placental weight and its association with adverse obstetrics outcome in our locality. Additionally, it will also guide further studies on the subject in our environment, enrich local content of literature and provide a basis for future best practices in maternal and prenatal care to improve neonatal outcome.

2. METHODOLOGY

This was a prospective cross-sectional study carried out at the labour ward and theatre of the Department of Obstetrics and Gynaecology, University of Calabar Teaching Hospital (UCTH), Calabar from February 15th to July 14th 2016. The target population were pregnant women delivering either vaginally or by caesarean section in this facility. Inclusion criteria were primigravida or multigravida with singleton pregnancies who had either vaginal delivery or caesarean section between 37 and 42 completed weeks.

Exclusion criteria were pregnancies complicated by intrauterine foetal death, multiple gestations, congenital malformations of foetus, diabetes, preeclampsia, chorioamnionitis, preterm labour and intrauterine growth restriction.

Data were obtained from participants using a pre-tested questionnaire, newborn weighing scale and measuring tape calibrated in centimetres. The newborn weighing scale was a standard analogue Waymaster (England) scale corrected for zero error. This was used to measure the neonatal and placental weight after delivery.

The socio-demographic characteristics obtained include age, marital status, parity, last menstrual period and gestational age in weeks. The placentae were examined under running water to remove blood clots and the placental weight measured using a weighing scale and recorded in grams. Foetal parameters that were recorded after delivery include Apgar score at 5 min, weight of the newborn, sex and length of newborn.

Data obtained were analyzed using the Statistical Package for Social Sciences (SPSS) version 20. The test of significance was done with Pearson's correlation coefficient. Level of significance was set at p-value less than 0.05. Data were presented in tables and chart.

3. RESULTS

Placental weight varied from 250gram to 1,200gram with mean weight of 652 ± 152 gram while the gestational age at delivery varies from 37-42 weeks with mean of 38.94 weeks \pm 1.326 weeks. The age distribution showed the mean maternal age of 29.6 years \pm 4.85years, maximum 41 years and minimum 14 years.

The male foetuses had slightly larger mean placental weight than female foetuses, though the difference was not statistically significant ($663 \pm 141g$ vs $640 \pm 164g$; P-value=0.203) as shown in Table 2. The mean placental weight increased with increase in birth weight from 600 $\pm 141g$ when the birth weights were less than 2.5kg to 714 \pm 128g when the birth weights were 4kg or more and the difference in mean placental weight was statistically significant (P-value=0.049).

Table 3 showed that most placentae delivered within the period weighed between 700-899 gram 129(43.0%) followed by placental weight of 500-699 gram 121 (40.3%) and the least was placental weight of 100-299 gram 1(0.3%).

The mean birth weight of the neonate increased with a corresponding increase in placental weight as shown in Fig 1. The mean birth weight increased to the maximum of 3.69kg when the placental weight was 900gram and subsequently decreased with increase in placental weight to 3.30kg when the placental weight was 1,200gram.

Table 4 showed the correlation between placental weight and foetal outcome. When the placental weight was compared with birth weight using the Pearson's correlation, there was significant positive correlation between placental weight and birth weight (r = 0.330; p value = 0.000). There was also significant positive correlation between placental weight and length of the neonate (r = 0.208; p-value = 0.000).

4. DISCUSSION

Studies pertaining to placental weight at term showed that placental weight varies from geographical location [3,4,10]. In this present study the mean placental weight was $652 \pm 152g$ and ranged from 250g to 1,200g. The mean placental weight is comparable to 657g and 643g reported in Western Nigeria and Europe

Table 1. The mean and range of the characteristics of the study group

Variables	Frequency (%)	Mean ± SD	Range
Placental Weight	300 (100)	652 ±152g	250-1200g
Gestational Age	300 (100)	38.94 ±1.326weeks	37-42weeks
Birth Weight	300 (100)	3.309 ±0.522kg	2.0-5.9kg
Maternal Age	300 (100)	29.60 ±4.845years	14-41 years
Parity	300 (100)	2.21 ±1.239	0-8
Length of the Newborn	300 (100)	49.79 ±2.66cm	34-59cm

Age (Years)	s) 11(3.7)	± sd	
Age (Years)	s) 11(3.7) 652 ±	400	
	11(3.7) 652 ±	400	
$14-19$ $11(3.7)$ 652 ± 108		108	
20-24 30(10.0) 643 ± 107 2.035 0.061	30(10.0) 643 ±	107 2.035	0.061
25-29 97(32.3) 663 ± 153	97(32.3) 663 ±	153	
30-34 114(38.0) 642 ± 144	114(38.0) 642 ±	144	
35 and above 48(16.0) 654 ± 198	ove 48(16.0) 654 ±	198	
Marital status	itus		
Married 293 653 ±154 0.174 0.677	293 653 ±	154 0.174	0.677
Single 7 629 ± 047	7 629 ±	047	
Parity			
1-2 198 648 ± 150 0.847 0.430	198 648 ±	150 0.847	0.430
3-4 86 652 ± 147	86 652 ±	147	
5 and above 16 700 ± 200	ve 16 700 ±	200	
Sex			
Male 161 663 ± 141 1.625 0.203	161 663 ±	141 1.625	0.203
Female 139 640 ± 164	139 640 ±	164	
Foetal weight	ght		
<2.5kg 9 600 ±141	9 600 ±	141	
2.5-<4.0kg 269 649 ±153 2.900 0.049) 269 649 ±	153 2.900	0.049
4.0kg and above 22 714 ± 128	above 22 714 ±	128	
5-Min APGAR score	GAR score		
<7 19 708±98 0.859 0.421	19 708±	98 0.859	0.421
7-10 281 715 ± 112	281 715 ±	112	

Table 2.	The socio-demographic	characteristics in	relation to r	nean placental '	weight in the
		study populat	tion		

SD—standard deviation



Fig. 1. The relationship between the weights of placenta and the mean birth weights of the neonates

Placental weight (g)	Frequency	Percent	Cumulative Percent
100-299	1	0.3	0.3
300-499	24	8.0	8.3
500-699	121	40.3	48.7
700-899	129	43.0	91.7
900-1000	22	7.3	99.0
>1000	3	1.0	100.0
Total	300	100.0	

Table 3. The frec	uency di	stribution of	f placenta	I weights
-------------------	----------	---------------	------------	-----------

Table 4. Correlation	between	placental	weight and	l foetal	outcome
----------------------	---------	-----------	------------	----------	---------

Foetal Outcome	Placental Weight	P- Value
	Correlation (r)	
Gestational Age	0.116	0.045*
Birth Weight	0.330	0.000*
5 th Minutes Apgar Score	0.030	0.606
Length of the Neonate	0.208	0.000*
Foetal sex	0.103	0.098

*Correlation is significant at less than 0.05 level (2-tailed)

respectively [3,6]. However, it is higher than 580g, 587 and 470 g reported in Nigeria, Asia and Ukraine respectively [11-13]. The variations observed in the mean placental weight may be due to variation in control mechanisms for the growth of placenta such as ethnicity, genetic factors, nutrition, variations in placental weighing and the cord clamping time [1,13]. This showed that human neonates exhibit wider variations in terms of the weight of their placenta and results of these studies supported this finding.

The mean birth weight observed in this study was 3.309 ±0.522kg and ranged between 2.0kg to 5.9kg. This finding is similar to study in Northern Nigeria [10]. The mean birth weight of the neonate in this study is lower than 3.42kg and 3.40kg reported in Ukraine and Nigeria respectively; but higher than 3.275kg and 3.103kg in Northern Nigeria and Caribbean region respectively [1,12-14]. These observed differences in mean birth weights of neonates may be due to altitude; race, maternal nutrition, and maternal medical condition [3,10].

The age range of the participants in this study was 14-41 years with a mean of 29.60 ± 4.85 years and was similar to subjects in previous studies [10,15]. The mean gestational age in the study (38.94 ±1.33 weeks) is similar to a study in Northern Nigeria and Italy [1,16], but lower than 39.9 weeks reported in western Europe [17]. There was an increase in placental weight with increase in parity of the participants in the study. This finding is in agreement with a study in

Nigeria which showed that placentae and babies from multiparous women at term were heavier than those from primiparous women [1].

In this present study the placental weight increased with increase in birth weight and was positively correlated with birth weight. This means that foetuses with large placenta were likely to have normal birth weight or to be macrosomic. The positive correlation between the placental weight and newborn weight noted in the study were also, observed by previous authors [3,18]. Staribratova et al reported a significant positive correlation between placental weight and foetal weight [19]. A study in Asia between placental weight and obstetric outcome also. found statistically significant а relationship between placental weight and birth weight [20].

Concerning the relationship between placental weight and length of the neonate, there was a significant positive correlation. This is comparable to the study by Yu-Fang Lo et al in Taiwan which noted a positive correlation between body length of neonate and placental weight and suggested that growth of the placenta and body length may be under similar control mechanisms some of which are likely to be genetic in origin [20].

The weight of the placenta which correlated positively with the weight of the baby and length of baby in this study showed that functional placenta is necessary for optimal growth and development of the foetus. This strong relationship between the placenta and the foetus suggests that the well being of the foetus is highly dependent on the placenta since it serves as a link between the mother and the developing foetus for nutritional support, excretory functions as well as immunological and hormonal support. Large placental size provides a large surface area for the exchange of substances from the mother to the foetus resulting in high foetal weight. It then implies that, factors which directly affect the weight of the placenta will indirectly affect the weight and length of the foetus. Such factors may possibly include nutrition, maternal altitude, hypertension, anaemia, maternal diabetes mellitus and other chronic medical illness [21,22].

This study also observed that foetal weight declined with the placental weight above 900g. The reason for the finding may be that the function of the placenta is impaired with verv large placenta. Past studies indicated that high placenta weight was associated with a poor perinatal outcome, a low Apgar score, respiratory distress syndrome and perinatal death [1,23]. Also, disproportionately large placenta could reflect an acute placental injury resulting in villous oedema or a chronic process requiring placental overgrowth, such as maternal anaemia, impaired glucose tolerance and malnutrition [1,24]. Infants with such abnormal ratios are at increased risk of perinatal death and intrauterine growth restriction [25,26].

5. CONCLUSION AND RECOM-MENDATION

The present study showed that the placental weight is variable; however, most cases had normal placenta. There was a significant positive correlation between the placental weight, birth weight and length of the neonate. This implies that normal healthy placenta is necessary for optimal foetal growth and development. All efforts should be made during early antenatal care to identify factors which may adversely affect placental growth and development for early treatment to optimise placental weight. As part of routine postnatal examination, there should be appropriate examination and documentation of placental weights and abnormalities as this will provide more information on foetal well being and outcome. Since placental size neonatal correlated positively with foetal weight and foetal exploration length, future of antenatal

measurement of placental size for assessment of foetal growth and well-being should be explored.

6. LIMITATIONS OF THE STUDY

The risk factors for large and small placental weight were not studied. Only gross examinations of the placentas were carried out and were not sent to histology for identification of placental abnormalities. Further studies in future in our area are needed to examine the risk factors for large or small placental weights and the extent to which placental weight affects the growth, development and health of newborns.

CONSENT AND ETHICAL APPROVAL

Ethical approval was obtained from the ethics and research committee of the Hospital before commencement of the study. Informed consent was obtained from clients after being recruited for the study and counselling.

COMPETING INTERESTS

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

REFERENCES

- Panti AA, Ekele BA, Nwobodo EI, Yakubu A. The relationship between the weight of the placenta and birth weight of the neonate in a Nigerian Hospital. Niger Med J. 2012;53:80-4
- Agboola A. The placenta, umbilical cord and membranes. In: Textbookof Obstetrics and Gynecology for Medical Students. 2nd ed. Ibadan,Nigeria: Heinemann Educational Books. 2006;265-73.
- Nwogu CM, Adetuyi IE, Okunade KS, Osanyin GE, Oluwole AA. Placental weight and perinatal outcome among parturients at a university teaching hospital in Lagos, Nigeria. Trop J Obstet Gynaecol. 2018;35:322-6.
- Asgharnia M, Esmailpour N, Poorghorban M, Atrkar- Roshan Z.Placental weight and its association with maternal and neonatal characteristics. Acta Med Iran. 2008;46:467-72.
- 5. Agboola A. pregnancy induced hypertension pre-eclampsia and chronic hypertension. In: Textbookof Obstetrics and Gynecology for Medical Students. 2nd

ed. Ibadan,Nigeria: Heinemann Educational Books. 2006;348-355.

- Barker DJ, Bull AR, Osmond C, Simmonds SJ. Foetal and placental size and risk of hypertension in adult life. BMJ. 1990;301:259–62.
- Robertson CM, Svenson LW, Kyle JM. Birth weight by gestational age for Alberta liveborn infants, 1985 through 1998. J Obstet Gynaecol Can. 2002;24:138– 48.
- Roland MC, Friis CM, Voldner N, Godang K, Bollerslev J, Haugen G, et al. Foetal growth versus birthweight: The role of placenta versus otherdeterminants. PLoS One 2012;7:e39324.
- Leary SD, Godfrey KM, Greenaway LJ, Davill VA, Fall CH. Contribution of the umbilical cord and membranes to untrimmed placental weight. Placenta. 2003;24:276–8.
- 10. Onankpa BO, Airede KI, Ahmed H, Jiya NM. The birth weight of apparently healthy Nigerian newborn in Sokoto. Sahel Med J. 2006;1:19–22.
- Adesina KT, Ogunlaja OO, Aboyeji AP, Akande HJ, Adeniran AS, Olarinoye A, et al. Relationship between gross placental characteristics and perinatal outcome of low-risk singleton deliveries. Niger Postgrad Med J. 2016;23:191-5.
- 12. Patimah S, Yasmin Syauqi Y, Thaha AR. The Correlation between Placental Weight and Birth Weight. International Proceedings of Chemical, Biological and Environmental Engineering, 2015;86:58-64
- 13. Little RE, Zadorozhnaja TD, Hulchiy OP, Mendel NA, Shkyryak-Nyzhnyk ZA, Chyslovska N, et al. Placental weight and its ratio to birthweight in a Ukrainian city. Early Hum Dev. 2003;71:117–27.
- 14. Lurie S, Feinstein M, Mamet Y. Human foetal-placental weight ratio in normal singleton near-term pregnancies. Gynecol Obstet Invest. 1999;48:155–7.
- 15. Adebami OJ, Owa JA, Oyedeji GA. Factor associated with placenta weight and placental weight/birtweight percent (placental ratio) among mothers in Ilesa, Southwesttern Nigeria. Int J Trop Med. 2007;2(2):68–73.
- 16. Burkhardt T, Schaffer L, Schneider C, Zimmermann R, Kurmanavicius J.

Reference values for the weight of freshly delivered term placentas and for placental weight-birth weight ratios. Euro J Obstet Gynecol Reprod Biol. 2006;128:248–52.

- Janthanaphan M, Kor-Anantakul O, Geater A. Placental weight and its ratio to birth weight in normal pregnancy at Songkhlanagarind Hospital. J Med Assoc Thai. 2006;89:130–7.
- Madkar C, Musale J, Deshpande H, Shitole R. A study of placental weight and birth weight ratio (Pw/Bw) and its effects on perinatal outcome.Indian J Obstet Gynaecol. 2015;2:1-6.
- 19. Staribratova D, Milchev N. Placental parameters and foetal outcome. Akush Ginekol (Sofiia) 2009;48:37-40.
- 20. Lo YF, Jeng MJ, Lee YS, Soong WJ, Hwang B. Placental weight and birth characteristics of healthy singleton newborns. Acta Paediatr Taiwan. 2002;43:21-5.
- 21. Onche EP, Zaiyol ST, Ochigbo OA, Terkimbi UB, Avershima OA, Kuma HHS. Placenta-birth weight ratio at tem at Benue State University Teaching Hospital, Makurdi, North Central Nigeria. Res Rep Gynaecol Obstet. 2017;1(3):16-18.
- 22. Hadas GH, Dekalo A, Jubran L, Schreiber L, Bar J, Kovo M. Obstetric outcomes and placenta findings in gestational diabetes patients according to maternal pregnancy weight and weight gain. The J. Maternal-Fetal and Neonatal Medicine. 2018; 32(10):1682-1687.
- 23. Lao TT, Tam KF. Placental ratio and anaemia in third-trimester pregnancy. J Reprod Med. 2000;45:923– 8.
- 24. Wallace JM, Horgan GW, Bhattacharya S. Placental weight and efficiency in relation to maternal body mass index and the risk of pregnancy complications in women delivering singleton babies. Placenta. 2012;33:611-8.
- 25. Allagoa DO, Kotingo EL. Relationship between placenta weight and birth weight at the Federal Medical Centre, Yenagoa. International Research Journal of Medicine and Biomedical Sciences. 2019;4(3):28-32.

26. Tiruneh ST. Correlation between gross morphology of the human placenta and birth weight in normotensive and preeclamptic pregnancies in Northwest Ethiopia. Anatomy. 2018;12(1):27– 32.

© 2021 Emechebe et al.; This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

> Peer-review history: The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here: http://www.sdiarticle4.com/review-history/70273