



A Biological Trial on the Effect of Vitamin E and C Combination Therapy on Chronic Paraquat Toxicity

**Okolonkwo, Benjamin Nnamdi ^{a*}, Jonathan, Nyebuchi ^b,
Adjekuko, Ohwonigho Collins ^c and Zebedee, Loveday Udu ^d**

^a Department of Medical Laboratory Science, PAMO University of Medical Sciences, Port Harcourt, Rivers State, Nigeria.

^b Department of Haematology and Blood Transfusion, College of Medical Sciences, Rivers State University, Port Harcourt, Nigeria.

^c Department of Biological Sciences, University of Delta, Agbor, Delta State, Nigeria.

^d Department of Haematology and Blood Transfusion, Medical Laboratory Sciences, Federal Medical Centre, Yenagoa, Bayelsa State, Nigeria.

Authors' contributions

This work was carried out in collaboration among all authors. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Article Information

DOI: 10.9734/ARRB/2022/v37i530510

Open Peer Review History:

This journal follows the Advanced Open Peer Review policy. Identity of the Reviewers, Editor(s) and additional Reviewers, peer review comments, different versions of the manuscript, comments of the editors, etc are available here: <https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/86822>

Received 04 March 2022

Accepted 08 May 2022

Published 23 May 2022

Original Research Article

ABSTRACT

Paraquat (1,1-dimethyl-4,4-bipyridinium dichloride (PQ) is a non-selective contact herbicide that is a major source of free radicals and generates superoxide anion, and reactive oxygen species (ROS) which causes severe oxidative damage. Vitamin C is a water-soluble vitamin that plays a role using its biological characteristic as a suitable antioxidant in cells' defense against oxygen deprivation and increasing tissue protection from oxidative stress. Vitamin E is a free-radical scavenger renowned for its anti-inflammatory and antioxidant properties. The purpose of the study was to determine the short-term therapeutic effect of a vitamin E and C combination treatment on paraquat-induced male albino rats. For the study, 200 male albino rats were used. The rats were divided into four major categories namely A, B, C, and D with 50 rats each. The "A" group received no paraquat, but the "B," "C," and "D" groups received 0.02g, 0.04g, and 0.06g of paraquat respectively every two weeks for three months. These groups were subsequently subgrouped into two with 25 rats per subgroup. The "A" group was divided into two "A₀" and "A_{VE}"; "B" was subgrouped into "B₀" and "B_{VEC}"; "C" was subgrouped into "C₀" and "C_{VEC}" and "D" was subgrouped into "D₀" and "D_{VEC}". A₀, B₀, C₀ and D₀

*Corresponding author: E-mail: bokolonkwo@pums.edu.ng

were subgroups without Vit E and C combined treatment while A_{VEC}, B_{VEC}, C_{VEC} and D_{VEC} were subgroups with combined treatment with 500mg of vitamin E and 2000mg/l of C medicated water every week for two months. Blood was drawn for the analysis of hematological parameters (Hemoglobin concentration [Hb], Packed Cell volume [PCV], Total White blood cell count [T-WBC], Neutrophils and Lymphocytes). There was a significant variation in the hematological parameters among the "A₀", "B₀", "C₀", and "D₀" at p-value<0.05. There was also significant variation in the hematological parameters between the "A_{VEC}", "B_{VEC}", "C_{VEC}", and "D_{VEC}", p-value < 0.05. Consequently, it was also observed that there were significant variations in intra-group comparisons of Hb and PCV, p-value<0.05, whereas other markers were not statistically different. This study found that combining vitamin E and C has a therapeutic effect on 'blood anemia indicators' but not the immunity of male albino rats after one month of weekly treatment. As a result, a weekly treatment with Vit E and C combination therapy can alleviate PQ toxicity by ameliorating anemia but not affecting the immune system of male albino rats.

Keywords: Paraquat; vitamin E; vitamin C; combined therapy; rat; antioxidant; hematological parameters.

1. INTRODUCTION

There is currently a clear link between environmental toxicants and the genesis of certain diseases, raising serious concerns about human health [1,2]. Paraquat (1,1-dimethyl-4,4-bipyridinium dichloride (PQ) is a non-selective contact herbicide widely employed in agricultural cultivation and conservation tillage systems around the world [3]. PQ is toxic to mammals, according to accumulating evidence [4,5], with lung toxicity being a common form of acute intoxication. Hepatotoxicity [6,7], nephrotoxicity, neurotoxicity [8], immunotoxicity [9], and reproductive toxicity [3] have all been reported as side effects of PQ.

Many nations have outlawed the use of PQ as herbicide due to its severe toxicity and the functional failure of multiple organs. Despite this, PQ is frequently used in underdeveloped nations due to low manufacturing costs and ease of application, resulting in a high intoxication rate even today [7,10,11,12,13]. PQ poisoning is thought to be responsible for up to a third of all suicides globally [14]. PQ is a major source of free radicals and is converted to PQ mono-cation free radical by nicotinamide adenine dinucleotide phosphate (NADPH)-cytochrome P-450 reductase once it enters the cells. The electron delivered to PQ quickly travels to oxygen, generating superoxide anion, and reactive oxygen species (ROS) causing severe oxidative damage [15].

Ascorbic acid (vitamin C) is a water-soluble vitamin. It's one of the biological characteristics that plays a role in a cell's defense against

oxygen deprivation. Due to its easy, efficient, and safe dietary administration in a wide range of dosages without adverse side effects, it is a suitable antioxidant for increasing tissue protection from oxidative stress in humans.

Vitamin E is a free-radical scavenger found in the lipid compartment of cells and serum. It is renowned for its anti-inflammatory and antioxidant properties [16]. Vitamin E supplementation has been shown to reduce lipid peroxidation, inhibit protein kinase C, 5-lipoxygenase, smooth muscle cell proliferation, platelet aggregation, and neutrophil oxygen burst [17,18]. Vitamin E pretreatment has been shown to have a neuroprotective impact [19] and has been found to prevent various changes in blood enzymes as well as safeguard increases in hematocrit, leukocyte count, and hemoglobin level, mean osmotic fragility of erythrocytes [20]. To protect and restore normal cellular activities and metabolism, endogenous enzymatic and non-enzymatic antioxidants are required for the conversion of ROS to innocuous metabolites [21]. Antioxidant compounds have previously been shown to protect cells from the damaging effects of a variety of environmental pollutants [22,23].

There is no recognized chelating drug or antidote for paraquat poisoning, according to [24]. However, because PQ's method of action involves the formation of free radicals, which causes oxidative stress, this study was conducted to determine if antioxidants, vitamins E, and C, in combination, may reduce PQ toxicity on haematological parameters in paraquat induced male albino rats.

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

2.1 Study Design

The chronic study was an experimental design involving 200 male albino rats with a mean weight of 0.20 ± 0.02 kg. The 200 rats were placed into four groups, each with 50 rats. A, B, C, and D were the four groups. The "A" group was not given paraquat; the "B" group was given 0.02g of paraquat per kg of rat every two weeks for three months; the "C" group was given 0.04g of paraquat per kg of rat every two weeks for three months; and the "D" group was given 0.06g per kg of paraquat every two weeks for three months. Subgroups were formed from each of the major groups. "A₀" and "A_{VEC}" subgroups from the "A" group; "B" and "B_{VEC}" subgroups from the "B" group; "C" and "C_{VEC}" subgroups from the "C" group; and "D" subgroups from the "D" group. The "A₀," "B₀," "C₀," and "D₀" subgroups were not given vitamin E or C, whereas the "A_{VEC}," "B_{VEC}," "C_{VEC}," and "D_{VEC}" subgroups were given 500mg of vitamin E and 2000mg/l of vitamin C medicated water orally every week. The combined treatment regimen began three months following the paraquat induction. The rats were euthanized after the study duration and their blood samples were collected and analyzed.

2.2 Animal Source

Animal House, Department of Biology, Rivers State University of Science and Technology provided 200 rats with an average weight of 0.20 ± 0.02 kg each. Before beginning the trial, the rats were brought to the research location and given two weeks to acclimate. The research took place at Rivers State University of Science and Technology's Department of Medical Laboratory Science.

2.3 Sample Collection Method

Using a 2ml syringe, blood sample was collected via cardiac puncture into EDTA bottles for the analysis of the hematological parameters. The blood was mixed properly by a repeated gentle inversion movement. The well mixed blood was analyzed for Hemoglobin concentration, PCV, T-WBC, Neutrophils and Lymphocytes. By means of 70% chloroform anesthesia, the animals were sacrificed. The carcasses remaining were incinerated to avoid environmental pollution.

2.4 Laboratory Analysis

2.4.1 Haemoglobin (Hb.) Cyanmethaemoglobin method [25]

Principle: Iron (II) of the haem in haemoglobin is oxidized to the ferric state by ferricyanide to form methaemoglobin which then is reduced to cyanmethaemoglobin by ionised cyanide. This is red in colour and is measured spectrophotometrically at 540nm.

Procedure: 2µl of blood was washed into 5ml of Drabkins solution in a test tube. The test tube was covered with a rubber bung, inverted several times and allowed to stand at room temperature for 10min. to ensure complete conversion to cyanmethaemoglobin. The absorbance was read at 540nm wavelength against a blank (5ml of Drabkins reagent only). The absorbance of known standard was read alongside those of the test samples. The result is calculated thus:

$$\frac{\text{Absorbance of Test X Standard concentration } \left(\frac{\text{mg}}{\text{dl}}\right)}{\text{Absorbance of Standard}} = \text{The Hb concentration of test (mg/dl)}$$

2.4.2 Packed cell volume (PCV) method [25]

The packed cell volume (PCV) or the haematocrit is a measure of the relative volume of red cells present in a sample of whole blood in percentage.

Well-mixed, anticoagulated, blood was aspirated by capillary action into a microhaematocrit tube, leaving about 15mm unfilled. One end of the tube was sealed with plasticine. The tube was centrifuged at approximately 12,000g (centrifugal force) for 10 minutes using the microhaematocrit centrifuge.

The PCV was subsequently determined by measuring the height of the red cell column and expressing it as a percentage—ratio of the height of the total blood column using a microhaematocrit reader.

2.4.3 Total white blood cell (T-WBC) counts [25]

Quantitative and qualitative alteration in the circulating leucocytes characterizes diverse disease state and is often diagnostically significant. This could also assist us in

determining the immune response to the foreign body (paraquat)

Procedure: One in twenty (1:20) dilution of the blood was made using 2% Glacial Acetic Acid tinged with few drops of Gentian violet. The diluted sample was mixed and allowed to stand for 15 minutes for complete destruction of the red cells. A known quantity of the diluted sample was aspirated into the charged chamber (Improved Neubaur Counting Chamber), and the white cells present in the four outer large squares of 1mm² areas were counted.

Calculation:

Number counted X 50 (mf) = T-WBC counted per ml of blood (mf = multiplication factor).

2.4.4 White blood cells differential count [25]

Each sample collected in the EDTA bottle was well mixed and thin film was made from a drop

from the blood using a spreader on a slide. The slide was allowed to air-dry and stained with Leishman stain for microscopic differentiation of neutrophils and lymphocytes. Neutrophil and lymphocytes counts are expressed in percentage.

2.5 Statistical Analysis

The data generated from this study was analyzed using SPSS version 23.0 for descriptive and inferential statistics (ANOVA) for inter-group comparison and T-test for intra-group (sub-group) comparison at test significance, P-value<0.05.

3. RESULTS

Table 1 shows the comparative effects of vitamin E and C combination therapy on the Chronic Toxicity of Paraquat in Albino Rats (*Rattus norvegicus*)

Table 1. Changes in the Haematological data after two months treatment period

Sub-group	Hb(g/dL)	PCV (%)	T-WBC	Neutrophil	Lymphocytes
A ₀	21.40 ± 1.18	64.25 ± 3.30	19.25 ± 1.23	37.8 ± 6.4	62.3 ± 4.4
A _{VEC}	22.43 ± 1.00	66.75 ± 2.75	18.40 ± 2.16	36.5 ± 3.6	63.5 ± 4.3
B ₀	11.33 ± 0.77 ^a	36.00 ± 2.58 ^a	13.68 ± 1.11 ^a	43.0 ± 5.1	57.0 ± 5.1
B _{VEC}	9.13 ± 1.05 ^{a,b}	30.75 ± 3.09 ^{a,b}	10.93 ± 0.60 ^a	40.0 ± 4.2	60.0 ± 4.2
C ₀	12.10 ± 1.48 ^a	38.75 ± 3.90 ^a	13.53 ± 3.62 ^a	48.5 ± 3.8	49.0 ± 3.3
C _{VEC}	10.18 ± 2.35 ^{a,b}	33.75 ± 6.68 ^{a,b}	13.23 ± 2.46 ^a	36.0 ± 3.7	64.0 ± 3.7
D ₀	12.28 ± 1.16 ^a	39.50 ± 3.01 ^a	8.68 ± 1.39 ^a	43.8 ± 3.3	56.3 ± 3.3
D _{VEC}	13.43 ± 1.74 ^{a,b}	42.25 ± 4.63 ^{a,b}	9.65 ± 0.91 ^a	40.8 ± 2.7	59.25 ± 2.69

Statistical significance: P ≤ 0.05

- Index (a) = represents a statistically significant difference among inter-groups such as (A₀, B₀, C₀ and D₀) and (A_{VEC}, B_{VEC}, C_{VEC} and D_{VEC}) .
- Index (b) = represents a statistically significant difference observed within each group (i.e. Group B: B₀ Vs B_{VEC}).

- A₀ – Not induced with paraquat and no treatment with Vitamin E and C given
- A_{VEC} – Not induced with paraquat with Vitamin E and C treatment given
- B₀ - Induced with 0.02gc of paraquat and no Vitamin E and C treatment given
- B_{VEC} – Induced with 0.02gc of paraquat and Vitamin E and C treatment given
- C₀ - Induced with 0.04gc of paraquat with no Vitamin E and C treatment given
- C_{VEC} - Induced with 0.04gc of paraquat with Vitamin E and C treatment given
- D₀ – Induced with 0.06gc of paraquat with no Vitamin E and C treatment given
- D_{VEC} – Induced with 0.06gc of paraquat with Vitamin E and C treatment given

Thus, from the analysis,

Table 2. Hb (g/dl) – Extrapolated table illustrating simplified statistical interpretation (Vit E & C therapy) at P ≤ 0.05

Groups	Decision
A ₀	21.40 ± 1.18
B ₀	11.33 ± 0.77 ^a - Significant difference
C ₀	12.10 ± 1.48 ^a - Significant difference
D ₀	12.28 ± 1.16 ^a –Significant difference
A _{VEC}	22.43 ± 1.00
B _{VEC}	9.13 ± 1.05 ^a –Significant difference
C _{VEC}	10.18 ± 2.35 ^a –Significant difference
D _{VEC}	13.43 ± 1.74 ^a –Significant difference
B ₀	11.33 ± 0.77 ^a
Vs	} Significant difference
B _{VEC}	
C ₀	12.10 ± 1.48 ^a
Vs	} Significant difference
C _{VEC}	
D ₀	12.28 ± 1.16 ^a
Vs	} Significant difference
D _{VEC}	

Statistical significance: P ≤ 0.05

- Index (a) = represents a statistically significant difference between the test subgroups and the control subgroups at each treatment month.
- Index (b) = represents a statistically significant difference observed within each group (i.e. Group B: B₀ Vs B_{VEC}) at each month.

Table 3. PCV (%) - Extrapolated table illustrating simplified statistical interpretation (Vit E & C therapy) at P ≤ 0.05

Groups	Decision
A ₀	64.25 ± 3.30
B ₀	36.00 ± 2.58 ^a - Significant difference
C ₀	38.75 ± 3.90 ^a -Significant difference
D ₀	39.50 ± 3.01 ^a –Significant difference
A _{VEC}	66.75 ± 2.75
B _{VEC}	30.75 ± 3.09 ^a –Significant difference
C _{VEC}	33.75 ± 6.68 ^a –Significant difference
D _{VEC}	42.25 ± 4.63 ^a –Significant difference
B ₀	36.00 ± 2.58 ^a
Vs	} Significant difference
B _{VEC}	
C ₀	38.75 ± 3.90 ^a
Vs	} Significant difference
C _{VEC}	
D ₀	39.50 ± 3.01 ^a
Vs	} Significant difference
D _{VEC}	

Statistical significance: P ≤ 0.05

- Index (a) = represents a statistically significant difference between the test subgroups and the control subgroups at each treatment month.
- Index (b) = represents a statistically significant difference observed within each group (i.e. Group B: B₀ Vs B_{VEC}) at each month.

Table 4. T-WBC- Extrapolated table illustrating simplified statistical interpretation (Vit E & C therapy) at P ≤ 0.05

Groups	Decision
A ₀	19.25 ± 1.23
B ₀	13.68 ± 1.11 ^a - Significant difference
C ₀	13.53 ± 3.62 ^a - Significant difference
D ₀	8.68 ± 1.39 ^a -Significant difference
A _{VEC}	18.40 ± 2.16
B _{VEC}	10.93 ± 0.60 ^a – Significant difference
C _{VEC}	13.23 ± 2.46 ^a –Significant difference
D _{VEC}	9.65 ± 0.91 ^a –Significant difference
B ₀	13.68 ± 1.11
Vs	} No Significant difference
B _{VEC}	
C ₀	13.53 ± 3.62
Vs	} No Significant difference
C _{VEC}	
D ₀	8.68 ± 1.39
Vs	} No Significant difference
D _{VEC}	

Statistical significance: P ≤ 0.05

- Index (a) = represents a statistically significant difference between the test subgroups and the control subgroups at each treatment month.
- Index (b) = represents a statistically significant difference observed within each group (i.e. Group B: B₀ Vs B_{VEC}) at each month.

Table 5. Neutrophils – Extrapolated table illustrating simplified statistical interpretation (Vit E & C therapy) at P ≤ 0.05

Groups	Decision
A ₀	37.8 ± 6.4
B ₀	43.0 ± 5.1
C ₀	48.5 ± 3.8
D ₀	43.8 ± 3.3
A _{VEC}	36.5 ± 3.6
B _{VEC}	40.0 ± 4.2
C _{VEC}	36.0 ± 3.7
D _{VEC}	40.8 ± 2.7
B ₀	43.0 ± 5.1
Vs	} No Significant difference
B _{VEC}	
C ₀	48.5 ± 3.8
Vs	} No Significant difference
C _{VEC}	
D ₀	43.8 ± 3.3
Vs	} No Significant difference
D _{VEC}	

Table 6. Lymphocytes-extrapolated table illustrating simplified statistical interpretation (Vit E & C therapy) at P ≤0.05

Groups	Decision
A ₀	62.3 ± 4.4
B ₀	57.0 ± 5.1
C ₀	49.0 ± 3.3
D ₀	56.3 ± 3.3
A _{VEC}	63.5 ± 4.3
B _{VEC}	60.0 ± 4.2
C _{VEC}	64.0 ± 3.7
D _{VEC}	59.25 ± 2.69
B ₀	57.0 ± 5.1
Vs	
B _{VEC}	60.0 ± 4.2
C ₀	49.0 ± 3.3
Vs	
C _{VEC}	64.0 ± 3.7
D ₀	56.3 ± 3.3
Vs	
D _{VEC}	59.25 ± 2.69

No Significant difference

No Significant difference

No Significant difference

No Significant difference

No Significant difference

4. DISCUSSION

A statistically significant drop in Hb, PCV and WBC levels were seen in this study when groups that received a paraquat induction without any treatment (B₀, C₀, and D₀) were compared to control rats (A₀). This conclusion is in line with [24,26,27], which demonstrates paraquat's toxicity and, as a result, the risk of anemia induction. PQ-induced GSH depletion on the red blood surface, exposing the cell to oxidative lysis, could explain the lower amounts of PCV and Hb in the PQ alone group [28].

When those induced with paraquat and treated with vitamins (B_{VEC}, C_{VEC}, D_{VEC}) were compared to those not induced but treated with vitamins (A_{VEC}), the same was discovered. There was a significant difference in Hb, PCV and WBC levels among the subgroups. This trend was observed to be consistent with those induced with paraquat along. An intra-group comparison was studied (B₀ vs. B_{VEC}; C₀ vs. C_{VEC} & D₀ vs. D_{VEC}). The result showed a significant increase in PCV and Hb level in rats treated with Vit E and C combination. This implies that Vit E and C combined therapy was able to restore haematological function of anaemic indices after chronic exposure to paraquat. This work corresponded to the findings reported in another study [24].

Surprisingly, the total leucocyte count of paraquat treatment group was much lower than the control group. This is in direct opposition to

[24]. The total leukocyte count in different treatment groups (intra-group) showed no significant differences when compared to the control group. This corresponds to [26]. Paraquat poisoning lowered RBC, hemoglobin, PCV, TLC levels in rats, according to [29,30].

Furthermore, across all doses and groups, no statistically significant variations in neutrophil and lymphocyte levels were observed. This is in contrast to the findings of [31], who discovered that leukocytes and neutrophil numbers increased while lymphocyte counts fell during the acute inflammatory response caused by oxidative stress. The effects of vitamin E and C combined therapy on neutrophils and lymphocytes have not been well studied. However, in this study, it could be observed that the vitamin combination therapy had no significant effect on the immune state of the rats but ameliorated reductions in red blood cells. It is worth noting that decreased availability of vitamin C during oxidative stress as a result of its shunting for vitamin E recycling in converting peroxytocopherol to tocopherol may result in a combined therapy regimen with a low ameliorative effect of both vitamins [32].

5. CONCLUSION

Finally, it is a well-known fact that biocide herbicides have always had hazardous effects on animals. PQ, as a biocide herbicide, has the most potent and notable toxic effect. Because its pathogenic pathway and therapeutic target are

similar to those of other herbicides like diquat, understanding its toxicity and therapy can help with the treatment of disorders caused by other biocidal herbicides.

ETHICAL APPROVAL

As per international standard or university standard written ethical approval has been collected and preserved by the author(s).

COMPETING INTERESTS

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

REFERENCES

1. Onwuli D, Ajuru G, Holy B, Fyनेface CA. The concentration of lead in periwinkle (*Tympanotonos fuscatus*) and river sediment in Eagle Island River, Port Harcourt, Rivers state, Nigeria. *American Journal of Environmental Protection*. 2014; 2(2):37-40.
2. Fyनेface CA, Emeji R, Osere H, Nwisah L. Concentrations of Nickel in Sediment and Periwinkle of Eagle Island River, Port Harcourt. *Asian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Research*. 2018;1(4):1-5.
3. Li H, Hong T, Zhu Q, Wang S, Huang T, Li X, Lian Q, Ge R-S. Paraquat exposure delays late-stage Leydig cell differentiation in rats during puberty. *Environ. Pollut*. 2019;255:113316. Available: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envpol.2019.113316>.
4. Dinis-Oliveira RJ, Sanchez-navarro A, Remiao F, Bastos ML, Carvalho F. Paraquat poisonings: mechanisms of lung toxicity, clinical features, and treatment. *Crit. Rev. Toxicol*. 2008;38(1):13-71. Available: <https://doi.org/10.1080/10408440701669959>
5. Li T, Yang Z, Xin S, Cao Y, Wang N. Paraquat poisoning induced pulmonary epithelial mesenchymal transition through Notch1 pathway. *Sci. Rep*. 2017;7(1):924. Available: <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-017-01069-9>
6. Han J, Zhang Z, Yang S, Wang J, Yang X, Tan D. Betanin attenuates paraquat-induced liver toxicity through a mitochondrial pathway. *Food Chem. Toxicol*. 2014;70:100-106. Available: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fct.2014.04.038>
7. Liu H, Wu U, Ch T, Mo U, Cai S, Chen M, Zhu G. High-dose acute exposure of paraquat induces injuries of swim bladder, gastrointestinal tract and liver via neutrophil-mediated ROS in zebrafish and their relevance for human health risk assessment. *Chemosphere*. 2018;205:662-673. Available: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2018.04.15>
8. Rappold PM, Cui M, Chesser AS, Tibbett J, Grima JC, Duan L, Sen N, Javitch JA, Tieu K. Paraquat neurotoxicity is mediated by the dopamine transporter and organic cation transporter-3. *Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci*. 2011;108(51):20766-20771. Available: <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1115141110>
9. Khilji S, Tahir M, Jafari FH. Paraquat induced toxicity in spleen of albino mice. *Annu. Pak. Inst. Med. Sci*. 2011;7(1): 6-9.
10. Konthonbut P, Kongtip P, Nankongnab N, Tipayamongkholgul M, Yoosook W, Woskie S. Paraquat exposure of pregnant women and neonates in agricultural areas in Thailand. *Int. J. Environ. Res. Pub. Health*. 2018;15(6):1163. Available: <https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph15061163>
11. Zhang Y, Yu B, Wang N, Li T (2018). Acute poisoning in Shenyang, China: a retrospective and descriptive study from 2012 to 2016. *BMJ open*, 8(8): e021881. Available: <https://doi.org/10.1136/bmjopen-2018-021881>
12. Khosya S, Gothwal S (2012). Two cases of paraquat poisoning from Kota, Rajasthan, INDIA. *Case Rep. Crit. Care*;2012. Available: <https://doi.org/10.1155/2012/652146>
13. Wesseling C, van Wendel de Joode B, Ruepert C, León C, Monge P, Hermosillo H, Partanen TJ. Paraquat in developing countries. *Int. J. Occup. Environ. Health*. 2001;7(4).
14. Sabzghabae A, Eizadi-Mood N, Montazeri K, Yaraghi A, Golabi M. Fatality in paraquat poisoning. *Singapore Med. J*. 2010;51(6): 496-500.
15. Suntres ZE. Role of antioxidants in paraquat toxicity. *Toxicology*. 2002;180(1):65-77. Available: [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0300-483X\(02\)00382-7](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0300-483X(02)00382-7)
16. Kline K, W. Yu, BG. Sanders. Vitamin E and breast cancer. *J. Nutr*. 2004;134: 3458-3462.

17. Brigelius R. Mixed Disulfides: Biological Functions and Increase in Oxidative Stress. In: Oxidative Stress, Sies, H. (Ed.). Academic Press, London. 1985;243-272.
18. Peralta EA, ML Viegas, S Louis, DL. Engle and G.L. Dunnington. Effect of vitamin E on tamoxifen-treated breast cancer cells. Surgery. 2006;140: 607-615.
19. Pace A, A Savarese, M Picardo, V Maresca and U Pacetti et al. Neuroprotective effect of vitamin E supplementation in patients treated with cisplatin chemotherapy. J. Clin. Oncol. 2003; 21: 927-931.
20. Nayma S, B Noorzahan, B Shelina, F Sultana and Taskinaali. Effects of Vitamin E supplementation on some aspects of hematological variables in patients of hemolytic anemia with Glucose 6 phosphate dehydrogenase (G6PD) deficiency. Bangladesh J. Physiol. Pharmacol. 2006;22:12-17.
21. Bebe FN, Panemangalore M. Exposure to low doses of endosulfan and chlorpyrifos modifies endogenous antioxidants in tissues of rats. J. Environ. Sci. Health Part B. 2003;38(3):349-363. Available:<https://doi.org/10.1081/PFC-120019901>
22. Mohamed WA, Abd-Elhakim YM and Farouk SM. Protective effects of ethanolic extract of rosemary against lead-induced hepato-renal damage in rabbits. Exp. Toxicol. Pathol. 2016;68(8): 451-461. Available:<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.etp.2016.07.003>
23. Sarangarajan R, Meera S, Rukkumani R, Sankar P, Anuradha G. Antioxidants: Friend or foe? Asian Pac. J. Trop. Med. 2017;10(12):1111-1116. Available:<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apjtm.2017.10.017>
24. Akinloye OA, I. Adamson, O Ademuyiwa and TA Arowolo. Supplementation of vitamins C, E and its combination on paraquat-intoxicated rats: Effects on some biochemical and markers of oxidative stress parameters. J. Applied Pharm. Sci. 2011;1: 85-91.
25. Baker FJ. and Silverton RE. Haemostasis and blood coagulation. In: FJ Baker, RE Silverton and CJ Pallister (Eds). Introduction to Medical Laboratory Technology. Sixty edition. Butterworth & Co. Sydney. 1985 ;312-330.
26. Lalruatfel PL, Saminatham M, Ingole RS, et al. Toxicopathology of paraquat Herbicide in female wistar rats. Asian J Anim Vet Adv. 2012;9:523-542.
27. Edo GI. Effects of paraquat dichloride on adult male wistar rat. an approach in the toxicity of body weights and hematological tissues. J Anal Pharmes. 2022;11(1):1-7. DOI: 10.15406/japlr.2022.11.00394
28. Sato Y, Kamo S, Takahashi T et al. Mechanism of free radical induced hemolysis of human erythrocytes: hemolysis by water soluble radical initiator. Biochemistry. 1995;34:8940 – 8949.
29. Toyoshima S, R. Sato, M. Kashima, M. Motoyama and A. Ishikawa. Chronic toxicity study result-104 week dosing study in rat. Report No. CTL/P/1870A, Nihon Jikken Dobutsu Igaku Kenyujo, Quality Assurance Undertaken, WHO, Syngenta; 1982.
30. Vuksa M, N. Neskovic S. Vitorovic and K. Vesela. Subacute toxicity of paraquat in rats-biochemical effects. Ecotoxicol. Environ. Safety. 2004;7:475-483.
31. Alonso de Vega JM, Diaz J, Serrano E, Carbonell LF. Oxidative stress in critically ill patients with systemic inflammatory response syndrome. Critical Care Medicine. 2002;30:1782-1786.
32. Sarita NC, Umesh M, Shruti M, Vishal S, Alka NS. Effect of supplementation of vitamin C and E on oxidative stress in osteoporosis. Ind. J. Clin. Biochem. 2007;22(2):101-105.

© 2022 Nnamdi et al.; This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Peer-review history:

The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here:
<https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/86822>