



Asymptotic Behavior of Solutions to Singular Quasilinear Dirichlet Problem with a Convection Term

Chunlian Liu¹ and Zuodong Yang^{2,3*}

¹College of Xinglin, Nantong University, Jiangsu Nantong 226008, China.

²School of Teacher Education, Nanjing Normal University, Jiangsu Nanjing 210097, China.

³Institute of Mathematics, School of Mathematics Science, Nanjing Normal University, Jiangsu Nanjing 210023, China.

Article Information

DOI: 10.9734/BJMCS/2015/12968

Editor(s):

(1) Carlo Bianca, Laboratoire de Physique Thorique de la Matire Condense, Sorbonne Universits, France.

Reviewers:

(1) Anonymous, China.

(2) Anonymous, Saudi Arabia.

Complete Peer review History:

<http://www.sciencedomain.org/review-history.php?iid=932&id=6&aid=8016>

Original Research Article

Received: 25 July 2014

Accepted: 28 August 2014

Published: 02 February 2015

Abstract

In this paper, we study the boundary behavior of solution to the singular Dirichlet problem

$$\begin{cases} -\operatorname{div}(|\nabla u|^{m-2}\nabla u) = b(x)g(u) + \lambda|\nabla u(x)|^{q(m-1)}, & x \in \Omega, \\ u > 0, & x \in \Omega, \\ u|_{\partial\Omega} = 0, \end{cases}$$

where Ω is a bounded domain with smooth boundary in R^N , $\lambda \in R$, $m > 1$, $0 < q \leq m/(m-1)$, $\lim_{s \rightarrow 0^+} g(s) = +\infty$, and $b \in C^\alpha(\overline{\Omega})$, which is non-negative on Ω and may be vanishing on the boundary, mainly, we investigate the exact asymptotic behavior of solution to the above problem.

Keywords: Dirichlet problem, quasilinear elliptic equation, asymptotic behavior, convection term.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: 35J25, 35B50, 35J40.

*Corresponding author: E-mail: zdyang_jin@263.net

1 Introduction

In this paper, we plan to investigate the exact asymptotic behavior of solution to the following problem

$$\begin{cases} -\operatorname{div}(|\nabla u|^{m-2}\nabla u) = b(x)g(u) + \lambda|\nabla u(x)|^{q(m-1)}, & x \in \Omega, \\ u > 0, & x \in \Omega, \\ u|_{\partial\Omega} = 0, \end{cases} \quad (1.1)$$

where Ω is a bounded domain with smooth boundary in $R^N(N \geq 1)$, $\lambda \in R, m > 1, 0 < q \leq m/(m - 1)$, g satisfies

(g_1) $g \in C^1((0, \infty), (0, \infty))$, $g'(s) < 0$ for all $s > 0$, $\lim_{s \rightarrow 0^+} g(s) = +\infty$;

and b satisfies

(b_1) $b \in C^\alpha(\bar{\Omega})$ for some $\alpha \in (0, 1)$, is non-negative in Ω and positive near the boundary $\partial\Omega$.

when $m = 2$, the problem (1.1) becomes

$$-\Delta u = b(x)g(u) + \lambda|\nabla u|^q, \quad u > 0, \quad x \in \Omega, \quad u|_{\partial\Omega} = 0, \quad (1.2)$$

Problem (1.2) arises in the study of non-Newtonian fluids, boundary layer phenomena for viscous fluids, chemical heterogeneous catalysts, as well as in the theory of heat condition in electrical materials(see [1-3]).

when $\lambda = 0$, problem (1.2) becomes

$$-\Delta u = b(x)g(u), \quad u > 0, \quad x \in \Omega, \quad u|_{\partial\Omega} = 0, \quad (1.3)$$

problem was discussed in a number works (see[3-5]).

When $u|_{\partial\Omega} = 0$ becomes $u|_{\partial\Omega} = +\infty$, problem (1.1) becomes boundary blow-up elliptic problems

$$\begin{cases} -\operatorname{div}(|\nabla u|^{m-2}\nabla u) = b(x)g(u) + \lambda|\nabla u(x)|^{q(m-1)}, & x \in \Omega, \\ u > 0, & x \in \Omega, \\ u|_{\partial\Omega} = +\infty, \end{cases} \quad (1.4)$$

When $m = 2$, the above problem becomes

$$-\Delta u = b(x)g(u) + \lambda|\nabla u(x)|^{q(m-1)}, \quad x \in \Omega, \quad u > 0, \quad x \in \Omega, \quad u|_{\partial\Omega} = +\infty, \quad (1.5)$$

many authors discussed the above problems[7-18].

In this paper, we consider the quasilinear elliptic problem (1.1). We modify the method developed by Zhang [6] and other authors' work, which showed the exact asymptotic behavior of solutions near the boundary to the quasilinear problem (1.1), extend and complement the results of [6] to a quasilinear elliptic problem (1.1).

Our main results are as follows:

Theorem 1.1. Let $\lambda \in R, 0 < q \leq 1, 1 < m \leq 2$ (or $q \geq 1, m \geq 2$), b satisfies (b_1) g satisfies (g_1) and $g \in NRVZ_{-\gamma}$ with $\gamma > m - 1$. Suppose that there exists a positive non-decreasing C^1 -function $k \in NRVZ_{\sigma/2}$ with $\sigma \in [0, \frac{\gamma}{m-1} - 1)$ and a positive constant b_0 such that

(b_2) $\lim_{d(x) \rightarrow 0} \frac{b(x)}{k^m(d(x))} = b_0$,

then the solution $u_\lambda \in C(\bar{\Omega}) \cap C^2(\Omega)$ to problem (1.1) satisfies

$$\lim_{d(x) \rightarrow 0} \frac{u_\lambda(x)}{\varphi_1(K(d(x)))} = \xi_0.$$

where $\xi_0^{-(\gamma+m-1)} = \frac{2(\gamma-(\sigma+1)(m-1))}{b_0(2+\sigma)(\gamma-m+1)}$ and $\varphi_1 \in C[0, a] \cap C^2(0, a)$ satisfies

$$\int_0^{\varphi_1(t)} \frac{ds}{\sqrt[m]{mG_2(s)}} = t, \quad t \in [0, a] \text{ for small } a > 0, \quad (1.12)$$

$$K(t) = \int_0^t k(s)ds, \quad t \in [0, a]; \quad G_2(t) = \int_t^b g(s)ds, \quad t \in (0, b], \quad b > 0. \quad (1.13)$$

Moreover, $\varphi_1 \in NRVZ_{2/(1+\gamma)}$ and there exists $y_2 \in C(0, a]$ with $\lim_{s \rightarrow 0^+} y_2(s) = 0$ such that $\varphi_1(t) = t^{2/(1+\gamma)} e^{\int_t^a \frac{y_2(s)}{s} ds}$, $t \in (0, a]$.

2 Preliminaries

In this section, we present some bases of the theory which come from Senta [19], Preliminaries in Resnick [20], Introductions and the appendix in Maric [21].

Definition 2.1. A positive measurable function f defined on $[a, +\infty)$, for some $a > 0$, is called **regularly varying at infinity** with index ρ , written as $f \in RV_\rho$, if for each $\xi > 0$ and some $\rho \in \mathbf{R}$,

$$\lim_{s \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f(\xi s)}{f(s)} = \xi^\rho. \quad (2.1)$$

In particular, when $\rho = 0$, f is called **slowly varying at infinity**.

Definition 2.2. A positive measurable function f defined on $[a, +\infty)$, for some $a > 0$, is called **rapidly varying at infinity** if for each $p > 1$

$$\lim_{s \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f(s)}{s^p} = \infty. \quad (2.2)$$

Clearly, if $f \in RV_\rho$, then $L(s) := f(s)/s^\rho$ is slowly varying at infinity.

Proposition 2.1 (Uniform convergence theorem). If $f \in RV_\rho$, then (2.1) holds uniformly for $\xi \in [c_1, c_2]$ with $0 < c_1 < c_2$. Moreover, if $\rho < 0$, then uniform convergence holds on intervals of the form (a_1, ∞) with $a_1 > 0$; if $\rho > 0$, then uniform convergence holds on intervals $(a_1, \infty]$ provided f is bounded on $(a_1, \infty]$ for all $a_1 > 0$.

Proposition 2.2 (Representation theorem). A function L is slowly varying at infinity if and only if it may be written in the form

$$L(s) = \varphi(s) \exp\left(\int_{a_1}^s \frac{y(\tau)}{\tau} d\tau\right), \quad s \geq a_1, \quad (2.3)$$

for some $a_1 > a$, where the functions φ and y are measurable and for $s \rightarrow \infty$, $y(s) \rightarrow 0$, and $\varphi(s) \rightarrow c_0$, with $c_0 > 0$.

We call that

$$\hat{L}(s) = c_0 \exp\left(\int_{a_1}^s \frac{y(\tau)}{\tau} d\tau\right), \quad s \geq a_1, \quad (2.4)$$

is normalized slowly varying at infinity and

$$f(s) = c_0 s^\rho \hat{L}(s), \quad s \geq a_1, \quad (2.5)$$

is normalized regularly varying at infinity with index ρ (and written as $f \in NRV_\rho$).

Similarly, g is called normalized regularly varying at zero with index ρ , written as $g \in NRVZ_\rho$ if $t \rightarrow g(1/t)$ belongs to NRV_ρ . A function $f \in RV_\rho$ belongs to NRV_ρ if and only if

$$f \in C^1[a_1, \infty), \quad \text{for some } a_1 > 0, \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{s \rightarrow \infty} \frac{sf'(s)}{f(s)} = \rho. \quad (2.6)$$

Proposition 2.3. If functions L, L_1 are slowly varying at infinity, then

- (i) L^σ for every $\sigma \in \mathbf{R}$, $c_1 L + c_2 L_1$ ($c_1 \geq 0, c_2 \geq 0$ with $c_1 + c_2 > 0$), $L \circ L_1$ (if $L_1(t) \rightarrow +\infty$ as $t \rightarrow +\infty$), are also slowly varying at infinity;
- (ii) for every $\theta > 0$ and $t \rightarrow +\infty$, $t^\theta L(t) \rightarrow +\infty$ and $t^{-\theta} L(t) \rightarrow 0$;
- (iii) for $\rho \in \mathbf{R}$ and $t \rightarrow +\infty$, $\frac{\ln(L(t))}{\ln t} \rightarrow 0$ and $\frac{\ln(t^\rho L(t))}{\ln t} \rightarrow \rho$.

Proposition 2.4. (Asymptotic behavior). If a function H is slowly varying at zero, then for $a > 0$ and $t \rightarrow 0^+$,

(i) $\int_a^t s^\beta H(s) ds \cong (\beta + 1)^{-1} t^{1+\beta} H(t)$, for $\beta > -1$;

(ii) $\int_t^\infty s^\beta H(s) ds \cong (-\beta - 1)^{-1} t^{1+\beta} H(t)$, for $\beta < -1$.

Corollary 2.1. If g satisfies (g_1) and $g \in NRVZ_{-\gamma}$ with $\gamma > 1$, then:

(i) $g(t) = t^{-\gamma} e^{\int_t^a \frac{y(s)}{s} ds}$, $0 < t < a$, $y \in C(0, a]$, $\lim_{s \rightarrow 0^+} y(s) = 0$;

(ii) $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} g(t) = +\infty = \lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} G_2(t)$; $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{G_2(t)}{g(t)} = 0 = \lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{\sqrt[m]{G_2(t)}}{g(t)}$;

(iii) $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{G_2(t)}{tg(t)} = \frac{1}{\gamma+1}$; $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{tg'(t)}{g(t)} = -\gamma$.

Corollary 2.2. k in Theorem 1.1 has the following properties:

(i) $k(t) = t^{\sigma/2} e^{\int_t^a \frac{y_1(s)}{s} ds}$, $0 < t < a$, $y_1 \in C(0, a]$, $\lim_{s \rightarrow 0^+} y_1(s) = 0$;

(ii) $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{K(t)}{k(t)} = 0$; $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{tk'(t)}{k(t)} = \sigma/2$; $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{K(t)}{tk(t)} = 2/(2 + \sigma)$;

(iii) $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{k'(t)K(t)}{k^2(t)} = \lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{tk'(t)}{k(t)} \lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{K(t)}{tk(t)} = \sigma/(2 + \sigma)$;

3 Proofs of the Main Results

First we give some preliminary considerations.

Lemma 3.1. Under the assumption in Theorem 1.1:

(i) $\varphi_1 \in NRVZ_{2/(1+\gamma)}$;

(ii) $(g \circ \varphi_1 \circ K)^{q-1} \cdot K^q \cdot k^{q-2} \in RVZ_\beta$ with $\beta = \frac{(2-q)\gamma+q(\sigma+1)-\sigma}{1+\gamma}$.

Proof. (i) We see by (2.6), the following Lemma 3.2(i) and Proposition 2.2(i) that $\varphi_1' \in NRVZ_{-(\gamma-1)/(1+\gamma)}$ and $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{t\varphi_1'(t)}{\varphi_1(t)} = 2/(1 + \gamma)$, Thus $\varphi_1 \in RVZ_{2/(1+\gamma)}$.

(ii) follows by (i) and Proposition 2.3.

Lemma 3.2. Let g, k and φ_1 be as in Theorem 1.1, then:

(i) $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{\varphi_1'(t)}{t\varphi_1''(t)} = \frac{m-1-\gamma}{\gamma+1}$;

(ii) $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{(\varphi_1'(t))^{(q-1)(m-1)+1}}{\varphi_1''(t)} = 0$, $q \in (0, m/(m-1)]$;

(iii) $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{(k^{q(m-1)}(t)\varphi_1'(K(t)))^{(q-1)(m-1)+1}}{k^m(t)\varphi_1''(K(t))} = 0$, $q \in (0, m/(m-1)]$.

Proof. We see by (1.12) and a direct calculation that

$\varphi_1'(t) = \sqrt[m]{mG_2(\varphi_1(t))}$, $-(\varphi_1'(t))^{m-2}\varphi_1''(t) = g(\varphi_1(t))$, $0 < t < a$.

(i) It follows by Corollary 2.1 and l'Hospital's rule that

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{\varphi_1'(t)}{t\varphi_1''(t)} &= \lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{(mG_2(\varphi_1(t)))^{1-\frac{1}{m}}}{-tg(\varphi_1(t))} = -\lim_{u \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{(mG_2(\varphi_1(t)))^{1-\frac{1}{m}}/g(u)}{\int_0^u \frac{ds}{\sqrt[m]{mG_2(s)}}} \\ &= -\lim_{u \rightarrow 0^+} \left[-(m-1) - \frac{mg'(u)G_2(u)}{g^2(u)} \right] \\ &= (m-1) + m \lim_{u \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{ug'(u)}{g(u)} \lim_{u \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{G_2(u)}{ug(u)} \\ &= \frac{m-1-\gamma}{\gamma+1}. \end{aligned}$$

(ii) $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{(\varphi_1'(t))^2}{\varphi_1''(t)} = \lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{(\varphi_1'(t))^2(\varphi_1'(t))^{m-2}}{-g(\varphi_1(t))} = \lim_{u \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{mG_2(u)}{-g(u)} = 0$.

Since $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} \varphi_1'(t) = +\infty$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{(\varphi_1'(t))^{(q-1)(m-1)+1}}{\varphi_1''(t)} &= \lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{(\varphi_1'(t))^2}{\varphi_1''(t)} \lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} (\varphi_1'(t))^{(q-1)(m-1)+1} \\ &= 0, \text{ for } 0 < q \leq m/(m-1). \end{aligned}$$

(iii) We see by Lemma 3.1(ii) and Proposition 2.1(ii) that

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} (g(\varphi_1(K(t))))^{q-1} K^q(t) k^{q-2}(t) = \lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} t^\beta H(t) = 0,$$

where H is slowly varying at zero.

For $1 < m \leq 2$, $0 < q \leq 1$, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} & \lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{k^{q(m-1)}(t) \left(\varphi_1'(K(t)) \right)^{(q-1)(m-1)+1}}{k^m(t) \varphi_1''(K(t))} \\ &= \lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} \left(\frac{\varphi_1'(K(t))}{K(t) \varphi_1''(K(t))} \right)^{(q-1)(m-1)+1} \lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} \left[(g(\varphi_1(K(t))))^{q-1} K^q(t) k^{q-2}(t) \right]^{m-1} \\ & \quad \lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} \left(\frac{k(t)}{K(t)} \right)^{m-2} \left([\varphi_1'(K(t))]^{(q-1)(m-1)} \right)^{-(m-2)} \\ &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

For $m \geq 2$, $1 < q \leq m/(m-1)$,

$$\begin{aligned} & \lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{[\varphi_1'(K(t))]^{-(q-1)(m-1)}}{\frac{K(t)}{k(t)}} \\ &= \lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{-(m-1)(q-1)[\varphi_1'(K(t))]^{-(q-1)(m-1)-1}}{\frac{k^2(t) - k'(t)K(t)}{k^2(t)}} \\ &= \lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{-(m-1)(q-1)[\varphi_1'(K(t))]^{-(q-1)(m-1)-1}}{1 - \frac{k'(t)K(t)}{k^2(t)}} \\ &= 0; \end{aligned}$$

such that

$$\begin{aligned} & \lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} \left(\frac{k(t)}{K(t)} \right)^{m-2} \left([\varphi_1'(K(t))]^{(q-1)(m-1)} \right)^{-(m-2)} \\ &= \left(\lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{[\varphi_1'(K(t))]^{-(q-1)(m-1)}}{\frac{K(t)}{k(t)}} \right)^{m-2} \\ &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

The proof is finished.

Proof of Theorem 1.1. Let $\xi_0^{-(\gamma+m-1)} = \tau_0/b_0$, where

$$\tau_0 = \frac{2[\gamma - (m-1)(\sigma-1)]}{(2+\sigma)(\gamma-m+1)} > 0, \quad 1 - \tau_0 = \frac{\sigma(\gamma+m-1)}{(2+\sigma)(\gamma-m+1)} > 0.$$

Fix $\varepsilon \in (0, \tau_0/4)$ and let

$$\xi_{1\varepsilon} = \left(\frac{b_0}{\tau_0 - 2\varepsilon} \right)^{1/(\gamma+m-1)}, \quad \xi_{2\varepsilon} = \left(\frac{b_0}{\tau_0 + 2\varepsilon} \right)^{1/(\gamma+m-1)}$$

It follows that

$$\left(\frac{2b_0}{3\tau_0} \right)^{1/(\gamma+m-1)} = C_1 < \xi_{2\varepsilon} < \xi_0 < \xi_{1\varepsilon} < C_2 = \left(\frac{2b_0}{\tau_0} \right)^{1/(\gamma+m-1)}.$$

Since $\partial\Omega \in C^2$, there exists a constant $\delta \in (0, \delta_0/2)$ which only depends on Ω such that

(i) $d(x) \in C^2(\bar{\Omega}_\delta)$ and $|\nabla d| \equiv 1$ on $\Omega_\delta = \{x \in \Omega : d(x) < \delta\}$.

By (b_1) , (b_2) , corollary 2.2 and Lemma 3.2, we see that corresponding to ε , there is $\delta_\varepsilon \in (0, \delta)$ sufficiently small that:

(ii) For $i=1,2$,

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \frac{(m-1)k'(d(x))K(d(x))}{k^2(d(x))} \frac{\varphi_1'(s)}{s\varphi_1''(s)} - (\tau_0 - m + 1) + \frac{K(d(x))}{k(d(x))} \frac{\varphi_1'(s)}{s\varphi_1''(s)} \Delta d(x) \right. \\ & \left. + \frac{\lambda \xi_{i\varepsilon}^{(q-1)(m-1)} k^{q(m-1)}(d(x)) (\varphi_1'(K(d(x))))^{(q-1)(m-1)+1}}{k^m(d(x)) \varphi_1''(K(d(x)))} \right| < \varepsilon, \quad \forall (x, s) \in \Omega_{\delta_\varepsilon} \times (0, \delta_\varepsilon) \end{aligned}$$

(iii) For $x \in \Omega_{\delta_\varepsilon}$,

$$\frac{\xi_{2\varepsilon}^{m-1} k^m(d(x)) g(\varphi_1(K(d(x))))}{g(\xi_{2\varepsilon} \varphi_1(K(d(x))))} (\tau_0 + \varepsilon) < b(x) < \frac{\xi_{1\varepsilon}^{m-1} k^m(d(x)) g(\varphi_1(K(d(x))))}{g(\xi_{1\varepsilon} \varphi_1(K(d(x))))} (\tau_0 - \varepsilon),$$

Let $\bar{u}_\varepsilon = \xi_{1\varepsilon}\varphi_1(K(d(x)))$, $\underline{u}_\varepsilon = \xi_{2\varepsilon}\varphi_1(K(d(x)))$, $x \in \Omega_{\delta_\varepsilon}$.

We see that for $x \in \Omega_{\delta_\varepsilon}$,

$$\begin{aligned} & \operatorname{div}(|\nabla \bar{u}_\varepsilon|^{m-2} \nabla \bar{u}_\varepsilon) + b(x)g(\bar{u}_\varepsilon(x)) + \lambda|\bar{u}_\varepsilon(x)|^{q(m-1)} \\ &= (m-1)\xi_{1\varepsilon}^{m-1} \left(\varphi_1'(K(d(x))) \right)^{m-2} \varphi_1''(K(d(x)))k^m(d(x)) + \xi_{1\varepsilon}^{m-1} \left(\varphi_1'(K(d(x))) \right)^{m-1} k^{m-1}(d(x)) \cdot \\ & \quad \Delta d(x) + (m-1)\xi_{1\varepsilon}^{m-1} \left(\varphi_1'(K(d(x))) \right)^{m-1} k^{m-2}(d(x))k'(d(x)) + b(x)g(\xi_{1\varepsilon}\varphi_1(K(d(x)))) \\ & \quad + \lambda\xi_{1\varepsilon}^{q(m-1)} \left(\varphi_1'(K(d(x))) \right)^{q(m-1)} k^{q(m-1)}(d(x)) \\ &= \xi_{1\varepsilon}^{m-1} g(\varphi_1(K(d(x))))k^m(d(x)) \left\{ \frac{b(x)g(\xi_{1\varepsilon}\varphi_1(K(d(x))))}{\xi_{1\varepsilon}^{m-1}k^m(d(x))g(\varphi_1(K(d(x))))} - \tau_0 \right. \\ & \quad \left. - \left(\frac{(m-1)k'(d(x))K(d(x))}{k^2(d(x))} \frac{\varphi_1'(K(d(x)))}{K(d(x))\varphi_1''(K(d(x)))} - (\tau_0 - m + 1) \right) \right. \\ & \quad \left. - \frac{K(d(x))}{k(d(x))} \frac{\varphi_1'(K(d(x)))}{K(d(x))\varphi_1''(K(d(x)))} \Delta d(x) - \frac{\lambda\xi_{1\varepsilon}^{(q-1)(m-1)}k^{q(m-1)}(d(x))(\varphi_1'(K(d(x))))^{(q-1)(m-1)+1}}{k^m(d(x))\varphi_1''(K(d(x)))} \right\} \\ & \leq 0; \end{aligned}$$

i.e., \bar{u}_ε is a supersolution of problem (1.1) in $\Omega_{\delta_\varepsilon}$.

and

$$\begin{aligned} & \operatorname{div}(|\nabla \underline{u}_\varepsilon|^{m-2} \nabla \underline{u}_\varepsilon) + b(x)g(\underline{u}_\varepsilon(x)) + \lambda|\underline{u}_\varepsilon(x)|^{q(m-1)} \\ &= (m-1)\xi_{2\varepsilon}^{m-1} \left(\varphi_1'(K(d(x))) \right)^{m-2} \varphi_1''(K(d(x)))k^m(d(x)) + \xi_{2\varepsilon}^{m-1} \left(\varphi_1'(K(d(x))) \right)^{m-1} k^{m-1}(d(x)) \cdot \\ & \quad \Delta d(x) + (m-1)\xi_{2\varepsilon}^{m-1} \left(\varphi_1'(K(d(x))) \right)^{m-1} k^{m-2}(d(x))k'(d(x)) + b(x)g(\xi_{2\varepsilon}\varphi_1(K(d(x)))) \\ & \quad + \lambda\xi_{2\varepsilon}^{q(m-1)} \left(\varphi_1'(K(d(x))) \right)^{q(m-1)} k^{q(m-1)}(d(x)) \\ &= \xi_{2\varepsilon}^{m-1} g(\varphi_1(K(d(x))))k^m(d(x)) \left\{ \frac{b(x)g(\xi_{2\varepsilon}\varphi_1(K(d(x))))}{\xi_{2\varepsilon}^{m-1}k^m(d(x))g(\varphi_1(K(d(x))))} - \tau_0 \right. \\ & \quad \left. - \left(\frac{(m-1)k'(d(x))K(d(x))}{k^2(d(x))} \frac{\varphi_1'(K(d(x)))}{K(d(x))\varphi_1''(K(d(x)))} - (\tau_0 - m + 1) \right) \right. \\ & \quad \left. - \frac{K(d(x))}{k(d(x))} \frac{\varphi_1'(K(d(x)))}{K(d(x))\varphi_1''(K(d(x)))} \Delta d(x) - \frac{\lambda\xi_{2\varepsilon}^{(q-1)(m-1)}k^{q(m-1)}(d(x))(\varphi_1'(K(d(x))))^{(q-1)(m-1)+1}}{k^m(d(x))\varphi_1''(K(d(x)))} \right\} \\ & \geq 0; \end{aligned}$$

i.e., $\underline{u}_\varepsilon$ is a subsolution of of problem (1.1) in $\Omega_{\delta_\varepsilon}$.

Let $u_\lambda \in C(\bar{\Omega}) \cap C^{2+\alpha}(\Omega)$ be the solution to problem (1.1). We assert $\underline{u}_\varepsilon(x) \leq u_\lambda(x) \leq \bar{u}_\varepsilon(x)$, $\forall x \in \Omega_{\delta_\varepsilon}$.

In fact, denote $\Omega_{\delta_\varepsilon} = \Omega_{\delta_+} \cup \Omega_{\delta_-}$, where $\Omega_{\delta_+} = \{x \in \Omega_{\delta_\varepsilon} : \underline{u}_\varepsilon(x) \leq u_\lambda(x)\}$ and $\Omega_{\delta_-} = \{x \in \Omega_{\delta_\varepsilon} : \underline{u}_\varepsilon(x) > u_\lambda(x)\}$.

We need to show $\Omega_{\delta_-} = \emptyset$. Assume the contrary, we see that there exists $x_0 \in \Omega_{\delta_-}$ (note that $\underline{u}_\varepsilon(x) = u_\lambda(x)$, $\forall x \in \partial\Omega_{\delta_-}$) such that

$$0 < \underline{u}_\varepsilon(x_0) - u_\lambda(x_0) = \max_{x \in \bar{\Omega}_{\delta_-}} (\underline{u}_\varepsilon(x) - u_\lambda(x))$$

and

$$\nabla \underline{u}_\varepsilon(x_0) = \nabla u_\lambda(x_0), \quad \Delta(\underline{u}_\varepsilon - u_\lambda)(x_0) \leq 0.$$

On the other hand, we see by (b_1) and (g_1) that

$$-\Delta(\underline{u}_\varepsilon - u_\lambda)(x_0) = b(x_0)(g(\underline{u}_\varepsilon(x_0)) - g(u_\lambda(x_0))) < 0,$$

which is a contradiction. Hence $\Omega_{\delta_-} = \emptyset$, i.e., $\underline{u}_\varepsilon(x) \leq u_\lambda(x)$, $\forall x \in \Omega_{\delta_\varepsilon}$. In the same way, we can see that $\bar{u}_\varepsilon(x) \geq u_\lambda(x)$, $\forall x \in \Omega_{\delta_\varepsilon}$.

It follows that

$$\xi_{2\varepsilon} \leq \liminf_{d(x) \rightarrow 0} \frac{u_\lambda(x)}{\varphi_1(K(d(x)))} \leq \limsup_{d(x) \rightarrow 0} \frac{u_\lambda(x)}{\varphi_1(K(d(x)))} \leq \xi_{1\varepsilon}.$$

Thus let $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$, we see that

$$\lim_{d(x) \rightarrow 0} \frac{u_\lambda(x)}{\varphi_1(K(d(x)))} = \xi_0.$$

The last part of the proof follows from Lemma 3.1(i).

4 Conclusion

The boundary value quasilinear differential equation systems (1.1) are mathematical models occurring in the studies of the p -Laplace equation, generalized reaction-diffusion theory, non-Newtonian fluid theory, and the turbulent flow of a gas in porous medium. In the non-Newtonian fluid theory, the quantity m is characteristic of the medium. Media with $m > 2$ are called dilatant fluids and those with $m < 2$ are called pseudoplastics. If $m = 2$, they are Newtonian fluids. When $m \neq 2$, the problem becomes more complicated since certain nice properties inherent to the case $m = 2$ seem to be lost or at least difficult to verify. The main differences between $m = 2$ and $m \neq 2$ can be founded in [14,22]. When $m = 2$, it is well known that all the positive solutions in $C^2(B_R)$ of the problem

$$\begin{cases} \Delta u + f(u) = 0 & \text{in } B_R \\ u(x) = 0 & \text{on } \partial B_R \end{cases}$$

are radially symmetric solutions for very general f (see [23]). Unfortunately, this result does not apply to the case $m \neq 2$. Kichenassary and Smoller showed that there exist many positive nonradial solutions of the above problem for some f (see [24]). The major stumbling block in the case of $m \neq 2$ is that certain nice features inherent to the case $m = 2$ seem to be lost or at least difficult to verify.

In this paper, we have two main findings as follows:

The first one is the asymptotic behavior of solutions to the following singular quasilinear Dirichlet problem

$$\begin{cases} -\operatorname{div}(|\nabla u|^{m-2} \nabla u) = b(x)g(u) + \lambda |\nabla u(x)|^{q(m-1)}, & x \in \Omega, \\ u > 0, & x \in \Omega, \\ u|_{\partial\Omega} = 0, \end{cases}$$

which is

$$\lim_{d(x) \rightarrow 0} \frac{u_\lambda(x)}{\varphi_1(K(d(x)))} = \xi_0.$$

The second one is the corresponding proof method of the asymptotic behavior, which is the super-subsolution method, the most critical point is the construction of the supersolution and subsolution.

Acknowledgment

Project Supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China(No.11171092; No.11471164); Project Supported by the Foundation of the Jiangsu Higher Education "Blue Project" of China(No.18112008019); A Project Funded by the Priority Academic Program Development of Jiangsu Higher Education Institutions(PAPD).

Competing Interests

The authors declare that no competing interests exist.

References

- [1] Ghergu M, Rădulescu V. Multiparameter bifurcation and asymptotics for the singular Lane-Emden-Fowler equation with a convection term. *Proc. Roy. Soc. Edinburgh Sect. A.* 2005;135:61-84.
- [2] Nachman A, Callegari A. A nonlinear singular boundary value problem in the theory of pseudoplastic fluids, *SIAMJ. Appl. Math.* 1980;28:275-281.
- [3] Stuart CA. Existence and approximation of solutions of nonlinear elliptic equations. *Math. Z.* 1976;147:53-63.
- [4] Zhang Z, Cheng J. Existence and optimal estimates of solutions for singular nonlinear Dirichlet problems. *Nonlinear Anal.* 2004;57:473-484.
- [5] Zhang Z. The asymptotic behavior of the unique solution for the singular Lane-Emden-Fowler equation. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 2005;312:33-43.
- [6] Zhang Z. Boundary behavior of the unique solution to a singular Dirichlet problem with a convection term. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 2009;352:77-84.
- [7] Bandle C, Giarrosso E. Boundary blow-up for semilinear elliptic equations with nonlinear gradient terms. *Adv. Differential Equations.* 1996;1:133-150.
- [8] Cîrstea F. An extremal variation phenomenon for some nonlinear elliptic problems with boundary blow-up. *C.R. Acad. Sci. Paris Ser. I.* 2004;339:689-694.
- [9] Cîrstea F, Rădulescu VD. Uniqueness of the blow-up boundary solution of logistic equations with absorption. *Ser. I.* 2002;335:447-452.
- [10] Du Y, Huang Q. Blow-up solutions for a class of semilinear elliptic and parabolic equations. *SIAM J. Math. Anal.* 1999;31:1-18.
- [11] García-Melián J. Nondegeneracy and uniqueness for boundary blow-up elliptic problems. *J. Differential Equations.* 2006;223:208-227.
- [12] Giarrosso E. Asymptotic behavior of large solutions of an elliptic quasilinear equation with a borderline case. *C.R. Acad. Sci. Paris, Ser. I.* 2000;331:777-782.
- [13] Giarrosso E. On blow-up solutions of a quasilinear elliptic equation. *Math. Nachr.* 2000;213:89-104.
- [14] Guo ZM. Some existence and multiplicity results for a class of quasi-linear elliptic eigenvalue problem, *Nonlinear Anal.* 1992;18:957-971.
- [15] Lair AV. A necessary and sufficient condition for existence of large solutions to semilinear elliptic equations. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 1999;240:205-218.

- [16] Lazer AC, McKenna PJ. On a problem of Bieberbach and Rademacher, *Nonlinear Anal.* 1993;21: 327-335.
- [17] Zhang Z. The asymptotic behavior of solutions with blow-up at the boundary for semilinear elliptic problems. *J. Math. Appl.* 2005;308:532-540.
- [18] Zhang Z. The asymptotic behavior of solutions with boundary blow-up for semilinear elliptic equations with nonlinear gradient terms. *Nonlinear Anal.* 2005;62:1137-1148.
- [19] Seneta R. Regular varying functions, in: *Lecture Notes in Mathematics.* 1976;Vol.508. Springer.
- [20] Resnick SI. *Extreme values, regular variation, and point Processes.* Springer-Verlag, New York; 1987.
- [21] Maric V. Regular variation and differential Equations, in: *Lecture Notes in Mathematics.* 2000;Vol. 1726. Springer.
- [22] Guo ZM, Webb JRL. Uniqueness of positive solutions for quasilinear elliptic equations when a parameter is large, *Proc. Roy. Soc. Edinburgh.* 1994;124 A:189-198.
- [23] Gidas B, Ni WM, Nirenberg L. Symmetry and related properties via the maximum principle, *Comm. Math. Phys.* 1979;68:209-243.
- [24] Kichenassamy S, Smoller J. On the existence of radial solutions of quasilinear elliptic equations. *Nonlinearity.* 1990;3:677-694.

©2015 Liu & Yang; This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0>, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Peer-review history:

The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here (Please copy paste the total link in your browser address bar)

www.sciencedomain.org/review-history.php?iid=932&id=6&aid=8016