



Performance of Different Varieties of Jasmine (*Jasminum sambac*) under Prayagraj Agro-climatic Conditions

S. G. Anoopdas^{a++*} and Urfi Fatmi^{a#}

^a Department of Horticulture, SHUATS, Prayagraj, U.P. India.

Authors' contributions

This work was carried out in collaboration between both authors. Both authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Article Information

DOI: 10.9734/IJPSS/2022/v34i242724

Open Peer Review History:

This journal follows the Advanced Open Peer Review policy. Identity of the Reviewers, Editor(s) and additional Reviewers, peer review comments, different versions of the manuscript, comments of the editors, etc are available here: <https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/96483>

Original Research Article

Received: 23/10/2022

Accepted: 30/12/2022

Published: 31/12/2022

ABSTRACT

The present investigation entitled Performance of different varieties of jasmine (*Jasminum sambac*) under Prayagraj agro-climatic conditions. was undertaken in the Department of Horticulture, Sam Higginbottom University of Agriculture, Technology And Sciences, Prayagraj, during August, 2021 to October, 2022. The experiment was laid out in Randomized Block Design (RBD) with 07 varieties, replicated thrice. The variety V4 (U.P. Local) performed significantly better for all the growth parameters like plant height (95.57 cm), number of leaves (118.50), plant spread (60.45 cm), and number of branches (24.50). Variety V5 (Gundumalli) was found superior in terms of bud diameter (24.83 mm), shelf life (5.58 days), average flower bud weight (0.75 g), flower yield per plant (1.46 kg), flower yield per hectare (58.4 q), and benefit cost ratio (4.15). So this variety can be used for better quality, flower yield and benefit cost ratio. Hence the variety V5 (Gundumalli) can be recommended for commercial cultivation under Prayagraj agro-climatic conditions.

⁺⁺ Researcher;

[#] Assistant Professor;

^{*}Corresponding author: E-mail: anoopdasmangalath@gmail.com, 20mshfco44@shiats.edu.in;

Keywords: Jasmine; varietal evaluation; genotypes; growth; yield; quality.

1. INTRODUCTION

Jasmine is a genus of shrubs and vines in the olive family (Oleaceae). For the past many centuries' jasmines have adorned the gardens of central and South East Asia, Afghanistan, Iran, Nepal and many other tropical and sub-tropical countries and many of the jasmine species are native of India and have their origin in the southern foothills of the Himalayas. The basic chromosome number of jasmine is 13, while 2n ranges from 26 to 39, though most of them are diploid. The name Jasmine is of Persian origin and means "gift from God." It is derived from the Persian word "yasmin" which is used for the flower.

Jasmines are commercially cultivated for their flowers in the Southern and Eastern parts of India. Major jasmine producing states in India are Tamil Nadu and Karnataka. Karnataka, is known for cultivation of jasmines due to its versatile utility as fresh flowers in ceremonies, religious offerings and perfuming the hair oils etc. It is a highly valued ornamental plant for home gardens and commercial cultivation. Flowers and buds are used for making garlands, bouquets and for religious offerings, while vein is used as hair adornment [1-3].

The Jasmine species *Jasminum sambac* Ait. is distributed mainly in Karnataka, Andhra Pradesh, TamilNadu and also to some extent in West Bengal states of India (Bhattacharjee et al. 1983). Hence, the great extent of variability is available in *J.sambac* Ait. from this region. Essential oil is extracted from the flowers to make perfumes. The different parts of *J.sambac* such as the leaf, stem, bark and roots are important as source of chemicals that are useful in the pharmaceutical industries [4,5].

The number of species in the genus *Jasminum* varies from about 200. environment / season is the important limiting factor for growth and flowering of jasmine. The variations among jasmine varieties are largely in response to the environment particularly temperature and the interaction between temperature and variety [6-9]. Hence, there is a need to evaluate promising genotypes, so that elite genotypes could be recommended for specific locations.

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

This chapter contains the details of the materials used and the methods adopted in the present

study entitled "Performance of different varieties of jasmine (*Jasminum sambac*) under Prayagraj agro-climatic conditions" was carried out during August, 2021 to October, 2022 in the Department of Horticulture, Sam Higginbottom University of Agriculture, Technology And Sciences, Prayagraj. The planting material was collected from Kerala Agricultural College, Mannuthy, Thrissur. The study comprised of 7 varieties and 3 replications. Single Mogra, Arka Aradhana, Double Mogra, U.P Local, Gundumalli, Iruvachi and Erkil Jasmine these are the varieties. The experiment was laid out in RBD.

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

3.1 Performance of Jasmine Cultivars for Vegetative Parameters

There were significant differences among the varieties concerning vegetative parameters. Significantly taller plants (95.57 cm) were reported in variety V4 (U.P. Local), followed by variety V5 (Gundumalli, 90.54 cm) while shorter plants (54.53 cm) to observed in variety V2 (Arka Aradhana). The significant variation concerning plant height among the chrysanthemum varieties was also noticed by Joshi et al. [10] Significantly a greater number of leaves (118.50) per plant were reported in variety V4 (U.P. Local), followed by variety V5 (Gundumalli, 116.50) while lesser number of leaves (99.50) was observed in variety V2 (Arka Aradhana). Similar observations were observed by Jawaharlal et al. [11] in carnation and Vedavathi et al. [12] in Asiatic lily. The variation in number of leaves per plant under different varieties, might be due to difference in their genetic inherit capacity and suitability under this climate [13,14]. Significantly wider plant spread (60.45 cm) were recorded in variety V4 (U.P. Local), followed by variety V5 (Gundumalli, 58.46 cm) whereas smaller plant spread (42.70 m) was obtained in variety V2 (Arka Aradhana). The difference in plant spread among all the varieties may be due to their genetic makeup and development of a greater number of secondary branches in the varieties thereby increasing the plant spread. Similar results were recorded in chrysanthemum by Henny et al. and Kumar et al. [15,16]. Significantly a greater number of branches (24.50) were recorded in variety V4 (U.P. Local), followed by variety V5 (Gundumalli, 23.42) while lesser number of branches (16.50) was observed in variety V2 (Arka Aradhana). The difference in number of branches may be due to

the genetic makeup of the varieties and due to environmental conditions. Similar results were recorded in chrysanthemum by Henny et al. [15].

3.2 Performance of Jasmine Cultivars for Quality Characters

There were significant differences among the varieties concerning quality parameters. Significantly larger flower bud length (2.59 cm) was reported in variety V7 (Erkil Jasmine), followed by variety V5 (Gundumalli, 2.26 cm) while shorter flower bud length (1.37 cm) was observed in variety V1 (Single Mogra). The difference in flower bud length may be due to the inherent character and genetic makeup of the varieties and environmental conditions, similar results were recorded in Asiatic lily by Barik et al. [17], Pandey et al. (2012), Sindhu et al. and Singh et al. (2012). Significantly larger flower bud diameter (24.83 mm) was reported in variety V5 (Gundumalli) followed by V4 (U.P. local, 17.08mm) while small flower bud diameter (2.25 mm) was observed in variety V2 (Arka Aradhana). The difference in flower diameter may be due to the variation in the genetic makeup of the varieties. Similar results were recorded in chrysanthemum by Siddiqua et al. [18]. Significantly more average bud weight (0.75 g) was reported in variety V5 (Gundumalli), followed by variety V3 (Double Mogra, 0.41g) while lesser Average bud weight (0.16 g) was observed in variety V2 (Arka Aradhana). The difference in the flower weight may be due to the varietal character, habitat type and genetic makeup of the varieties. Similar results were recorded in chrysanthemum by Patil et al. [19].

Significantly more shelf life (5.58 days) was reported in variety V5 (Gundumalli), followed by variety V3 (Double Mogra 4.17 days) while less shelf life (2.25 days) was observed in variety V7 (Erkil Jasmine). The difference in the shelf life of flowers may be due to the evaporation rate, transpiration rate of the varieties and also may be due to the varietal character, habitat type and genetic makeup of the varieties. Similar results were recorded in chrysanthemum by Roopa et al. [20].

3.3 Performance of Jasmine Cultivars for Yield Characters

There were significant differences among the varieties concerning yield parameters. Significantly higher flower yield per plant (1.46 kg) were reported in variety V5 (Gundumalli), followed by variety V1 (Single Mogra, 1.21kg) while low flower yield per plant (0.37 kg) was observed in V2 (Arka Aradhana). The difference in the flower yield per plot may be due to the varietal character, habitat type and genetic makeup of the varieties. Similar results were recorded in chrysanthemum by Srilatha et al. [21]. Significantly higher flower yield per hectare (58.4 q) were recorded in variety V5 (Gundumalli), followed by variety V1 (Single Mogra, 48.4 q) while less flower yield per hectare (14.8 q) was observed in variety V2 (Arka Aradhana). The difference in the yield per hectare may be due to varietal character, habitat type and genetic makeup of varieties. Similar results were recorded in chrysanthemum by Singh et al. [22], Sindhu et al. (2006).

Table 1. Performance of Jasmine cultivars for vegetative parameters under Prayagraj agro-climatic conditions

Variety	Plant height	Number of leaves	Plant spread	Number of branches
Single mogra	78.47	115.50	50.58	22.25
Arka Aradhana	54.53	99.50	42.70	16.50
Double mogra	65.49	105.25	43.68	20.50
U.p. Local	95.57	118.50	60.45	24.50
Gundumalli	90.54	116.50	58.46	23.42
Iruvatchi	76.75	108.00	48.46	18.33
Erkil jasmine	56.58	102.25	42.83	17.33
F - test	S	S	S	S
S.Ed (±)	0.18	0.28	0.19	0.27
C.D.(0.05)	0.38	0.61	0.42	0.60
C.V.	0.29	0.31	0.48	1.64

Table 2. Performance of Jasmine cultivars for quality parameters yield parameters and benefit: cost ratio under Prayagraj agro-climatic conditions

Variety	Flower bud Diameter (mm)	Average flower bud weight(g)	Shelf life of loose flower (days)	Flower yield/ha (q)	Benefit costratio
Single mogra	8.17	0.33	3.08	48.4	3.44
Arka aradhana	2.25	0.16	2.42	14.4	1.05
Double mogra	13.75	0.41	4.17	22.4	1.59
U. P. Local	17.08	0.37	2.42	43.2	3.07
Gundumalli	24.83	0.75	5.58	58.4	4.15
Iruvatchi	17.08	0.27	3.33	23.2	1.64
Erkil jasmine	3.00	0.25	2.25	16.0	1.13
F - test	S	S	S	S	
S.Ed (±)	0.34	0.01	0.12	0.13	
C.D.(0.05)	0.74	0.02	0.26	0.29	
C.V.	3.39	3.35	4.35	0.50	

4. CONCLUSION

From the present investigation entitled “Performance of different varieties of jasmine (*Jasminum sambac*) under Prayagraj agro-climatic conditions”, it is concluded that the variety V4 (U.P. Local) performed significantly better for all the growth parameters like plant height, number of leaves, plant spread, and number of branches, while in terms of flowering parameters, variety V1 (Single Mogra) was found superior in terms of days to first flower bud initiation, number of flower bud, 50% flowering and duration of flowering, and the variety V5 (Gundumalli) was found superior in terms of bud diameter, shelf life, average flower bud weight, flower yield per plant, flower yield per hectare, gross return, net profit, and benefit cost ratio. So it can be used for better quality, flower yield and benefit cost ratio. Hence the variety V5 (Gundumalli) can be recommended for commercial cultivation under Prayagraj agro-climatic condition.

COMPETING INTERESTS

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

REFERENCES

- Desai N, Mamatha B. Effect of spacing on yield of tuberose at farmers field in Karnataka. J Krishi Vigyan. 2016;5(1):54-6. DOI: 10.5958/2349-4433.2016.00033.7
- Fatmi U, Singh D. Flower quality, yield and bulb production of different varieties of tuberose as affected by different planting time and geometry under Prayagraj

- agroclimatic conditions. J Pharmacogn Phytochemist. 2020;9(2):74-7.
- Jayamma N, Jagadeesh KS, Patil VS. Growth and flower yield of jasmine (*Jasminum auriculatum*) as influenced by biofertilizers and graded doses of chemical fertilizers. J Ornamental Hortic. 2009;11(4):275-80.
- Gowdhami T, Rajalakshmi AK, Sugumar N, Valliappan R. Evaluation of antimicrobial activity of different solvent extracts of aromatic plant: *Jasminum sambac*. J Chem Pharm Res. 2015;7(11): 136-43.
- Jeebit SL, Khangjarakpam G, Shadukan R, Dhua RS. Quality characterization of new chrysanthemum genotypes. J Pharmacogn Phytochem. 2019; 8(4):1611-1617.
- Kartheka T, Rajamani K, Ganga M, Boopathi NM. Morphological characterization of certain *Jasminum sambac* genotypes using principal component analysis. Pharma Innovation. 2021;10(12):118-23. DOI: 10.22271/tpi.2021.v10.i12b.9333
- Keerthishankar K, Balaji S, Kulkarni, Yathindra HA, Sudarshan GK, Mutthuraju GP. Yield and cost economics of *Jasminum sambac* Cv. Mysuru Mallige as influenced by fertigation along with a foliar spray of micronutrients. J Pharmacogn Phytochem. 2020;9(6):1499-501.
- Kalaiyarasi A, Dhananjaya MV, Nair SA, Kumar R, Yogeesh HS, Munikrishnappa PM et al. Studies on foral morphology in different genotypes of *Jasminum sambac*. Indian J Agri Sci. 2018;88(11):1789-93. DOI: 10.56093/ijas.v88i11.84932

9. Kumar KK, Ganga M, Rajamani K, Geethanjali S. Evaluation of *Jasminum sambac* accessions for flower bud yield and floral quality parameters to identify a promising genotype for loose flower cultivation. The Pharm Innov J. 2021;10(10):1642-5.
10. Joshi M, Verma LR, Masu MM. Performance of different varieties of chrysanthemum in respect of growth, flowering and flower yield under north Gujarat condition. The Asian J Hortic. 2010;4(2):292-4.
11. Karthikeyan S, Jawaharlal M. Optimization of planting density in carnation. HortFlora Res Spec. 2013;2(2):121-5.
12. Vedavathi RS, Manjunatha B, Mamatha NP, Hemlanaik B, Priyanka HL. Influence of spacing and nitrogen on flower quality and vase life of Asiatic lily cv. Gironde. Hort Flora Res Spec. 2014;4(1):70-2.
13. Kumar A, Kumar R, Singh J, Singh P, Singh V. On-farm evaluation of different cultivars of chrysanthemum under the climatic conditions of Western Uttar Pradesh. Int J Curr Microbiol Appl Sci Special Issue. 2020;11:1937-43.
14. Thiripurasundari S, Velmurugan M, Geethanjali S, Thamaraiselvi SP. Evaluation of cut chrysanthemum (*Dendranthema grandiflora* Tzvelev.) under open field and polyhouse conditions in Coimbatore conditions. J Pharmacogn Phytochem. 2021;10(1):2161-5.
15. Henny T, Palai SK, Beura S, Chongloi L, Devi OB, Mishra S. Evaluation and selection of spray chrysanthemum (*Chrysanthemum morifolium* Ramat) genotypes suitable for commercial cultivation under coastal plain zone of Odisha. The Pharm Innov J. 2021;10(4):124-6.
16. Kumar R, Prasad VM, Singh D. Varietal evaluation of chrysanthemum (*Dendranthema grandiflora* L.) under Prayagraj agro-climatic conditions. The Pharm Innov J. 2021;10(12):245-8.
17. Barik D, Mohanty CR. Evaluation of Asiatic hybrid lily varieties under Bhubneshwar condition. Asian J Hortic. 2015;10(2):194-200. DOI: 10.15740/HAS/TAJH/10.2/194-200
18. Siddiqua A, Lakshmi KS, Nagaraju R, Reddy DS. Performance of spray chrysanthemum cultivars (*Dendranthema grandiflora* Tzvelev.) in polyhouse conditions. J Pharmacogn Phytochem. 2018;7(6):1572-5.
19. Patil S, Mishra A, Nagar KK, Kumar C. Evaluation of chrysanthemum (*Chrysanthemum morifolium* Ramat.) varieties for flowering traits under ecological 106 conditions of sub-humid zone of Rajasthan. Chem Sci Rev Lett. 2017;6(22):1338-42.
20. Roopa S, Chandrashekar SY, Shivaprasad M, Hanumantharaya L, Kumar H. Evaluation of chrysanthemum (*Dendranthema grandiflora* Tzvelev) genotypes for floral and quality traits under hill zone of Karnataka. Int J Curr Microbiol Appl Sci. 2018;7(8):1874-9. DOI: 10.20546/ijcmas.2018.708.215.
21. Srilatha V, Kumar KS, Kiran YD. Evaluation of chrysanthemum (*Dendranthema grandiflora* Tzvelev) varieties in southern zone of Andhra Pradesh. Agricultural Research Communication Centre. 2015;35(2):155-7.
22. Singh DD, Tyagi S, Singh S, Ray P. Studies on the performances and flower characterization of chrysanthemum (*Dendranthema grandiflora* Tzvelev) genotypes under Uttar Pradesh conditions. Adv Res. 2017;9(1):1-7. DOI: 10.9734/AIR/2017/31467.

© 2022 Anoopdas and Fatmi; This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Peer-review history:

The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here:
<https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/96483>